




U.S. Department  
of Transportation

National Highway  
Traffic Safety  
Administration

# Police Personnel Allocation Manual User's Guide

---

## Municipal Police Departments





# **POLICE ALLOCATION MANUAL USER'S GUIDE**

**Determination of the Number and Allocation of Personnel for  
Patrol and Traffic Services for Municipal Law Enforcement Agencies**

**- Version M3.0 -**

**Prepared by**

**THE TRAFFIC INSTITUTE  
Northwestern University**

**for**

**NATIONAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC SAFETY ADMINISTRATION  
U. S. Department of Transportation**

**Contract No. DTNH22-92-C-05051**

**October 1993**





FOREWORD

The Police Allocation Manual (PAM) and Police Allocation Manual User's Guide were developed and field tested by The Traffic Institute of Northwestern University under a contract (No. **DTNH22-92-C-05051**) issued by the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, U.S. Department of Transportation. Principal Investigator and author for the study was Dr. William **Stenzel**. Dr. **Stenzel** was assisted by Mr. Roy **Lucke** who directed the design, implementation, and coordination of the field test activities of the project. The Contracting Officer's Technical Representative for the project was Mr. David **Seiler** (Office of Enforcement and Emergency Services).

The PAM project was initiated in June **1988**. Phases I and II were **used to** produce a staffing and allocation procedure and manual for statewide law enforcement agencies. Phase III was used to modify the products for sheriffs' departments. In Phase IV, the Manual and Guide were revised and field tested for use by municipal law enforcement agencies. The Phase I field test was conducted during the summer and fall of **1989**, and the Phase I products were completed in February **1990**. Phase II of the project was completed in January **1991** and the final version for state-level agencies (Version **4.0**) was completed in July **1991**. The final version for Phase III (Version **S3.0**) was completed for sheriff's departments in September of **1991**. Activities to extend the PAM methodology to municipal agencies (Phase IV) were initiated in October **1992**.

The project team wishes to identify and thank the municipal police departments that served as field test sites during Phase IV of the study. (The project liaison person for each agency is identified below with an **"\*"**. The ranks and titles reflect those held during the field test.)

Addison (Illinois) Police  
Department

Chief Melvin **Mack**  
\*Deputy Chief Timothy Hayden

**Boca Raton** (Florida) Police  
Department

Chief Peter A. **Petracco**  
\*Deputy Chief Philip **Sweeting**

Boise (Idaho) Police  
Department

Chief James **J. Carvino**  
\*Officer Rich **Sohneblly**

Brick (New Jersey) Police  
Department

Chief Ronald **J. Dougard**  
\*Mr. Dean Washburn

Brunswick (Ohio) Police  
Department

Chief Patrick **Beyer**  
\***Sgt. Carl Meding**

Chandler (Arizona) Police  
Department

Chief Ronald **J. Danielson**  
\*Captain Roger Nash

Crystal (Illinois) Police  
Department

Chief **Samual Johns**  
\*Lt. Ron **Sheley**

Knoxville (Tennessee) Police  
Department

Chief Phil **E. Keith**  
\***Lt. Harold Dexter**

**Medina** (Ohio) Police  
Department

Chief Tom **Steyer**  
\***Lt. Dennis Hanwell**

Oak Park (Illinois) Police  
Department

Chief Joseph **M. Mendrick**  
\*Officer Richard **Guttman**

Sandy (Utah) Police  
Department

Chief Gary **J. Leonard**  
\*Captain Gary Lancaster

Tucson (Arizona) Police  
Department

Chief Elaine **S. Hedtke**  
\*Dr. Donald **Ijams**

The project team also thanks Dr. Michael Buren and Mr. Alex Weiss of The Traffic Institute; Mr. **Sid Girling**, formerly of the Ontario Provincial Police; and Mr. Richard **Raub**, formerly of the Illinois State Police (**ISP**), who reviewed initial drafts of the Manual during Phase I of the project and provided many valuable suggestions.

A special acknowledgment is extended to Mr. **Raub**. Many of the ideas used in the Manual reflect concepts developed and documented by Mr. **Raub** and his colleagues in a series of **ISP** reports beginning in 1981. Mr. **Raub's** outstanding work into the identification and estimation of the major elements of staffing and allocation of statewide police agency resources provided many of the concepts that appear in the PAM model.

The authors also wish to thank Ms. **Darry** Ware whose diligence and persistence helped to insure that project materials were available to the field test agencies in a timely manner.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
FOREWORD . . . . .	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS . . . . .	v
SECTION 1: INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
Police Allocation Manual Project . . . . .	1
Police Allocation Manual Procedures . . . . .	1
Contents of the <u>User's Guide</u> . . . . .	2
How to Use the <u>Guide</u> . . . . .	3
SECTION 2: GENERAL IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGIES . . . . .	3
Uses and Limitations of the <u>Manual</u> . . . . .	3
Guidelines for First-Time PAM Users . . . . .	5
SECTION 3: DATA DEFINITION AND COLLECTION ISSUES . . . . .	7
Data Collection Categories . . . . .	7
Selecting Autonomous Patrol Areas ( <b>APAs</b> ) . . . . .	8
Worksheet Options and the Use of Performance Standards . . . . .	10
Administrative Time per Officer (Worksheet 2) . . . . .	10
Table 1: Values Used for Selected PAM Input Data Items, 1993 Phase IV Field, Test, Twelve Agencies . . . . .	11
Self-Initiated and <b>Community-Oriented Time</b> per Officer (Worksheet 4), . . . . .	15
Uncommitted Patrol <b>Availability</b> (Worksheet 5) . . . . .	15

	<u>Page</u>
Strategies for Controlling the Data Collection Effort . . . . .	16
<b>APA-Independent</b> Data . . . . .	16
<b>APA-Dependent</b> Data . . . . .	17
Discussion of Individual Data Items . . . . .	17
Command Personnel . . . . .	18
Emergency and <b>Non-Emergency Activities</b> . . . . .	18
Immediate Response Percent . . . . .	18
Non-preemptable and Preemptable Activities . . . . .	18
On-Duty Hours on Patrol per Year per Officer . . . . .	18
Patrol Interval . . . . .	19
Patrol Speed . . . . .	20
Response Speeds . . . . .	21
Roadway Types . . . . .	21
<b>Self-Initiated/Community-Oriented</b>	
Policing Time . . . . .	22
Service Time . . . . .	22
Shift Length . . . . .	24
Special Assignment Personnel . . . . .	24
Travel Times . . . . .	24
 SECTION 4: RECOMMENDED DATA COLLECTION AND IMPLEMENTATION	
PROCEDURES . . . . .	25
Step 1: Obtain Initial Staffing Level Estimates with Minimum Data Collection Effect . . . . .	25
Step 2: Assess the Quality of the Input Data Items . . . . .	25
Step 3: Investigate the Sensitivity of the Staffing Estimates to Changes in Individual Input Data Items . . . . .	26
Step 4: Improve Accuracy of Important Data Items . . . . .	26
 APPENDIX A: COMPREHENSIVE LIST OF DATA REQUIREMENTS	
FOR USE OF THE PAM MODEL . . . . .	A-1
Worksheet 1: Operations, Workload, and Roadway Data . . . . .	A-1
Worksheet 2: Administrative Time . . . . .	A-3
Worksheet 3: Reactive Time . . . . .	A-3

	<u>Page</u>
Worksheet <b>4:</b> Proactive Time - Self-Initiated/COP . . .	<b>A-3</b>
Worksheet <b>5:</b> Proactive Time - Uncommitted Patrol . . .	<b>A-4</b>
Worksheet <b>6:</b> Average Daily Number of On-Duty Officers . . . . .	<b>A-5</b>
Worksheet <b>7:</b> Special Assignments and Field Supervision . . . . .	<b>A-5</b>
Worksheet <b>8:</b> Total Patrol Staff Requirements . . . . .	<b>A-6</b>
Worksheet <b>9:</b> Allocation of Patrol Personnel Among Several <b>APAs</b> . . . . .	<b>A-6</b>
Supplemental Worksheet: Uncommitted Patrol Availability - Immediate Response . . . . .	<b>A-7</b>
 APPENDIX <b>B:</b> GLOSSARY AND WORKSHEET ABBREVIATIONS AND NOTATION . . . . .	 <b>B-1</b>
Glossary . . . . .	<b>B-1</b>
Worksheet Abbreviations and Notation . . . . .	<b>B-13</b>
 APPENDIX <b>C:</b> EXAMPLE USING PAM WORKSHEETS <b>1-9</b> TO DETERMINE PATROL STAFFING REQUIREMENTS AND ALLOCATION . .	 <b>C-1</b>
Introduction . . . . .	<b>C-1</b>
Observations . . . . .	<b>C-1</b>
Example Worksheets . . . . .	<b>C-6</b>
Worksheet <b>1:</b> Operations, Workload, and Roadway Data . . . . .	<b>C-6</b>
Worksheet <b>2:</b> Administrative Time . . . . .	<b>C-10</b>
Worksheet <b>3:</b> Reactive Time . . . . .	<b>C-12</b>
Worksheet <b>4:</b> Proactive Time - <b>Self-Initiated</b> and Community-Oriented Policing . . .	<b>C-14</b>
Worksheet <b>5:</b> Proactive Time - Uncommitted Patrol . . . . .	<b>C-18</b>
Worksheet <b>6:</b> Average Daily Number of On-Duty ..	<b>C-37</b>
Worksheet <b>7:</b> Special Assignments and Field Supervision . . . . .	<b>C-40</b>
Worksheet <b>8:</b> Total Staff Requirements . . . . .	<b>C-47</b>
Worksheet <b>9:</b> Allocation of Patrol <b>Personnel</b> Among Several <b>APAs</b> . . . . .	<b>C-41</b>

	<u>Page</u>
APPENDIX <b>D</b> : DERIVATION OF MAJOR FORMULAS USED IN THE <b>PAM MODEL</b> . . . . .	D-1
<b>D.1</b> Average Number of On-Duty Officers ( <b>N<sub>r</sub></b> ) Required Per Day Within the <b>APA</b> To Meet the 'Average Daily (Obligated Time) Workload (Worksheet 3) . . . . .	D-2
<b>D.2</b> Average Number of On-Duty Officers ( <b>N<sub>ppi</sub></b> ) Required Per Day To Meet the Patrol Interval Performance Requirement Objective Within the <b>APA</b> (Section 5.1) . . . . .	D-5
<b>D.3</b> Total Number of Officers ( <b>N<sub>pri</sub></b> ) Required Per Day Within the <b>APA</b> To Provide Immediate Response To the Performance Objective Percentage of <b>CFS</b> , Accidents, Administrative, and Self-Initiated Activities (Section 5.2) ..	D-10
<b>D.4</b> Average Number of On-Duty Officers ( <b>N<sub>pap</sub></b> ) Required Within the <b>APA</b> To Meet the Average Travel Time Performance Objectives for Emergency and Non-Emergency <b>CFS</b> (Sections 5.3 and 5.4) . . . . .	D-20
<b>D.5</b> Average Total Number of On-Duty Officers ( <b>N</b> ) Required Per Day for All Patrol Activities Within the <b>APA</b> , One Officer Per Unit (Section 6.1) . . . . .	D-27
<b>D.6</b> Average Total Number of On-Duty Officers ( <b>N<sub>o</sub></b> ) Required Per Day for All Patrol Activities Within the <b>APA</b> , Adjusted for One and Two Officer Units (Section 6.2) . . . . .	D-31
<b>D.7</b> Average Total Number of On-Duty Officers ( <b>N<sub>ot</sub></b> ) and On-Duty Field Supervisors ( <b>N<sub>os</sub></b> ) Required Per Day for Patrol Activities Within the <b>APA</b> , Adjusted for the Presence of Field Supervisors and Special Assignment Personnel (Worksheet 7) . . . . .	D-33

	<u>Page</u>
<b>D.8</b> Determination of the Shift Relief Factor ( <b>SRF</b> ) for the Calculation of the Total Number of Officers ( <b>N<sub>t</sub></b> ) and Field Supervisors (NJ Required Within the <b>APA</b> (Section <b>8.2</b> ) . . . . .	<b>D-38</b>
<b>D.9</b> Allocation of Patrol Personnel Among Several <b>APAs</b> (Worksheet <b>9</b> ) . . . . .	<b>D-42</b>





## SECTION 1: Introduction

### Police Allocation Manual Project

The Police Allocation Manual User's Guide (herein after referred to as the Guide) is intended as a companion document to the Police Allocation Manual, Version **M3.0**, which can be used to determine the number and allocation of personnel for patrol and traffic services for municipal police departments.

Both the Guide and the Police Allocation Manual (herein after referred to as the Manual) were developed by The Traffic Institute of Northwestern University under contract to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration (**NHTSA**), U.S. Department of Transportation. Additional information about the project is available in the reports submitted to **NHTSA** in February 1990, March 1991, September 1991, and October 1993 respectively.

### Police Allocation Manual Procedures

The procedures for determining the number of personnel are based on an analysis of officer workload in terms of the amount of time required to complete various tasks. All on-duty patrol activities are assigned to four categories:

- Reactive: answering calls-for-service and responding to accidents;
- Proactive - Self-Initiated and Community-Oriented Policing: traffic enforcement, field interrogations, motorist assists, community-oriented policing (COP);
- Proactive - Uncommitted Patrol: patrol on uncommitted time; and
- Administrative: office time, vehicle maintenance, meal time, etc.

The "Proactive-Uncommitted Patrol" category refers to uncommitted time only (*i.e.*, time not spent on reactive, self-initiated/COP, or administrative activities). Self-initiated activities that occur as a result of "**uncommitted patrol**" time are included in the

"self-initiated" category. Time spent looking for violators is defined as **"uncommitted patrol time"** while time spent with violators is defined as **"self-initiated time."**

The procedures rely on historical data and user-supplied performance objectives. These data and objectives are used in nine worksheets in the Manual to guide the user through the process of determining how many officers are needed for each of the categories identified above. For two of the categories, Reactive (Worksheet **3**) and Proactive-Uncommitted Patrol (Worksheet **5**), workload and performance objectives are used to derive the number of on-duty officers required daily for each category. For the Administrative (Worksheet **2**) and Proactive-Self-Initiated/COP (Worksheet **4**) categories, historical data and performance objectives are used to determine the proportion of officer on-duty time that should be spent on these activities.

The results of worksheets 2 through 5 are combined at the beginning of Worksheet 6 to determine the average number of on-duty officers needed each day. This result is modified in worksheets **6, 7, and 8** to account for two-officer units, minimum staffing, special assignments, field supervisors, benefit time off, and the number of command staff.

Worksheets 1 - 8 are used to obtain patrol staffing requirements for an **"autonomous patrol area" (APA)**. The staffing requirement for an entire jurisdiction is obtained by adding the staffing requirements for all **APAs** in the jurisdiction. (In many cases, a jurisdiction will consist of a single **APA**.) Worksheet 9 is used to allocate or distribute a total number of officers among **several** patrol areas (**APAs**) or among distinct time periods (**e.g.**, shifts). A complete description of the methodology is presented in Chapter 2 of the Manual.

### Contents of the **User's** Guide

The Guide consists of four sections and four appendixes. Section 1 ("Introduction") provides an overview of the project and methodology, and the contents of the Guide. Sections 2 and 3 provide specific information and guidelines regarding "General Implementation Strategies" and "Data Definition and Collection **Issues**" respectively. The material in sections 2 and 3 is summarized in a @@Recommended Data Collection and Implementation Procedure" in Section 4. Appendix A contains a list of the data required for each of the nine worksheets in the Manual, Appendix B is a glossary of terms and notation used in Manual, Appendix C contains an example of the nine worksheets in the Manual in

completed form. Appendix D contains derivations of the major formulas used in the Manual,

### How to Use the Guide

The Guide has been written for use as a reference document to assist Manual users. It is anticipated that no one will study this document section by section, front to back. Rather, it is expected that the Guide will be used as questions about data definitions, data collection, and the use of particular worksheets arise. First-time users will be interested in the implementation strategies discussed in Section 2 and the recommended procedure outlined in Section 4. Experienced users will refer to the information on data definitions and collection in Section 3.

## SECTION 2: General Implementation Strategies

This section provides observations about the implementation and uses of the Manual for determining staffing requirements. The observations are based on experience gained from field tests of the PAM procedures during all phases of the project. The first part below examines what the Manual can and cannot **do**. This is followed by suggestions for first-time users.

### Uses and Limitations of the Manual

The procedures in the Manual represent a **"model"** of police Staffing. The steps in the worksheets are based on mathematical and logical relationships between workload, patrol performance, the characteristics of the patrol area, and the total number of officers required. Analysts divide models into two broad categories: descriptive and prescriptive. Identifying which category the PAM model belongs to is useful in recognizing how the model can be used and its limitations.

The PAM model is a prescriptive model; that is, using information about the workload, the desired performance levels, and the characteristics of the jurisdiction, the model can be used to **"prescribe"** how many officers are needed. The model is not a descriptive (or predictive) model; that is, it is not possible to specify a fixed number of officers, the workload, and other **char-**

**acteristics** of a jurisdiction and use the model to describe (or predict) what level of patrol performance can be expected, Similarly, it is not possible to use the PAM model to predict what the change in patrol performance or workload will be if the number of officers is increased,

The prescriptive nature of the PAM model provides police planners with a powerful tool. Not only can the Manual be used to determine appropriate staffing levels, it can also be used to answer "**What if?**" questions. For example, what will be the impact on staffing if the workload increases by **20%** or if the average travel time to emergency activities is reduced by 1 minute?

Model "**failures**" can occur because of the limitations of the procedure itself and from incorrect or unrealistic expectations by police planners. The PAM model cannot provide the answers to all staffing and allocation questions. For example, the model is not capable of predicting changes in performance as staffing levels change. Additional limitations are:

- The PAM model cannot correct or compensate for inaccurate or incomplete data. This limitation is merely an application of the "**law**" most often associated with data processing; that is, "**Garbage in, garbage out.**" The model is more sensitive to the accuracy of some data items than **others**. This fact is important in determining what level of effort should be expended in data collection (See the discussion below in Section 4: "Recommended Data Collection and Implementation Procedure/)
- The PAM model can only prescribe how many officers are needed when performance objectives are provided; that is, when someone or some group decides what level of service is desired. The Manual is not a method for determining staffing levels that can be completed without management involvement or input.
- The PAM model cannot be used to predict the future workload of a patrol area.
- The PAM model, by itself, will not convince council members or policy makers to increase funding support for additional staff. Decisions on staffing levels eventually reflect fiscal and political realities. The model will strengthen requests for additional staff, but cannot guarantee their acceptance

### Guidelines for First-Time PAM Users

For persons who are using PAM for the first time, it is recommended that the steps outlined in Chapter 1 in the Manual and the recommended procedure discussed in Section 4 below be followed. The steps described in Chapter 1 are listed below:

- Read Chapter 2 in the Manual to gain an overview of the PAM model. (Some users may also want to review the material in Appendix D in the Guide.)
- Review Appendix A in the Guide.
- Review chapters 3 and 4 in the Manual with reference, as needed, to appendixes B and C in the Guide.
- Estimate the data collection effort.
- Assess the benefits of using the PAM model. (Only use PAM if the benefits to the agency outweigh the cost of the data collection effort.)
- Review the recommended procedure in Section 4 in the Guide.
- Collect the required data.
- Complete the worksheets.
- Review the results and adjust the input data.

Two important guidelines to remember, particularly for first-time users, are:

1. It is not necessary to complete all sections of each **worksheet** or even all the **worksheets** to obtain useful results.
2. It is not necessary to have highly accurate values for every input data item to obtain useful results. (See Section 4 below.)

The remainder of this section provides an overview of the model.

Worksheets 1 - 8 in Chapter 3 in the Manual are used to determine the patrol staffing level of an **APA**; that is, the **"number"** of officers

required. Worksheet 9 in Chapter 4 in the Manual is used to determine how the total number of officers for several **APAs** should be **"distributed"** or **"allocated"** over the **APAs**. (Remember that an **APA** can be a geographical area or a unit of time such as a shift or day of the week.) Worksheets 1 - 8 can be completed without completing Worksheet 9; and it is not necessary that the staff totals used in Worksheet 9 be calculated based on worksheets 1 - 8 if an alternative method for estimating staffing levels is available.

Within worksheets 1 - 8, it is possible to identify entire worksheets and sections of worksheets that may not need to be completed. For example, if the PAM procedures are used to determine the average number of **"on-duty"** officers required each day, then only worksheets 1 - 5 and Section 6.1 are needed.

Within some worksheets, it may not be necessary to complete all sections. For example, in Worksheet 2, the user may use either Section 2.1 or Section 2.2. In Worksheet 3, Section 3.1 can be used if an agency prefers to separate accidents from Other **CFS**. If this is not necessary, Section 3.1 can be skipped, and accidents can be combined with Other **CFS** in Section 3.2. In Worksheet 4, the user may use either Section 4.1, Section 4.2, or Section 4.3. If an agency does not explicitly identify time spent on community-oriented policing, Section 4.5 can be skipped. In Worksheet 5, the user may use either Section 5.2 or sections 5.3 and 5.4. In Section 5.1, the user has the option of **using** as many or as few roadway types as is appropriate for the **APA**.

Beginning with Section 6.2 in the Manual, the remaining sections and worksheets, which the user may elect not to use, provide adjustments to the average number of on-duty officers required per day derived in Section 6.1. Sections 6.2 and 6.3 are used to account for agencies that use two officers per unit for some patrols and for **APAs** with minimum staffing requirements. Worksheet 7 is used to account for officers on special assignments and to determine the number of on-duty field supervisors required. Worksheet 8 is used to determine the total number of officers and field supervisors (i.e., both on-duty and off-duty) and the total number of command staff required.

### SECTION 3: Data Definition and Collection Issues

#### Data Collection Categories

The PAM model requires that all officer activities for patrol be classified into four categories. The first step in using the model is a "**tailoring**" process in which each type of officer activity is assigned to a particular category. Municipal law enforcement agencies define and use similar kinds of activities in each category. Due to differences in operational practices, data definitions, and data collection procedures, however, it is likely that no two agencies will define the data items to be included in each category in precisely the same way.

The lists below indicate the kinds of activities that were included in each of the four categories by agencies that participated in the PAM field tests,

#### Administrative Time

- on-duty court time
- training (less than one day)\*
- meals
- auto maintenance
- equipment maintenance
- agency administrative duties
- relay of equipment
- roll call
- briefings
- report writing (if not put into reactive time)
- \* Time off for training that requires one or more days can be included in the calculations for the shift relief factor in Worksheet 8.

#### Reactive Time (dispatches to accidents, criminal activities, emergencies, and non-emergencies)

- travel time
- on-scene time
- report writing time
- follow-up investigation
- reactive time by all units dispatched

- assists to other agencies
- escort and relay
- motorist assistance (if dispatched)
- traffic control (if dispatched)
- searches for missing and wanted persons

#### Proactive Time - Self-Initiated/COP Activities

- traffic stops (traffic citations and warnings)
- motorist roadway assistance
- criminal investigation
- traffic control
- field interrogations
- community-oriented policing activities

#### Proactive Time - Uncommitted Patrol

- patrolling assigned areas (includes both moving and stationary patrol)

The ability to tailor the procedures to reflect the data collection practices of an agency is a strength of the model. Rather than requiring an agency to redefine existing data collection procedures to "**fit**" the model it is possible to tailor the model to fit the agency. The flexibility that such tailoring requires that caution be used when comparing the staffing estimates for different agencies. Unless two agencies use the same data items, defined in the same way, for each activity category, it may not be possible to reliably determine the underlying causes for differences in staffing estimates.

Decisions about which category each activity is assigned to have relatively little impact on the final staffing estimate. More important than the question of which category to use for each workload item is the need to insure that all officer **patrol** workload activities are included in the model.

#### Selecting Autonomous Patrol Areas (APAs)

The model estimates the total patrol staffing for an agency by first determining the staffing levels for patrol areas using the following steps:



- The entire jurisdiction is divided into a number of autonomous patrol areas. The **APAs** must cover the entire jurisdiction and not overlap.
- The model is used to determine the staffing level for each **APA**.
- The individual **APA** results are added together to obtain the staffing requirement for the entire jurisdiction.

The selection of the **APAs** is dictated by the requirement that each **APA** exhibit the following characteristics:

- Virtually all **CFS** that originate in the **APA** are handled by officers assigned to the **APA** or, conversely, almost none of the **CFS** that originate in the **APA** are handled by officers assigned to areas outside of the **APA**;
- Officers assigned to the **APA** are rarely dispatched to **CFS** outside of the **APA**; and
- Although officers may be assigned to specific patrol areas within the **APA**, officers are routinely dispatched, as needed, to **CFS** anywhere within the **APA**.

The first two characteristics define what is meant by "**autonomous**." Simply stated, it means that the **APA** must be, for the most part, a self-contained or independent operational area with little or no cross-over of personnel either into or out of the area. (As a guideline, **90%** of the **CFS** in the **APA** should be handled by units assigned to the **APA**.)

The third characteristic indicates that the **APA** cannot itself be a collection of smaller **APAs**; that is, all the units assigned to the **APA** must be routinely dispatched to **CFS** throughout the **APA**. If units are only dispatched to **CFS** within their patrol areas and are rarely dispatched to **CFS** in other parts of the **APA**, then consideration should be given to dividing the area into several **APAs**.

The size of each **APA** within a jurisdiction will vary depending on workload, population density, and traffic volume. From an operational perspective, **APAs** can be viewed as separate command areas, often characterized by the use of separate radio frequencies

for dispatch. For some agencies, **APAs** may be defined by natural or manmade barriers; for example, an area that is isolated from the rest of the jurisdiction by a river or an expressway.

For most municipal agencies, only one geographic **APA** is appropriate for the entire jurisdiction. Few municipal police agencies will use more than 3 or 4 **APAs** for their jurisdictions. If Worksheet 9 is used to allocate officers over shifts or days of the week, then each shift or day of the week is defined as a separate **"APA."**

#### Worksheet Options and the Use of Performance Standards

To provide as much flexibility as possible, four of the worksheets in the Manual give the user two or three different ways to derive a particular value. The decision about which option to use in each case is based on data availability and the desire of the agency to set an operational performance standard as a matter of policy.

Occasionally, first-time PAM users are disappointed to learn that all the calculations are not based on historical data and/or **"national"** standards for staffing or workload. (No such national standards exist.) Although, it is theoretically possible to use the model based entirely on historical data, this is rarely done for two reasons. First, it is difficult to collect all the required data, and second, use of historical data in all the worksheets should yield staffing totals, assuming the model is valid and accurate, that will replicate current staffing levels. While this may be useful in verifying the validity of the model, it is more likely that agencies will be interested in examining the impact on staffing levels if one or more of the current workload, performance, or other data items are altered.

The remainder of this section briefly outlines the options explicitly available to the user in the worksheets. It should be noted that the term **"explicitly"** is used to highlight the fact that the user **"implicitly"** has options for almost every data item required (i.e., the value used for most data items can be set by policy or based on historical data). For each of the options identified below, the decisions of the Phase IV municipal field test agencies about which option was used and the average value selected or derived are shown in Table 1. (The data presented in Table 1 is based on ten applications of the PAM procedures; that is, the PAM procedures were used for ten different **APAs**.)

Administrative Time Per Officer (Worksheet 2). Worksheet 2 has two options for estimating the average number of minutes per

Table 1

VALUES USED FOR **SELECTED PAM INPUT DATA ITEMS**

**- 1993 Phase IV Field Test, Ten Agencies (10 Worksheet Applications) -**

<u>Worksheet Section</u>	<u>Data Item (Worksheet Location)</u>	<u>Number of Applications</u>	<u>Units of Measurement</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
2.1	Administrative Time Policy (2.1)	3	Min/Hr/Officer	9.33	6.00	12.00
2.2	Administrative Time Historical (2.2.4)	7	Min/Hr/Officer	12.57	6.79	19.50
3.1	Average Service Time Accidents (3.1.2)	10	Hours/Accident	1.57	0.83	2.50
3.2	Average Service Time Other CFS (3.2.2)	10	Hours/CFS	0.81	0.50	1.50
4.1	Self-Initiated Time Policy - Direct (4.1)	3	Min/Hr/Officer	11.67	5.00	20.00
4.2	Self-Initiated Time Policy - Indirect (4.2.7)	0	Min/Hr/Officer	----	----	----
4.3	Self-Initiated Time Historical (4.3.4)	7	Min/Hr/Officer	8.57	5.04	11.96
4.5	Community-Oriented Time (4.5.3)	3	Min/Hr/Officer	3.00	0.00	6.00

Table 1 (continued)

## VALUES USED FOR SELECTED PAM INPUT DATA ITEMS

- 1993 Phase IV Field Test, Ten Agencies (10 Worksheet Applications) -

<u>Worksheet Section</u>	<u>Data Item (Worksheet Location)</u>	<u>Number of Applications</u>	<u>Units of Measurement</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
4.6	Total Self-Initiated Time (4.6)	10	Min/Hr/Officer	10.40	6.71	20.00
5.1	Primary Roadways Patrol Speed (5.1.2.3)	10	Miles/Hour	23.85	10.00	30.00
5.1	Primary Roadways Patrol Interval (5.1.2.4)	10	Hours	2.33	0.25	4.00
5.1	Secondary Roadways Patrol Speed (5.1.3.3)	10	Miles/Hour	18.17	8.00	25.00
5.1	Secondary Roadways Patrol Interval (5.1.3.4)	10	Hours	6.14	0.25	24.00
5.1	Residential Roadways Patrol Speed (5.1.4.3)	10	Miles/Hour	14.55	6.00	20.00
5.1	Residential Roadways Patrol Interval (5.1.4.4)	10	Hours	49.60	4.00	168.00

Table 1 (continued)

VALUES USED FOR SELECTED PAM INPUT DATA ITEMS

- 1993 Phase IV Field Test, Ten Agencies (10 Worksheet Applications) -

<u>Worksheet Section</u>	<u>Data Item (Worksheet Location)</u>	<u>Number of Applications</u>	<u>Units of Measurement</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
5.2	Non-Preemptable Other CFS (5.2.2.3)	9	Percent of CFS	19.56	6.00	38.00
5.2	Non-Preemptable Admin. Activities (5.2.2.6)	9	Percent of Admin. Act.	11.44	0.00	40.00
5.2	Non-Preemptable Self- Initiated Act. (5.2.2.7)	9	Percent of S.I. Activities	21.22	1.00	75.00
5.2	Patrol Availability Immediate Resp. (5.2.4.1)	9	Percent of CFS	79.89	70.00	99.00
5.3	Area Patrol - Response Speed for Emergency Activities (5.3.2.2)	8	Miles/Hour	38.13	25.00	60.00
5.3	Area Patrol - Response Time Goal for Emergency Activities (5.3.2.3)	8	Minutes	4.50	3.00	7.00
6.2	Two-Deputy Patrols (6.2.1)	10	Percent of Patrol Units	0.42	0.00	4.20
7.1	Field Supervision Span of Control (7.1.1)	10	No. of Officers per Supervisor	6.34	3.00	9.00

Table 1 (continued)

## VALUES USED FOR SELECTED PAM INPUT DATA ITEMS

- 1993 Phase IV Field Test, Ten Agencies (10 Worksheet Applications) -

<u>Worksheet Section</u>	<u>Data Item (Worksheet Location)</u>	<u>Number of Applications</u>	<u>Units of Measurement</u>	<u>Average</u>	<u>Minimum</u>	<u>Maximum</u>
7.1	Field Supervisor Time on Patrol (7.1.2)	10	Percent of Time on Patrol	24.00	0.00	80.00
8.2	Officer On-Duty Time (8.2.7)	10	On-Duty Hrs per Year per Officer	1,671.80	1,346.00	1,866.00
8.5	Total Staff (8.5.4)	10	Total No. of Officers, Field Supervisors, and Staff and Command Personnel	116.50	23.00	473.00
	Current Staff	10	Total No. of Officers, Field Supervisors, and Staff and Command Personnel	93.90	16.00	420.00

hour per officer to be spent on administrative activities. Section 2.1 allows the user to set the average number of minutes. Section 2.2 directs the user through the process of deriving the value based on historical data. Table 1 indicates that among the 10 field test applications during Phase IV, three were based on Section 2.1 (Policy) and seven were based on Section 2.2 (Historical Data). The average time based on historical data, 12.57 minutes per hour per officer, was higher than the average of 9.33 minutes per hour per officer based on policy.

Self-Initiated/COP Time Per Officer (Worksheet 4). Worksheet 4 has three options for determining the average number of minutes per hour per officer to be spent on self-initiated/COP activities. Section 4.1 allows the user to set the average number of minutes. Section 4.3 directs the user through the process of deriving the average value based on historical data. (Sections 4.1 and 4.3 parallel the options provided in sections 2.1 and 2.2 in Worksheet 2 ) The third option, in Section 4.2, is a combination of the options available in 4.1 and 4.3. The derived value is based both on a policy decision (i.e., the average number of self-initiated contacts per shift per officer) and the average time spent on each contact based on historical data. Agencies that are using community-oriented patrol strategies have the option of identifying how much time each officer should spend on such activities in Section 4.4. During the field test, seven out of the ten applications determined self-initiated time based on historical experience (Section 4.3). The average value for the 7 applications was 8.57 minutes per hour per officer. None of the field test agencies used the policy-indirect option in Section 4.2. Some field test agencies were hesitant to use the policy option in Section 4.2 since it could be interpreted as a system of enforcement @quotas.@@ Three agencies set self-initiated time per officer by policy (Section 4.1). The average time selected by policy was 11.67 minutes per hour per officer.

Uncommitted Patrol Availability (Worksheet 5). Worksheet 5 gives the user two options for determining the number of on-duty officers needed per day for uncommitted patrol **"availability"** (Section 5.2 and sections 5.3 and 5.4). This value is then compared with the average number of officers needed for uncommitted patrol **"visibility"** (Section 5.1) to derive a total number of on-duty officers needed per day for proactive-uncommitted patrol. Section 5.2 is used to determine the number of officers needed to have enough units available to respond immediately to emergency accidents and CFS. Section 5.3 is used to determine the number of officers that are needed to provide an average travel time to emergency activities. Section 5.4 is used to determine the number of officers that are needed to provide an average travel time to

non-emergency reactive activities. The average travel time objectives are set by the user. Table 1 identifies the average patrol speed and patrol interval specified by the field test agencies in Section **5.1** for three roadway types (primary, secondary, and residential). For the nine agencies that used Section **5.2**, the average immediate response objective was **79.89** percent. For travel time calculations in Section **5.3**, the average target response time for emergency activities was **4.50** minutes.

### Strategies for Controlling the Data Collection Effort

Use of the model can vary in difficulty depending on the availability of data and the amount of work required to obtain the data. When all **APAs** for a jurisdiction or for even parts of a jurisdiction are considered, the magnitude of the data collection effort required may be significant. For agencies that elect to use several **APAs**, the field test experience revealed two strategies for limiting this effort.

**APA-Independent Data.** There are a number of data items that are independent of the location or other attributes of each **APA**. As a result, it may be possible to use the same value for a particular data item for all **APAs**. Although the specific data items will vary from one agency to another, the following list should apply to most:

- a **average** number of on-duty hours per year per officer,
- average number of officers per field supervisor,
- average fraction of time spent on patrol by field supervisors,
- average service time for accidents,
- average service time for other **CFS**,
- percent of units with two officers,
- average time per hour spent on administrative activities per officer,
- average time per hour spent on self-initiated/COP activities per officer, and
- shift length.



**APA-Dependent Data.** There are also a number of input data items that will likely vary by **APA** within the same jurisdiction. A partial list would include:

- number of roadway miles by roadway type,
- number of accidents and other **CFS**,
- average patrol speed by roadway type,
- patrol interval by roadway type,
- immediate response percentage,
- average response speed,
- average travel time objectives for emergency and **non-emergency** activities,
- amount of patrol available from officers on special assignment, and
- presence and influence of minimum staffing limits.

During the Phase I field test for state-level agencies, several sites were able to control the data collection effort for **APA-dependent** data by recognizing that those data items that vary by **APA** are often correlated with the proximity of the **APA** to urban or rural areas. Groups of **APAs** were categorized by their "**urbanicity**" and identical input data values were used for all **APAs** in the same category. Municipal agencies may be able to group **APAs** based on their residential or commercial nature.

#### Discussion of Individual Data Items

The PAM model estimates the staffing level for an **APA** by accounting for the time that officers need to perform patrol activities. The model uses four time categories and general definitions about what activities are included in each category. The current model represents only one way of categorizing and defining patrol activities. The significance of this observation is that regardless of what categories and definitions are used, they must account for all time spent on patrol activities. This section provides an **overview** of some of the data-related issues that arose during the field test,

Command personnel. Section **8.4** in the Manual is used to include the number of command personnel for the **APA**. The value is supplied by the user. It is not necessary, however, to use this section to obtain estimates for the number of officers and field supervisors that are required; these values are obtained in Section **8.3**.

Emergency and non-emergency activities. Emergency activities are accidents and other **CFS** for which police officers must respond immediately. Typically, emergency activities are defined as incidents in which lives and/or property are at risk and rapid police response can limit that risk. Crime-in-progress calls and serious traffic accidents are usually classified as emergency activities. Non-emergency activities are accidents and other **CFS** that require a police presence without the need for a rapid response.

Immediate response percentage. The immediate response percentage is used in Section **5.2** to determine how many on-duty officers are needed each day to insure that an officer will be available for immediate assignment for emergency incidents. It is important to note that @@immediate@\* response is not the same as @@rapid@@ response. Immediate response merely implies that at least one officer will be available, somewhere in the **APA**, for the assignment. No consideration is given to how far away the officer may be from the incident. Users that are interested in the number of officers that are needed to maintain a user-specified average travel time in responding to emergency incidents should use Section **5.3**.

Non-preemptable and preemptable activities. The percentage of non-preemptable activities is used in the model to determine the size of the officer "**pool**" that is necessary to insure that the immediate response and travel time performance objectives are met. When an officer is engaged in a preemptable activity, he/she is counted as part of the force that is available for immediate dispatch to emergency calls. Officers assigned to non-preemptable calls are not available for assignment (emergency or non-emergency) until he/she completes the call or is relieved by another officer. An activity may be non-preemptable because the assigned officer is not available for reassignment (e.g., time spent in court and on the range) or because there is a significant need for the officer to remain at the scene (e.g., to control traffic near an accident or to collect short-lived evidence at a crime scene).

On-duty hours on patrol per year per officer. The average number of on-duty hours per year per officer is used in Section **8.2** to calculate the @@shift relief factor@@ (**SRF**). The shift relief factor indicates how many officers are needed to cover one shift position every day. For **8-hour** shifts, **SRFs** typically range from

**1.60 to 1.90**; i.e., for each shift position, a total of **1.6 to 1.9** officers is needed. A common question is whether the average number of on-duty hours per year per officer is **2,080** hours which is obtained by multiplying **52** weeks by **40** hours per week. The **2,080** hours equals the number of hours for which an officer is @@paid@@ for one year and is always greater than the number of actual on-duty hours because it includes paid "**time off**" (e.g., vacations and holidays). The average number of on-duty hours on patrol per year per officer is the number of hours each officer is on duty and on patrol.

Although it is easy to see why @@benefit time off@@ such as vacations and holidays should not be included, there are other situations in which the definition of @@on-duty@@ time is more difficult to interpret. The difficulty arises because not all on-duty time is spent on patrol. As an example, consider an officer who is sent to a two-week training program. An administrator may argue that the officer is @@on-duty@@ whether he/she is on patrol or at a training program. A patrol commander, on the other hand, may argue that when the officer is gone for two weeks, there is a staffing shortage on patrol that is just as real as if the officer were on a two-week vacation. From the commander's point of view, the officer is not @@on-duty@@ in the sense that he/she is not on patrol, and as a result, the two weeks spent at training should not be included as on-duty time. There is no one @@right@@ way to define on-duty patrol time; it depends on the policies and practices adopted by each agency. Since the definition used to calculate **SRFs** may vary from one agency to another, the following guidelines should be noted:

- The calculation of the shift relief factor in Section **8.2** requires that a definition of @@on-duty patrol@@ time, appropriate for the agency, be adopted; and
- The comparison of shift relief factors for different agencies is not appropriate unless the same definition of @@on-duty patrol" time is used by both agencies.

Table 1 indicates that the average on-duty time per year per officer was **1,671.80** hours that, assuming **8-hour** shifts, produces a **SRF** of **1.747** officers per shift position.

Patrol interval. Patrol interval is used in Section **5.1** of the Manual as a measure of the level or intensity of patrol coverage. It is measured in hours and indicates the average time that a stranded motorist would have to wait to see an officer come by or the frequency with which a resident would see an officer pass his/her house on free patrol (i.e., proactive-uncommitted patrol).

As an example, a patrol interval of one hour means that a motorist, stranded on the roadway, would have to wait an average of one hour before seeing an officer. While there is no theoretical upper limit to the patrol interval, the largest value used during the field test was **168** hours (i.e., a resident would see a patrol officer about once a week). Table 1 indicates that the average patrol interval for primary roadways for the field test was only **2.33** hours. For secondary roadways, the average was **6.14** hours, and for residential roadways, the average was **49.60** hours.

Patrol speed. Patrol speed is used in Section 5.1 as part of the calculation to determine the number of on-duty officers required to meet a specified patrol interval. Average patrol speed and average response speed (discussed below) are often among the most difficult data items to obtain. A number of different approaches can be used to estimate this value:

- Use of log sheets. Average patrol speed equals the total number of miles driven while on "**patrol**" divided by the total time spent on "**patrol**." The mileage and time estimates must be based on @@proactive-uncommitted **patrol**" as defined in the PAM model; that is, only mileage and time spent on uncommitted patrol (including stationary patrol) should be used. Any mileage accumulated for or time spent on activities that fall into any of the non-uncommitted patrol categories (i.e., administrative, reactive, and **self-initiated/C-OP**) is not included.
- Ride-along observers. Some agencies have attempted to estimate average patrol speeds by having observers ride along with officers while on patrol. The presence of an observer, however, may cause changes in driving behavior.
- Survey of officers. Another approach is to survey officers to obtain an estimate of the average speed. This method, however, may not be useful since human recollection is often not reliable for estimating @@average@@ speeds. (There is a tendency to remember only the cruising or top speed and to forget times when a unit is either stationary or moving very slowly.)

In the last section of the Guide, a general approach to using the PAM model is outlined. One of the suggestions is that users must exercise judgment in determining how accurate each input value

should be and how much effort should be expended in obtaining each item. Patrol speed is a data item that can, if one is not careful, require far more effort than may be justified. In Table 1, the average patrol speeds used by the field test agencies were **23.85** MPH for primary roadways, **18.17** MPH for secondary roadways, and **14.55** MPH for residential roadways.

Response speeds. Response speeds are used in sections **5.3** and **5.4** to estimate the number of on-duty officers required to maintain user-specified average travel times for emergency and non-emergency responses. Like patrol speed, this data item may be difficult to obtain. The same procedures outlined above for determining patrol speeds can also be used to estimate response speeds. If log sheets are used, mileage and times must be based on travel to emergency and non-emergency incidents only. The influence of ride-along observers and the unreliability of human recollection to estimate response speeds may be even more pronounced. PAM users are encouraged to follow the recommended data collection strategy outlined in the last section of the Guide to avoid unnecessary effort spent on obtaining response speed estimates. In Table 1, the average speed (over all roadway types) for responses to emergency activities is **38.13** MPH.

Roadway types. To use the procedures in Section **5.1**, the user must define one or more roadway types for the **APA**. As an example, all roadways in the **APA** could be divided into expressways, arterial, and residential streets. (In the example presented in Appendix C, the roadways are divided into arterial, collector, and residential.) The user must also indicate how many miles of each roadway type there are in the **APA**. These categories are used in Section **5.1** for the derivation of the number of on-duty officers needed each day to meet the patrol interval objectives set by the user for each roadway type. PAM users are not required to use any particular set of roadway categories or to **use a** specific number of roadway types. The critical issue is not whether three roadway types are used, but rather that all roadway types and miles routinely patrolled in the **APA** are included in the procedures for deriving agency staffing estimates. The selection of how many roadway types and how each should be defined will vary from agency to agency. It **is** recommended that the definitions rely on the following factors:

- traffic volume,
- use of **the** road (i.e., feeder, collector, thruway, etc.),
- average speed,

- need for police patrol, and
- the availability of traffic and operational data by roadway type.

Self-initiated/COP time. Worksheet 4 is used to determine the average number of minutes per hour per officer for self-initiated and community-oriented policing activities. Self-initiated/COP activities refer to activities initiated by an officer rather than directed by the dispatching center. It is important to note that the distinction between reactive and self-initiated/COP activities is not determined by what is done but rather by the manner in which the activity is **initiated**. As an example, if an officer is directed to a particular location to control traffic because of a fallen tree on the roadway, the time spent on this activity would be charged to reactive time. If, on the other hand, the officer discovers the fallen tree while on uncommitted patrol and determines that he/she should control traffic until the tree can be removed from the roadway, this time would be assigned to the self-initiated/COP category. Table 1 indicates that three field test agencies determined the average self-initiated time by policy (Section 4.1), but none of agencies used the indirect policy method based on a specified number of contacts per shift and the average time per contact (Section 4.2). When based solely on historical data (Section 4.3), the average value was **8.57** minutes per hour per officer.

Service time. Average service times for accidents and other **CFS** are used in Worksheet 3 to determine the average number of on-duty officers needed each day to handle the "**obligated**" workload. Service time refers to the total spent on an incident by all agency patrol personnel. Service times should include:

- travel time (not including dispatching time),
- on-scene time,
- report writing time,
- investigation time (by patrol),
- processing time (e.g., for **DUIs**), and
- time spent by backup units.

In Table 1, the average service times for accidents and other CFS are 1.57 and 0.81 hours respectively. Few agencies have data collection procedures that capture all the components of service time listed above. Many CAD systems, for example, will capture the travel, on-scene, and possibly some follow-up time of the primary unit dispatched to an incident, but may not capture report writing time and time spent by backup units. Some agencies do not routinely record the frequency and amount of time spent by backup units although such time may represent a significant part of the total obligated time for an agency. If an agency plans to use data from a CAD system, it is recommended that the data definitions in the system be examined to detect shortcomings in the statistics produced by the system. Recognizing that few agencies routinely capture all the components of service time, it is likely that most users will have to estimate part of the average service times. Reliable estimates for average service times do not require that information be obtained from all incidents. (In **fact**, this is not realistic since incident records are often incomplete.) A reliable estimate for the average service time for an incident category can be obtained using a random sample of 100 or more incidents in the category for the time period of interest.

In Worksheet 3, total obligated time is determined first by calculating the total obligated time required for all accidents and then using that time to determine the total number of on-duty officers needed each day for accidents (Section 3.1). The same procedure is used in Section 3.2 to determine the total number of on-duty officers required each day to handle all other CFS. The results are then added together in Section 3.3 to obtain the total number of on-duty officers needed each day for both accidents and other CFS. The PAM model separates accidents and other CFS to enable the user to identify the number of officers required for each type of incident.

During the field tests, some agencies did not use both categories in Worksheet 3, but decided instead to group all incidents in the other CFS category. This procedure will yield the same total number of on-duty officers if an adjusted average service time based on both types of incidents is used. It is also possible to use more than two categories. For example, the @@Other CFS" category can be divided into several subcategories, and each subcategory can be used to determine the number of on-duty officers that are needed each day to handle all calls in that subcategory. (To use this procedure, however, requires an estimated average service time for each subcategory.) The total number of officers required is **obtained by** adding the officer requirements for each subcategory. While the use of subcategories provides additional information about which types of incidents require the most

personnel, it has no impact on the total staffing level required for all incidents. As a result, the value of the additional information must be weighed against the extra effort required to collect incident data and to estimate a service time for each subcategory.

Shift length. The PAM procedures are designed to accommodate any shift length (e.g., 8 hours, **10** hours, or **12** hours). Changes in the shift length will alter the shift relief factor for an agency. For **8-hour** shifts, **SRFs** typically fall into the range: **1.60 - 1.90**. For **10-hour** shifts, the range is **2.00 - 2.40**; and for **12-hour** shifts, the usual range is **2.40 - 2.90**. A common misperception is that since a change in the shift length changes the **SRF**, it must change the total staffing requirement for an agency. In fact, if the average work week (e.g., 40 hours per week) and the total time off given for benefits (e.g., vacations, holidays, etc.) remain the same, a change in shift length has no impact on total staffing.

Special assignment personnel. Special assignment personnel who are also used for patrol can be included in Worksheet 7 of the Manual. The user must provide the total number of officers used for each type of special assignment in the **APA** and the average fraction of time each officer spends on patrol. This information is then used to adjust the total number of @@non-special assignment@@ officers that are needed. The final staffing value from Worksheet 7 includes both special and non-special assignment officers. The PAM model cannot be used to estimate how many officers will be needed for special assignments (e.g., tactical and accident investigation units).

Travel times. In sections **5.3** and **5.4**, the user must provide travel time objectives as part of the procedure for determining how many on-duty officers are needed for response to emergency and **non-emergency** activities. Travel time refers to the time interval that begins when an officer receives a dispatch and ends when he/she arrives on scene. Travel time does not include dispatching time (i.e., the time required at the **communication** center to process and transmit the assignment to the officer). It is also important to note that the travel times required in sections **5.3** and **5.4** are **"averages."** This means that the actual travel time will be less than the user-specified average about half of the time and greater than the average for the other half. In Table **1**, the average travel time objective selected during the field test for emergency response was **4.50** minutes.



#### SECTION 4: Recommended Data Collection and Implementation Procedures

Most of the work associated with using the PAM procedures involves defining and collecting data, and unless caution is exercised, it is possible to be overwhelmed by these activities. This section of the Guide presents a recommended procedure for using the model that is designed to avoid excessive data collection efforts. The recommended procedure is based on the successes and problems encountered by the municipal police departments during the Phase IV field test. The procedure consists of four steps that describe an iterative process for using the PAM model.

##### STEP 1: Obtain Initial Staffing Level Estimates With Minimum Data Collection Effort

It is likely that every agency that uses the PAM procedures will find that it does not have all the input data that is required. This may occur for several reasons: the agency may not routinely collect the data; the agency may collect the data, but not in the form or categories required; or the data may not be stored in an easily retrievable form. Whatever the reasons, an agency will be faced with the question of how much effort to expend in obtaining each data item. Step 1 recommends minimizing the initial data collection effort; that is, for input data items that are not easily obtained, **"quick and dirty"** estimates should be used. The rationale for this recommendation is that it is more important to obtain an initial estimate of the total staff required than it is to obtain a high level of accuracy for every input data item. It is strongly recommended that plans for extensive data collection be deferred until steps 2 and 3 described below are implemented.

##### STEP 2: Assess the Quality of the Input Data Items

After the initial staffing estimates have been obtained, each of the input data items should be assessed in terms of completeness, reliability, and accuracy. The assessment of each item will be a subjective process. As an example, it may be determined that there are **68** miles of category 2 roadway miles in an **APA**. If this figure was obtained from the city street department and is based on recent data, it is reasonable to conclude that this item is reasonably accurate. On the other hand, if the average service time for handling accidents is based on a survey of three field sergeants who give individual estimates of **1.1, 2.5, and 3.2** hours, it would

be clear that further effort is needed to obtain a better estimate. It is recommended that all data items be placed into three or four groups depending on their relative quality (i.e., accuracy, reliability, etc.). Those data items in the lowest category (i.e., least accurate, least reliable, etc.) will be the initial candidates for additional refinement.

**STEP 3: Investigate the Sensitivity of the Staffing Estimates to Changes in Individual Data Items**

The next step is to identify which of the @@soft@@ input data items should be refined. The basis for identifying these items is to determine which items make the biggest contribution to the overall staffing estimate. Not all data items used in PAM are equally important; i.e., not all items have the same impact on the total staffing estimate. For example, in an agency that places a low priority on uncommitted patrol visibility on category 3 roadways (i.e., the patrol interval is very high), it may not be important to have a highly accurate figure for the number of category 3 roadway miles in the **APA** since even a large change in the number of miles will have only a minimal impact on the final staffing estimate. In contrast, final staffing estimates tend to be sensitive to changes in the shift relief factor; that is, changes of only 5 or 10 percent in the relief factor can produce changes of 5 or 10 percent in the final staffing estimate. Sensitivity analyses should be done for each data item in the lowest data quality category to identify those items for which additional accuracy is needed and justified.

**STEP 4: Improve Accuracy of Important Data Items**

The final step recommends that the input data items targeted for additional refinement be prioritized based on the results of steps 2 and 3 above. Such a list serves two purposes. First, effort can be directed toward those data items that are @@soft@@ and will have an impact on the staffing estimate. Second, limited resources can be targeted efficiently. As each data item is improved, more reliable staffing figures will be generated. This process has no natural termination point, but rather is limited by the resources and time available. At some point, the effort and resources required to improve the data will outweigh the value gained by the changes in the staffing estimate.

APPENDIX A: Comprehensive List of Data Requirements for  
Use of the PAM Model

This appendix presents a list of all of the data items that may be used in the PAM model. The list is organized by the worksheet in which each item is first used.

**Worksheet 1:** Operations, Workload, and Roadway Data

All data items in Worksheet 1 are required.

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Name of the <b>APA</b> . . . . .	1.1
Shift length (hours) . . . . .	1.2.1
Average work week (hours) . . . . .	1.2.2.1
Average number of benefit (paid) off-duty hours per year per officer . . . . .	1.2.2.2
Average number of on-duty hours spent on non-patrol temporary assignments per year per officer . . . . .	1.2.2.3
Average number of officers to be supervised by each field supervisor . . . . .	1.2.3
Percentage of field supervisor on-duty time spent on uncommitted patrol, reactive, and self-initiated/COP activities . . . . .	1.2.4
Type name, category 1 roadways in the <b>APA</b> . . . . .	1.2.5.1
Average patrol speed (MPH), category 1 roadways in the <b>APA</b> . . . . .	1.2.5.2
Patrol interval performance objective (hours), category 1 roadways in the <b>APA</b> . . . . .	1.2.5.3

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Type name, category 2 roadways in the <b>APA</b> . . . . .	<b>1.2.6.1</b>
Average patrol speed (MPH), category 2 roadways in the <b>APA</b> . . . . .	<b>1.2.6.2</b>
Patrol interval performance objective (hours), category 2 roadways in the <b>APA</b> . . . . .	<b>1.2.6.3</b>
Type name, category 3 roadways in the <b>APA</b> . . . . .	<b>1.2.7.1</b>
Average patrol speed (MPH), category 3 roadways in the <b>APA</b> . . . . .	<b>1.2.7.2</b>
Patrol interval performance objective (hours), category 3 roadways in the <b>APA</b> . . . . .	<b>1.2.7.3</b>
Total number of days in the workload sample period . . . . .	<b>1.3.1</b>
Total number of accidents handled by the agency during the sample period . . . . .	<b>1.3.2</b>
Average service time (hours) per accident . . . . .	<b>1.3.3</b>
Total number of other <b>CFS</b> handled by the agency during the sample period . . . . .	<b>1.3.4</b>
Average service time (hours) per <b>CFS</b> . . . . .	<b>1.3.5</b>
Percentage of other <b>CFS</b> that cannot be preempted . . . . .	<b>1.3.6</b>
Percentage of administrative activities that cannot be preempted . . . . .	<b>1.3.7</b>
Percentage of self-initiated/COP activities that cannot be preempted . . . . .	<b>1.3.8</b>
Number of miles, category 1 roadways <b>in the APA</b> . . . . .	<b>1.4.1</b>
Number of miles, category 2 roadways <b>in the APA</b> . . . . .	<b>1.4.2</b>
Number of miles, category 3 roadways <b>in the APA</b> . . . . .	<b>1.4.3</b>

**Worksheet 2: Administrative Time**

In Worksheet 2, the user has the option of providing data item 2.1 or data items 2.2.1 and 2.2.2.

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Administrative time performance objective in minutes per hour per officer . . . . .	2.1
Total time (hours) spent on administrative activities during the sample period . . . . .	2.2.1
Total on-duty hours on patrol during the sample period . . . . .	2.2.2

**Worksheet 3: Reactive Time**

All data items for Worksheet 3 are obtained from Worksheet 1.

**Worksheet 4: Proactive Time - Self-Initiated and Community-Oriented Policing**

In Worksheet 4, the user has the option of providing data item 4.1 or data items 4.2.1, 4.2.2, and 4.2.4, or data items 4.3.1 and 4.3.2. Input data item 4.5.1 is **optional**.

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Self-initiated performance objective time in minutes per hour per officer . . . . .	4.1

**Worksheet 2: Administrative Time**

In Worksheet 2, the user has the option of providing data item 2.1 or data items 2.2.1 and 2.2.2.

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Administrative time performance objective in minutes per hour per officer . . . . .	2.1
Total time (hours) spent on administrative activities during the sample period . . . . .	2.2.1
Total on-duty hours on patrol during the sample period . . . . .	2.2.2

**Worksheet 3: Reactive Time**

All data items for Worksheet 3 are obtained from Worksheet 1.

**Worksheet 4: Proactive Time - Self-Initiated and Community-Oriented Policing**

In Worksheet 4, the user has the option of providing data item 4.1 or data items 4.2.1, 4.2.2, and 4.2.4, or data items 4.3.1 and 4.3.2. Input data item 4.5.1 is **optional**.

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Self-initiated performance objective time in minutes per hour per officer . . . . .	4.1

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Average response speed (MPH) for non-emergency reactive activities . . . . .	5.4.3
Average travel time performance objective (minutes) for non-emergency activities . . . . .	5.4.4

### **Worksheet 6: Average Daily Number of On-Duty Officers**

In Worksheet 6, the user can provide data item 6.2.1 or data item 6.3.1.

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Percentage of time patrol units are staffed with two officers . . . . .	6.2.1
Average minimum number of on-duty officers required per day for all patrol activities, based on agency policy . . . . .	6.3.1

### **Worksheet 7: Special Assignments and Field Supervision**

In Worksheet 7, the user has the option of using all, some, or none of the data items listed below.

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Name of special assignment 1 . . . . .	7.2.1.1
Average number of on-duty officers on specialized assignment 1 . . . . .	7.2.1.2

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Percentage of on-duty time spent on patrol by officers assigned to special assignments 1 . . . . .	7.2.1.3
Name of special assignment 2 . . . . .	7.2.2.1
Average number of on-duty officers on specialized assignment 2 . . . . .	7.2.2.2
Percentage of on-duty time spent on patrol by officers assigned to special assignments 2 . . . . .	7.2.2.3
Name of special assignment 3 . . . . .	7.2.3.1
Average number of on-duty officers on specialized assignment 3 . . . . .	7.2.3.2
Percentage of on-duty time spent on patrol by officers assigned to special assignments 3 . . . . .	7.2.3.3

**Worksheet 8:** Total Patrol Staff Requirements

In Worksheet 8, the user may provide data item 8.4.

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Number of command personnel - agency policy . . . . .	8.4

**Worksheet 9:** Allocation of Patrol Personnel Among Several APAs

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Total number of additional personnel for all <b>APAs</b> (enter a negative value for personnel reduction) . . . . .	9.1.1



<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Total number of current personnel . . . . .	<b>9.1.2</b>
Number of current personnel in each <b>APA</b> . . . . .	<b>9.1.3</b>
Number of personnel estimated for each <b>APA</b> by the PAM model . . . . .	<b>9.1.5</b>

**Supplemental Worksheet:** Uncommitted Patrol Availability - Immediate Response

The supplemental worksheet for Section **5.2** is located in Appendix A in the Manual.

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Percent of on-duty staff on shift 1 . . . . .	<b>A.2.1</b>
Percent of on-duty staff on shift 2 . . . . .	<b>A.2.4</b>
Percent of on-duty staff on shift 3 . . . . .	<b>A.2.7</b>
Percent of accidents on shift 1 . . . . .	<b>A.3.1</b>
Percent of accidents on shift 2 . . . . .	<b>A.3.4</b>
Percent of accidents on shift 3 . . . . .	<b>A.3.7</b>
Percent of other <b>CFS</b> on shift 1 . . . . .	<b>A.4.1</b>
Percent of other <b>CFS</b> on shift 2 . . . . .	<b>A.4.4</b>
Percent of other <b>CFS</b> on shift 3 . . . . .	<b>A.4.7</b>
Percent of non-preemptable other <b>CFS</b> on shift 1 . .	<b>A.5.1.1</b>
Percent of non-preemptable administrative activities on shift 1 . . . . .	<b>A.5.1.2</b>
Percent of non-preemptable self-initiated/COP activities on shift 1 . . . . .	<b>A.5.1.3</b>

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Performance objective percentage of emergency accidents and other <b>CFS</b> activities on shift 1 for which there will be at least one officer available . . . . .	<b>A.5.4.1</b>
Percent of non-preemptable other <b>CFS</b> on shift 2 . .	<b>A.6.1.1</b>
Percent of non-preemptable administrative activities on shift <b>2</b> . . . . .	<b>A.6.1.2</b>
Percent of non-preemptable self-initiated/COP activities on shift 2 . . . . .	<b>A.6.1.3</b>
Performance objective percentage of emergency accidents and other <b>CFS</b> activities on shift 2 for which there will be at least one officer available . . . . .	<b>A.6.4.1</b>
Percent of non-preemptable other <b>CFS</b> on shift 3 . .	<b>A.7.1.1</b>
Percent of non-preemptable administrative activities on shift 3 . . . . .	<b>A.7.1.2</b>
Percent of non-preemptable self-initiated/COP activities on shift 3 . . . . .	<b>A.7.1.3</b>
Performance objective percentage of emergency accidents and other <b>CFS</b> activities on shift 3 for which there will be at least one officer available . . . . .	<b>A.7.4.1</b>

<u>Data Item</u>	<u>Worksheet Identifier</u>
Performance objective percentage of emergency accidents and other <b>CFS</b> activities on shift 1 for which there will be at least one officer available . . . . .	<b>A.5.4.1</b>
Percent of non-preemptable other <b>CFS</b> on shift 2 . .	<b>A.6.1.1</b>
Percent of non-preemptable administrative activities on shift <b>2</b> . . . . .	<b>A.6.1.2</b>
Percent of non-preemptable self-initiated/COP activities on shift 2 . . . . .	<b>A.6.1.3</b>
Performance objective percentage of emergency accidents and other <b>CFS</b> activities on shift 2 for which there will be at least one officer available . . . . .	<b>A.6.4.1</b>
Percent of non-preemptable other <b>CFS</b> on shift 3 . .	<b>A.7.1.1</b>
Percent of non-preemptable administrative activities on shift 3 . . . . .	<b>A.7.1.2</b>
Percent of non-preemptable self-initiated/COP activities on shift 3 . . . . .	<b>A.7.1.3</b>
Performance objective percentage of emergency accidents and other <b>CFS</b> activities on shift 3 for which there will be at least one officer available . . . . .	<b>A.7.4.1</b>

Autonomous patrol area (**APA**) - Patrol area in which one or more officers are assigned which has the following characteristics: (1) **CFS** may be assigned to **any** of the officers in the **APA**, (2) **CFS** are rarely dispatched to officers assigned outside of the **APA**, and (3) officers **assigned to the APA** rarely respond to **CFS** outside of the **APA**.

Availability - In the PAM model, one criterion for determining the number of officers required for uncommitted patrol is based on an analysis of the number of officers that must be "**available**" in order to meet two performance standards: (1) the likelihood or probability (set by the user) that at least one officer will be available for immediate dispatch to a **CFS**, and (2) that enough officers will be available to insure that a specified average travel time (set by the user) will be met.

Average work week - The average number of paid on-duty hours per officer per week.

Backup unit - A patrol unit that is assigned or responds to a **CFS** to assist the primary unit.

Benefit days off - **Paid** time off taken by officers in addition to regular days off provided by the work schedule. Benefit time off includes vacation leave, sick leave, holidays, and compensatory time off.

**CFS** - **CFS** stands for "**calls** for service@@ (i.e., calls to the police agency that require the dispatch of one or more officers). The PAM model uses the total time required to service **CFS** as the workload measure for reactive time. For agencies with full police powers, **CFS** include criminal activities, traffic accidents, and various other police services. Some agencies may limit their reactive time to **CFS** that consist primarily of traffic accidents and assistance to motorists **and** other agencies.

Citation - A summons or notice to appear issued by an officer for a traffic law violation.

Collector roadway - An **"intermediate"** roadway that is used by motorists as a bridge between residential and arterial roadways. Collector roadways often have mixed commercial and higher density residential development.

Command personnel - Those personnel assigned to an **APA** or several **APAs** who provide support services (e.g., training, range, or desk officers) and the command staff above the rank of field supervisor.

Community-oriented policing (COP) - A patrol philosophy which encourages or requires police officers to park their vehicles and walk in the community and interact with residents. While doing this, the officers are generally not assigned calls for service. Some agencies have policies specifying the amount of time patrol officers should spend on COP activities. Other agencies use assigned officers for this task and patrol officers are not normally involved.

Compensatory time off - Time off granted in lieu of monetary payment for overtime work. Compensatory time or **"comp time"** may be granted at different rates. A straight time rate implies that one hour of **comp** time is given for each hour of overtime worked. A **comp** time rate of time and a half implies that 1 1/2 hours of **comp** time is granted for each hour of overtime.

Constrained allocation - Constrained allocation refers to the distribution of a specific number of officers over several **APAs** with limitations on the number of officers that can be assigned to each **APA**. In the PAM model, constrained allocation is used for two cases: **(1)** when a specific number of officers are to be added to an existing allocation, the constrained allocation will determine a new allocation of the existing and new officers with the limitation or **"@constraint"** that the revised staffing level for each **APA** must equal or exceed the existing level for each **APA**, and **(2)** when a specific number of officers are to be subtracted from an existing allocation, the constrained allocation will determine a new allocation of the reduced number of officers with the limitation or constraint that the revised staffing level for each **APA** must not exceed the existing staffing level for each **APA**. (See **"@Unconstrained Allocation."**)

**Contacts** - In the PAM model, contacts refers to self-initiated activities. One measure of the level of self-initiated activity is a count of the number of self-initiated contacts (i.e., the number of stops for traffic violations, warnings, and assists) per hour per shift.

**Controlled-access highway** - A roadway in which owners or occupants of abutting lands have no legal right of access to or from the roadway except at such points only and in such manner as may be determined by the public authority having jurisdiction over the roadway (e.g., most interstate highways).

**Deployment plan** - A generic term that refers to the resource allocation staffing plan for an agency. A comprehensive deployment plan may indicate the level of staff resources that are needed and how those resources should be allocated by time (e.g., by time of day and day of the week) and by geography (e.g., by **APA**).

**Deterrence** - The impact of visible patrol units on potential traffic and criminal offenders. (See @@Preventive **Patrol**.)

**Dispatching time** - The time interval that begins when a call is received at the dispatching center and ends when the assignment is communicated to an officer.

**Dispatched unit** - A patrol unit that is assigned to a **CFS** by the dispatching or telecommunication center.

**Emergency activity** - An accident or other **CFS** for which an immediate response is required.

**Field supervisor** - Field supervisor refers to agency personnel who provide on-the-road supervision of patrol officers. Typically, field supervisors hold the rank of corporal, or sergeant.

**Follow-up investigation** - Investigation of an incident after the initial on-scene investigation.

Fraction - In the PAM model, a fraction refers to a number between zero (0) and one (1). A fraction can be converted to a percentage by multiplying it by 100. For example, a fraction of 0.5 is equivalent to 50% (i.e.,  $100 \times 0.5 = 50$ ).

Full-time - In PAM, the term "**full-time**" is used to refer to officers and field supervisors who are assigned exclusively to patrol. Officers who work on non-patrol units or have permanent special assignments are not "**full-time**" on patrol.

Historical experience - Refers to the derivation of a value in the PAM model based on data from the past experience of an agency.

Immediate response - An agency provides an "**immediate response**" to a CFS when the assignment is dispatched as soon as it is received at the dispatching center and the assigned unit begins travel to the scene as soon as it receives the assignment. (See @'Probability of Immediate Response.@@)

Interstate highway - A roadway identified by the Federal government as part of the U.S. National Interstate and Defense Highway system. Interstate highways must conform to a number of design requirements (e.g., they must be limited access roadways) and are designated by red and blue identification shield-shaped signs.

Jurisdiction - The entire area in which an officer has law enforcement powers.

Model - The PAM model is a systematic procedure for representing the relationships between the patrol staff requirements for municipal police departments and a number of workload, operational, and policy descriptors.

Moving patrol - Uncommitted patrol time during which a patrol vehicle is moving with the normal flow of traffic. (See @'Stationary**Patrol**.")

Non-emergency activities - Accidents and other CFS for which an immediate response is not required.

Non-patrol time - In PAM, Non-patrol **time**" refers to on-duty time spent on special assignments.

Non-preemptable activities - Officers assigned to a **non-pre-emptable** administrative, reactive, or self-initiated/COP activity cannot be reassigned until he/she has completed his/her current assignment or he/she is relieved by another officer. Examples of non-preemptable activities are testifying in court, clearing accidents with roadway blockage, or taking serious incident reports.

Non-permanent assignment - A temporary special assignment. Temporary special assignments that require one or more shifts (e.g., protection of a visiting dignitary) are usually included in the calculation of the shift relief factor for the agency. Temporary special assignments that require less than one shift are included in the "administrative" time category. (See "Special Assignments.")

Non-supervisory work - Work done by a field supervisor that does not involve the supervision of subordinates. Non-supervisory work usually includes patrol activities that could be performed by officers.

Obligated time - The total time required by patrol units to respond to and service **CFS**. Obligated time includes the travel time, on-scene time, report writing time, and follow-up investigation time expended by all patrol units that respond to a **CFS**. In the PAM **model**, **total** obligated time is based on the time spent by each unit, not each officer. Total obligated time serves as the **workload** measure to determine the number of patrol units required for reactive time activities. Total obligated time is also referred to as total service time or total reactive time.

Officers - A generic term that refers to sworn personnel in a law enforcement agency.



On-duty time - In the PAM model, on-duty time refers to the actual time that an officer appears for work. On-duty time includes both patrol time and non-patrol time. Non-patrol time includes time spent on temporary special assignments. On-duty time can be determined by assuming that an officer works every day and subtracting the number of days that an officer is off duty for both scheduled time off (i.e., regular days off) and benefit time off (i.e., vacation time, holidays, sick leave, etc.). If this method is used, paid overtime must be added to determine the total on-duty time.

One-officer unit - A patrol unit with only one officer assigned to it.

Patrol activity - A generic term that refers to the activities included in the four time categories used in the PAM model (i.e., reactive, self-initiated/COP, uncommitted patrol, and administrative).

Patrol area - See **"Area."**

Patrol contacts - Used in the PAM model to refer to incidents included in the **"self-initiated"** category (e.g., an officer who issues four citations and assists two motorists during one shift would have had six contacts).

Patrol interval - A measure of the extent or intensity of patrol coverage. The patrol interval is defined as the frequency with which an officer will **pass** a given point on the roadway or the average time a stranded motorist would have to wait for an officer to come by on uncommitted patrol. Patrol interval is determined by the number of roadway miles, the number of patrol units, the amount of uncommitted patrol time per hour per unit, and the average patrol speed.

Patrol speed - The average speed in miles per hour of a unit while on uncommitted patrol. The speed can be determined by dividing the miles driven per shift by uncommitted patrol time. The total miles should not include miles driven while responding to an accident **or other CFS**, or performing an administrative activity. Uncommitted time does not include time spent on administrative, reactive, or self-initiated/COP activities. The time spent on uncommitted patrol should include time spent on both moving and stationary patrol.

Patrol unit - A vehicle used by one or two officers for patrol activities. In the PAM model, the procedures used in worksheets 2 - 5 and Section **6.1** in Worksheet 6 are based on the assumption that each patrol unit has one officer. As a result, in these worksheets, the terms "number of patrol **units**" and "number of officers on patrol" are used interchangeably. (An adjustment for the use of two-officer patrol units is presented in Worksheet **6.**)

Performance objective - A target or specified performance standard that is set either by policy or by historical experience. In the PAM model, performance objectives may be set for **(1)** the number of minutes per hour per officer spends on administrative activities, **(2)** the number of minutes per hour per officer spends on self-initiated/COP activities, **(3)** the number of self-initiated contacts per shift per officer, **(4)** the average patrol interval, **(5)** the percentage of accidents and other **CFS** for which an officer can be dispatched immediately, **(6)** the average travel time to accidents and other **CFS**, **(7)** the percentage of two-officer units, **(8)** the average number of officers per field supervisor, and **(9)** the number of command personnel required.

Permanent assignment - An assignment that will continue for an indefinite period of time. In PAM, the adjustment for the number of officers required for patrol when some officers are on permanent special assignment is covered in Worksheet **7.**

Preemptable activities - Officers assigned or engaged in preemptable administrative, reactive, or self-initiated/COP activities can be interrupted and immediately reassigned to a more serious or emergency incident. Preemptable activities include service calls, post-incident report writing, traffic stops, and meal breaks.

Preventive patrol - Visible uncommitted patrol designed to prevent or limit unlawful activity. (See "**Deterrence.**")

Primary highway - A U.S. or state-numbered route, or other major highway designated by authorities as part of a major system of roadways within their jurisdiction.

Primary unit - Patrol unit that is assigned to or initiates a patrol activity and has responsibility for investigating and reporting the activity.

Proactive time - Proactive time refers to time spent by an officer on self-initiated/COP activities and uncommitted patrol; it includes the time spent performing the activity (**e.g.**, issuing a citation) and the time spent on uncommitted patrol looking for the activity (**e.g.**, looking for traffic violators).

Probability of immediate response - The probability that when the next **CFS** arrives, at least one officer will be free or available for assignment to the call.

Queuing theory - A branch of mathematics that uses statistics and probability to describe the operating characteristics of queues (**i.e.**, waiting lines). The receiving and assigning of **CFS** at a police dispatching center can be viewed as a waiting line operation in which **CFS** represent customers who will have to wait in line (**i.e.**, stacked) if all servers (**i.e.**, patrol units) are busy. The determination of the "probability of immediate **response**" in the PAM model is based on formulas derived from queuing theory.

Reactive time - The total time required by all patrol units to respond to and handle a **CFS**. (See "**Obligated Time**.")

Reallocation - In PAM, the term "**reallocation**" is used to identify or distinguish a revised allocation of a specific number of officers over several **APAs** from the original or initial distribution of the officers.

Regular duty - The usual or permanent assignment for an officer or field supervisor. Regular duty may consist of patrol **duty**, special assignment, or a combination of both. An officer is not on regular duty while on temporary assignment.

Residential roadway - A roadway not generally used by through traffic usually located in areas with low-density residential housing.

Response speed - The average speed in miles per hour of a patrol unit responding to an accident or other **CFS**.

Resource allocation - In PAM, resource allocation refers to the determination of the number and allocation of law enforcement personnel whose primary mission is the delivery of police traffic services.

Roadway - A generic term used in PAM to refer to any type of highway or street patrolled by a jurisdiction-wide law enforcement agency (e.g., interstate highways, primary highways, secondary highways, arterial streets, collector streets, residential streets, etc.)

Sample period - In PAM, the sample period refers to the time period for which data is collected. It is not necessary that all input data used in the PAM model be obtained from the same **\*\*sample period.\*\***

Secondary highway - Any non-arterial or rural roadway.

Self-initiated activities - Activities carried out by patrol officers that are not assigned by a dispatcher. Examples include most traffic enforcement and motorist assists.

Self-initiated contact - See **\*\*Contacts,\*\***

Service time - The total time expended by a patrol unit to handle an accident, **CFS**, or self-initiated/COP activity. Service time includes travel time (for dispatched calls), on-scene time, report writing time, and follow-up investigation time expended by all units that provide service. Service time does not include dispatching time. Service time spent on dispatched calls is used to determine the total obligated time for an agency. (See "Obligated **Time.**")

Service-on-demand - A term used to characterize **CFS** in order to distinguish them from self-initiated/COP activities.

Shift - An officer's regular on-duty period; sometimes called a tour or watch.

Shift length - The length in hours of each tour, watch, or shift.

Shift relief factor - The shift relief factor indicates the average number of personnel needed to provide one on-duty officer for one shift every day. Shift relief factors for agencies with eight-hour shift lengths are usually between **1.6** and **1.9**. The shift relief factor is multiplied by the average number of on-duty personnel required per day to determine the total patrol staff size. Shift relief factors depend on the shift length, average work week, benefit time off policies of the agency, and the amount of on-duty, non-patrol time per officer.

Special assignments - Additional assignments given to patrol personnel (e.g., accident reconstruction and hazardous materials). Permanent special assignments are handled in Worksheet 7 of the Manual. Time spent on temporary special assignments that last for more than one shift are not included in any of the four patrol time categories **used in** the PAM model, but are accounted for in the determination of the shift relief factor. Time spent on temporary special assignments that require less than one shift is included in administrative time.

Staff - The PAM model uses the term **\*\*staff\*\*** to refer to the total number of sworn personnel (both on- and off-duty) that are required to provide a specified number of on-duty officers per day.

Stationary patrol - Uncommitted patrol time in which the patrol vehicle is not in motion. Examples include running stationary mode radar and observing an intersection or high accident location for traffic violators.

Temporary assignment - See **\*\*Non-Permanent Assignment,\*\***

Tour - See **\*\*Shift.\*\***

Travel time - The time interval that begins when a patrol unit receives a **CFS** assignment from a dispatcher and ends when the unit arrives on scene. Travel time does not include dispatching time.

Two-officer unit - A patrol unit with two officers assigned to it.

Uncommitted patrol - Time spent by patrol personnel on activities other than reactive, self-initiated/COP, or administrative. Uncommitted patrol time is used to provide agency visibility for the deterrence of traffic violators and agency availability for reactive and self-initiated/COP activities.

Uncommitted time - See **\*\*Uncommitted Patrol Time.\*\***

Unconstrained allocation - Unconstrained allocation refers to the distribution of a specific number of officers over several **APAs** with no limitations on the number of officers that can be assigned to each **APA**. (See **\*\*Constrained Allocation.\*\***)

Uniform staffing - Uniform staffing by shift or **APA** refers to an allocation in which the same number of patrol officers is assigned to each shift, day of the week, or **APA**.

Visibility - One purpose of uncommitted patrol is to promote the general deterrence of traffic and criminal violators by maintaining a high level of officer visibility.

Watch - See **\*\*Shift.\*\***

Work activity - See **"Patrol Activity.\*\***

Workload - In the PAM model, the term workload refers to the total obligated time generated by all accidents and other **CFS**, and the total time required to provide a user-specified level of self-initiated/COP activities.

Worksheet Abbreviations and Notation

<b>APA</b>	-	Autonomous patrol area.
<b>AWW</b>	-	Average work week.
<b>CAD</b>	-	Computer-aided dispatching.
<b>CFS</b>	-	Calls for service.
<b>COP</b>	-	Community-oriented policing.
$f_{npa}$	-	Fraction of administrative activities that are non-preemptable.
$f_{npai}$	-	Fraction of administrative activities that are non-preemptable on shift <b>i</b> .
$f_{npi}$	-	Fraction of reactive activities that are <b>non-preemptable</b> on shift <b>i</b> .
$f_{npr}$	-	Fraction of reactive activities that are <b>non-preemptable</b> .
$f_{npri}$	-	Fraction of reactive activities that are <b>non-preemptable</b> on shift <b>i</b> .
$f_{nps}$	-	Fraction of self-initiated/COP activities that are non-preemptable.
$f_{npsi}$	-	Fraction of self-initiated/COP activities that are non-preemptable on shift <b>i</b> .
$f_{si}$	-	Fraction of on-duty time spent on non-patrol activities by officers assigned to special unit <b>i</b> .
$H_b$	-	Average number of benefit (paid) hours off per year per officer.
$H_p$	-	Average number of on-duty hours on patrol per year officer per year.
$H_t$	-	Total hours required to cover one shift position for one year.

- $H_{ta}$**  - Average number of on-duty hours on temporary assignments (non-patrol) per officer per year.
- $H_y$**  - Average number of paid hours of work per year per officer.
- $IR\%$**  - The agency-specified performance objective for the percentage of accidents and other **CFS** for which at least one officer will be available.
- $IR\%i$**  - The agency-specified performance objective for the percentage of accidents, other **CFS**, and self-initiated/COP activities on shift  $i$  for which at least one officer will be available.
- $K_a$**  - Factor used in Section 5.2 based on  $m_a$  and  $m_s$ . All administrative activities are treated as non-preemptable.
- $K_{ai}$**  - Factor used for shift  $i$  in Appendix A in the Manual based on  $m_a$  and  $m_s$ . All administrative activities on shift  $i$  are treated as **non-preemptable**.
- $K_{dsa}$**  - Factor used in Section 5.2 in the Manual to estimate the number of officers required to meet the immediate response performance requirement. It is based on  $K_{nsa}$  and  $K_{sa}$ .
- $K_{dsai}$**  - Factor used in Appendix A in the Manual for shift  $i$  to estimate the number of officers required to meet the immediate response performance requirement. It is based on  $K_{nsai}$  and  $K_{sai}$ .
- $K_f$**  - Factor used in Worksheet 7 to adjust the daily number of on-duty officers. Based on the average number of officers that report to each field supervisor and the percentage of time supervisors spend on patrol work.
- $K_{na}$**  - Factor used in Section 5.2 based on  $m_a$  and  $m_s$  and adjusted for the fraction of administrative activities that are non-preemptable.
- $K_{nai}$**  - Factor used in Appendix A in the Manual based on  $m_a$  and  $m_s$  and adjusted for the fraction of administrative activities that are **non-preemptable** on shift  $i$ .



- $K_{ns}$**             -     Factor used in Section 5.2 based on  $m_a$  and  $m_s$  and adjusted for the fraction of self-initiated activities that are non-preemptable.
  
- $K_{nsa}$**            -     Number used in Section 5.2 in the Manual to determine which table to use to estimate the maximum number of officers required to meet the immediate response performance requirement. Based on  $m_a$ ,  $m_s$ , and the fraction of administrative and self-initiated/COP activities that are non-preemptable.
  
- $K_{nsai}$**           -     Number used in Appendix A in the Manual to determine which table to use to estimate the maximum number of -officers required to meet the immediate response performance requirement for shift  $i$ . Based on  $m_a$ ,  $m_s$ , and the fraction of administrative and self-initiated/COP activities that are non-preemptable on shift  $i$ .
  
- $K_{nsi}$**            -     Factor used in Appendix A in the Manual for shift  $i$  based on  $m_a$  and  $m_s$  and adjusted for the fraction of self-initiated activities that are non-preemptable on shift  $i$ .
  
- $K_s$**              -     Factor used in Section 5.2 based on  $m_a$  and  $m_s$ . All self-initiated activities are treated as non-preemptable.
  
- $K_{sa}$**            -     Factor used in Section 5.2 in the Manual to estimate the number of officers required to meet the immediate response performance requirement. It is based on  $K_{na}$  and  $K_{ns}$  and assumes that no administrative or self-initiated activities are preemptable.
  
- $K_{sai}$**            -     Factor used in Appendix A in the Manual to estimate the number of officers required to meet the immediate response performance requirement on shift  $i$ . It is based on  $K_{nai}$  and  $K_{nsi}$  and assumes that no administrative or self-initiated **activities** are preemptable on shift  $i$ .
  
- $K_{si}$**            -     Factor used for shift  $i$  in Appendix A in the Manual based on  $m_a$  and  $m_s$ . All self-initiated activities on shift  $i$  are treated as **non-preemptable**.

- $K_{tt}$         -     Number used in sections 5.3 and 5.4 to de  
**termine** the number of officers required to  
meet the travel time performance objective for  
area patrol. Based on the average response  
speed and the travel time objective in min-  
utes,
- $m_a$         -     The average number of minutes per hour per  
officer spent on administrative time.
- $m_r$         -     The average number of minutes per hour per  
officer spent on reactive activities (i.e.,  
dispatched accidents and other **CFS**).
- $m_s$         -     The average number of minutes per hour per  
officer spent on self-initiated/COP activities  
**(e.g.,** traffic citations, traffic warnings,  
and motorist assists).
- MPH        -     Miles per hour.
- $N_{air}$        -     Additional number of on-duty officers required  
each day to meet the immediate response objec-  
tive,
- $N_{airi}$       -     Additional number of on-duty officers required  
each day for shift *i* to meet the immediate  
response objective.
- $N_{airs}$       -     Additional number of on-duty officers required  
per shift each day to meet the immediate  
response objective.
- $N_{ao}$        -     Adjusted average daily number of on-duty  
officers.
- $N_{ari}$        -     Adjusted daily number of on-duty officers  
required for emergency reactive activities on  
shift *i*.
- $N_{ars}$        -     Adjusted daily number of on-duty officers  
required per shift for emergency reactive  
activities.
- $N_{asi}$        -     Adjusted daily number of on-duty officers  
assigned to special assignment *i*.

$N_c$	-	The total number of command staff, both on and off-duty.
$N_{ett}$	-	The actual number of additional officers needed to meet the travel time performance objective for emergency activities. Used in Section 5.3.
$N_{i,i}$	-	The actual number of additional officers required on shift $i$ to meet the immediate response time performance objective.
$N_{i,os}$	-	The actual number of additional officers required per shift to meet the immediate response time performance objective.
$N_{max,t}$	-	The maximum number of additional officers needed to meet the travel time performance objective for emergency activities. Used in Section 5.3.
$N_{min}$	-	The average minimum number of on-duty patrol officers required per day.
$N_{net,t}$	-	The actual number of additional officers needed to meet the travel time performance objective for non-emergency activities. Used in Section 5.4.
$N_o$	-	The average total number of on-duty officers per day.
$N_{os}$	-	The average number of on-duty field supervisors per day.
$N_{ot}$	-	The average number of on-duty officers per day.
$N_p$	-	The average number of on-duty officers required per day to meet the uncommitted patrol time requirement.
$N_r$	-	The average number of on-duty officers required per day to meet the reactive time (service-on-demand) requirement.

<b><math>N_{ri}</math></b>	-	The average number of on-duty officers required on shift <b>i</b> to meet the reactive time requirement.
<b><math>N_{rs}</math></b>	-	The average number of on-duty officers required per shift to meet the reactive time (service-on-demand) requirement.
<b><math>N_s</math></b>	-	The total number of field supervisors, both on and off-duty.
<b><math>N_{si}</math></b>	-	The number of on-duty officers assigned to special unit <b>i</b> .
<b><math>N_t</math></b>	-	The total number of patrol officers, both on and off-duty.
<b><math>N_{tot}</math></b>	-	The total patrol staff requirement.
<b><math>N_{tt}</math></b>	-	The total number of additional officers required to meet both travel time performance objectives specified in sections <b>5.3</b> and <b>5.4</b> .
<b>PAM</b>	-	Police Allocation Manual.
<b>SRF</b>	-	Shift relief factor.
<b>TA</b>	-	The total staff to be added (or subtracted) for the allocation.
<b>TC</b>	-	The total current staff.
<b>TE</b>	-	The total staff requirement based on PAM estimates.
<b>TN</b>	-	Total overstaffing (or understaffing) based on current staff levels and PAM staff estimates for each <b>APA</b> .

APPENDIX C: Example Using PAM Worksheets 1 - 9 To Determine Patrol Staffing Requirements and Allocation

Introduction

This appendix illustrates the use of worksheets **1-8** to determine staffing levels for one **APA** and Worksheet 9 to allocate staff among three shifts. The data used for this example are based on information obtained from the field test agencies during Phase IV of the PAM project.

The remainder of this appendix is divided into two parts. The first presents observations about the example agency and the particular options used in each worksheet. Comparisons between the data values used or calculated for the example and the field test results (shown in Table 1 in the Guide) are also discussed. The second part consists of the completed worksheets.

Observations

In the discussion below, references to specific values in the worksheets are made by identifying the corresponding worksheet step numbers in parentheses.

**Worksheet 1: Operations, Workload, and Roadway Data**

The example **APA** covers the entire jurisdiction for a municipal police department for a city with a population of approximately **100,000**. The agency handled **2,148** accidents (**1.3.2**) and **45,284** other calls-for-service (**CFS**) (**1.3.4**) last year. The jurisdiction has **75** miles of arterial streets (**1.4.1**), **100** miles of collector streets (**1.4.2**), and **267** miles of residential streets (**1.4.3**). A patrol interval of 2 hours is used for arterial streets (**1.2.5.3**), 8 hours for collector streets (**1.2.6.3**), and **48** hours (**1,2,7,3**) for residential streets.

**Worksheet 2: Administrative Time**

The agency uses historical data in Section 2.2 to determine that the administrative time per hour per officer is **12.00 minutes** (**2.2.4**). The field test average for Section 2.2 was 12.57 minutes.

**Worksheet 3: Reactive Time**

The total obligated time per day for the agency is **108.49** hours (3.3.1) which consists of **9.24** hours for accidents (3.1.5) and **99.25** hours for all other CFS (3.2.5). Based on an **8-hour** shift, this level of workload requires **13.56** on-duty officers per day (3.3.3). The average service time for accidents is **1.57** hours (3.1.2) which equals the field test average. The average time of **0.80** hours for all other CFS (3.2.2) is almost equal to the field test average of **0.81** hours.

**Worksheet 4: Proactive Time - Self-Initiated**

The agency determines self-initiated time based on the historical experience of the agency in Section 4.3. The average of **8.40** minutes per hour per officer (4.3.4) is slightly lower than the field test average of **8.57** minutes.

**Worksheet 5: Proactive Time - Uncommitted Patrol**

In Section 5.1, the agency calculates a requirement of **7.88** on-duty officers per day (5.1.5) to provide the desired level of patrol visibility. The **7.88** officers consist of **4.69** officers for arterial streets (5.1.2.5) to meet a **2-hour** patrol interval objective (5.1.2.4), **2.08** officers for collector streets (5.1.3.5) to meet an **8-hour** patrol interval objective (5.1.3.4), and **1.11** officers for residential streets (5.1.4.5) to meet a **48-hour** patrol interval objective (5.1.4.4).

For patrol availability, the agency examines two options: the immediate response option in Section 5.2 and the travel time option in sections 5.3 and 5.4. In Section 5.2, values of **12.00** minutes for  $m_a$  (5.2.2.8), **11.40** minutes for  $m_s$  (5.2.2.9), and the fractions of non-preemptable reactive (5.2.2.5), administrative (5.2.2.6), and self-initiated (5.2.2.7) activities are used to calculate a value of **0.10** for  $K_{naa}$  (5.2.2.15). This value indicates that Table A-2 (5.2.3) should be used to determine the total number of officers needed per shift to meet the immediate response requirement. Based on the immediate response performance objective (5.2.4.1), the agency determines that a total of **1.90** on-duty officers (5.2.4.2) are needed per shift to insure that at least one officer will be immediately available for **80%** of the accidents, other CFS, and self-initiated activities. Adjusting for officers who are **\*\*available\*\*** on preemptable activities, the negative value obtained in Step 5.2.4.4 indicates that no additional officers are

needed. This is shown by the zeroes entered for steps 5.2.4.5 and 5.2.4.6. Since the agency has uniform staffing over the 3 shifts, there is no need to use the supplement worksheet in Appendix A (not shown) in place of Section 5.2.

In Section 5.3, the daily on-duty staffing for response to emergency activities is determined. The agency provides around-the-clock coverage over the entire jurisdiction (36 square miles, (5.3.2.1)). Based on an average response speed of 38 miles per hour (5.3.2.2); a target travel time of 4.5 minutes (5.3.2.3); and the fractions of reactive (5.3.3.3), administrative activities (5.3.3.4), and self-initiated activities (5.3.3.5) that are not preemptable, no additional officers are required for response to emergency activities (5.3.4).

In Section 5.4, the daily on-duty staffing for response to non-emergency activities is determined. Based on an average response speed of 20 miles per hour (5.4.3) and a target travel time of 30.0 minutes (5.4.4), 0.48 additional officers are required (5.4.5.3).

To determine the number of officers for availability, the agency first determines the number of officers required per day (5.5) to satisfy the response time objectives in sections 5.3 and 5.4. Next, the result in (5.5) is compared with the result of Section 5.2 (5.2.4.6) and the larger value is selected for (5.6). In the example, the larger value is the travel time result of 0.48 officers. The last step is to determine the number of on-duty officers for uncommitted patrol (5.7). This is done by comparing the number of on-duty officers needed for \*\*visibility\*\* (i.e., 7.88 officers in Step 5.1.5) with the number of officers needed for \*\*availability\*\* (i.e., 0.48 officers in Step 5.6) and selecting the larger value. For this example, the number of officers for uncommitted patrol is 7.88 (Step 5.7).

#### **Worksheet 6: Average Daily Number of On-Duty Officers**

The agency uses Section 6.1 to determine that 35.16 on-duty officers (6.1.5) will be needed each day. Since no patrol units are staffed with two officers, no adjustment is needed for Step 6.2.4. The agency has a minimum staffing requirement of 15 officers per day (6.3.1). Since the daily number of officers (6.2.4) exceeds the minimum daily requirement, 35.16 officers is entered for Step 6.3.2.

#### **Worksheet 7: Special Assignment and Field Supervision**

Worksheet 7 is used to adjust the number of officers for patrol work performed by field supervisors and officers on special

assignment. For the example, each field supervisor is responsible for an average of 6 officers (7.1.1) and spends approximately 25% of his/her time on patrol (i.e., non-supervisory) activities (7.1.2). In Section 7.1, the agency determines that the adjusted number of on-duty, full-time officers is 33.75 (7.1.6).

The agency uses an average of 3 officers (7.2.1.2) on-duty each day for traffic enforcement-radar activities. Each officer assigned to the unit spends approximately 50% of his/her time on patrol (7.2.1.3). The adjusted number of on-duty officers is 1.44 (7.2.1.6).

The agency uses an average of 2 officers (7.2.2.2) on-duty each day for crime prevention activities. Each officer assigned to the unit spends approximately 30% of his/her time on patrol (7.2.2.3). The adjusted number of on-duty officers is 1.34 (7.2.2.6).

The total adjusted daily number of on-duty officers equals 36.53 (7.2.4). The number of on-duty, full-time officers can be obtained by subtracting the total number of special assignment staff on duty each day (i.e., 5 officers, 3 for traffic enforcement and 2 for crime prevention) from the total adjusted number of officers found in Step 7.2.4 (i.e., the number of on-duty, full-time officers equal 31.53 (36.53 - 5)).

The total number of on-duty field supervisors required per day is 6.09 (7.3.1). This value is obtained by dividing the adjusted number of on-duty officers (7.2.4) by the average number of officers that report to each supervisor (7.1.1).

#### **Worksheet 8: Total Staff Requirement**

Since the agency has an average work week of 40 hours (8.2.3), the average number of paid hours of work per year per officer is 2,085.71 (8.2.4). When non-patrol time for benefit time off (8.2.5) and temporary special assignments (8.2.6) are subtracted, the average number of patrol hours per year per officer equals 1,671.81 (8.2.7). The shift relief factor for the agency is 1.747 (8.2.8). Multiplying the on-duty officer and field supervisor estimates by the shift relief factor yields the total staff requirements (i.e., 63.81 officers (8.3.1) and 10.63 field supervisors (8.3.2)).

The agency uses Section 8.4 to specify a total of 6 staff and command personnel. The total staff requirement of 80.44 officers for the APA is recorded in Section 8.5.



**Worksheet 9: Allocation of Patrol Personnel Among Several shifts**

Only Table 4-1 from Worksheet 9 is shown below to illustrate the allocation procedure. The allocation example is based on 3 shifts. The current staffing levels for each shift are shown in column 1. The sum of column 1 indicates that the total current staffing (**TC**) is **72** officers. The PAM staffing estimates for each shift are shown in column 2. (The derivations of these estimates are not shown.) The sum of column 2 indicates that the total staff estimate (**TE**) for the 3 shifts from the PAM model is **80.54** officers. Comparison of the columns 1 and 2 indicates that shifts 2 and 3 are understaffed. For the example allocation, 6 additional officers (**TA**) are to be added to the current staffing total of **72**.

The values for the unconstrained allocation of the **78** officers (i.e., **72 + 6**) are shown in column 3. (See Step 9.1.7 in Worksheet 9 for the formula used to determine the values in column 3.)

Columns 4 - 8 are used to determine the constrained allocation of the **78** officers. The difference between the values in columns 1 and 3 for each **shift** are shown in column 4. The difference indicates the amount of over or understaffing for each shift. (Only shift 1 has a positive value which indicates it is overstaffed.) Since the total staff is to be increased (i.e., **TA > 0**), the procedures described in Step 9.2.3 are used to determine the values in column 5. (For each shift, if the value in column 4 is negative, it is copied in column 5. If the value in column 4 is positive, a zero is entered in column 5.) Step 9.2.3.3 is used to calculate how the 6 new officers will be distributed over the 3 shifts (column 6). For the constrained allocation procedure, additional staff is only added to shifts that are understaffed (i.e., shifts that have negative values in column 5). The values in column 6 are rounded to whole numbers and entered column 7. (The sum of the values in column 7 must equal the number of staff to be added.) The final value for each shift for the constrained allocation, shown in column 8, is obtained by adding the current staff (column 1) to the staff to be added (column 7). Note that even though officers are only added to shifts 2 and 3, Shift 1 remains overstaffed.

WORKSHEET **1**: Operations, Workload, and Roadway Data

**Objective:** Identify data items to be **used for determining** the number of patrol personnel within **an APA.**

**Method:** Data is identified as either operations, workload, or roadway.

---

---

**1.1** Autonomous Patrol Area Name .

Law City

(1.1)

---

---

**1.2** Operations Data for the **APA**

**1.2.1** Shift length (hours) . . . . .

8.0

(1.2.1)

**1.2.2** Average number of on-duty hours on patrol per year per officer

**1.2.2.1** Average work week (average number of paid hours per week per officer) . . . . .

40.0

(1.2.2.1)

**1.2.2.2** Average number of benefit (paid) off-duty hours per year per officer . . . . .

275.2

(1.2.2.2)

**1.2.2.3** Average number of on-duty hours spent on non-patrol temporary assignments per year per officer . . . . . **138.7**  
(1.2.2.3)

**1.2.3** Average number of officers to be supervised by each field supervisor . . . . . **6.0**  
(1.2.3)

**1.2.4** Percentage of field supervisor on-duty time spent on uncommitted patrol, reactive, and **self-**initiated/COP activities . . . . . **25.0**  
(1.2.4)

**1.2.5** Patrol operations - roadway category 1

**1.2.5.1** Category 1 roadway type .. **Arterial Streets**  
(1.2.5.1)

**1.2.5.2** Average uncommitted patrol speed (MPH) . . . . . **24.0**  
(1.2.5.2)

**1.2.5.3** Patrol interval performance objective (hours) . . . . . **2.0**  
(1.2.5.3)

**1.2.6** Patrol operations - roadway category 2

**1.2.6.1** Category 2 roadway type . . . **Collector Streets**  
(1.2.6.1)

**1.2.6.2** Average uncommitted patrol speed (MPH) . . . . . **18.0**  
(1.2.6.2)

1.2.2.3 Average number of on-duty hours spent on non-patrol temporary assignments per year per officer . . . . .	<b>138.7</b>
	(1.2.2.3)

1.2.3 Average number of officers to be supervised by each field supervisor . . . . .	<b>6.0</b>
	(1.2.3)

1.2.4 Percentage of field supervisor on-duty time spent on uncommitted patrol, reactive, and <b>self-</b> initiated/COP activities . . . . .	<b>25.0</b>
	(1.2.4)

#### 1.2.5 Patrol operations - roadway category 1

1.2.5.1 Category 1 roadway type ..	Arterial Streets
	(1.2.5.1)

1.2.5.2 Average uncommitted patrol speed (MPH) . . . . .	<b>24.0</b>
	(1.2.5.2)

1.2.5.3 Patrol interval performance objective (hours) . . . . .	<b>2.0</b>
	(1.2.5.3)

#### 1.2.6 Patrol operations - roadway category 2

1.2.6.1 Category 2 roadway type . .	Collector Streets
	(1.2.6.1)

1.2.6.2 Average uncommitted patrol speed (MPH) . . . . .	<b>18.0</b>
	(1.2.6.2)

<b>1.3.5</b> Average service time (hours) per other <b>CFS</b> . . . . .	<b>0.80</b> (1.3.5)
<b>1.3.6</b> Percentage of other <b>CFS</b> that cannot be preempted . . . . .	<b>20.0</b> (1.3.6)
<b>1.3.7</b> Percentage of administrative activities that cannot be preempted . . . . .	<b>12.0</b> (1.3.7)
<b>1.3.8</b> Percentage of self-initiated/COP activities that cannot be preempted . . . . .	<b>20.0</b> (1.3.8)

---

#### **1.4** Roadway Data for the **APA**

<b>1.4.1</b> Roadway category 1 (miles) . . . . .	<b>75.0</b> (1.4.1)
<b>1.4.2</b> Roadway category 2 (miles) . . . . .	<b>100.0</b> (1.4.2)
<b>1.4.3</b> Roadway category 3 (miles) . . . . .	<b>267.0</b> (1.4.3)

---

WORKSHEET **2**: Administrative Time

Objective: Determine the average number of minutes per hour per officer to be spent on administrative activities within the **APA (m<sub>a</sub>)**.

Method: Based either on policy decision or historical experience.

OPTION: Complete Section **2.1** or Section **2.2**.

---

**2.1** Average Number of Minutes Per Hour Per Officer - Policy Decision

Select administrative time  
performance objective in minutes  
per hour per officer . . . . .

---
-----

(2.1)

Continue with Section **2.3**.

---

OR

---

**2.2** Average Number of Minutes Per Hour Per Officer - Historical Experience

**2.2.1** Total time (hours) spent on  
administrative activities within  
the **APA** during the sample period .

<b>23,420.0</b>
-----------------

(2.2.1)

<b>2.2.2</b> Total on-duty hours on patrol within the <b>APA</b> during the sample period . . . . .	<b>117,100.0</b>
	(2.2.2)
<b>2.2.3</b> Fraction of time spent on administrative activities, divide: (2.2.1) ÷ (2.2.2) . . . .	<b>0.20</b>
	(2.2.3)
<b>2.2.4</b> Average number of minutes per hour per officer, multiply: (2.2.3) x 60 . . . . .	<b>12.00</b>
	(2.2.4)

### **2.3** Administrative Time

Minutes per hour per officer, select either (2.1) or (2.2.4) . . . . . (note: $0 \leq m_a < 60$ )	<b>12.00</b>	( <b><math>m_a</math></b> )
	(2.3)	

WORKSHEET **3**: Reactive Time

Objective: Determine the number of officers required to handle accidents and other **CFS** within an **APA** (**N<sub>r</sub>**).

Method: Based on the total time required to handle all accidents and other **CFS**, and the shift length.

---

---

**3.1** Daily Service Time Requirement for Accidents

<b>3.1.1</b> Total number of accidents within the <b>APA</b> during the sample period, use (1.3.2) . . . .	<div>2,148</div> <div>(3.1.1)</div>
<b>3.1.2</b> Average service time (hours) for each accident, use (1.3.3) . .	<div>1.57</div> <div>(3.1.2)</div>
<b>3.1.3</b> Total obligated time for accidents within the <b>APA</b> during the sample period, multiply: (3.1.1) x (3.1.2) . . . (or enter directly from CAD system)	<div>3,372.4</div> <div>(3.1.3)</div>
<b>3.1.4</b> Total number of days in the sample period, use (1.3.1) . . . .	<div>365.0</div> <div>(3.1.4)</div>
<b>3.1.5</b> Average workload per day for accidents (hours), divide: (3.1.3) ÷ (3.1.4) . . . . .	<div>9.24</div> <div>(3.1.5)</div>

---

---



---

**3.2 Daily Service Time Requirement for Other CFS**

3.2.1 Total number of other CFS within the <b>APA</b> during the sample period, use (1.3.4) . . . .	<b>45,284</b> (3.2.1)
3.2.2 Average service time (hours) for each CFS, use (1.3.5) . . . .	<b>0.80</b> (3.2.2)
3.2.3 Total obligated time for other CFS within the <b>APA</b> during the sample period, multiply: (3.2.1) x (3.2.2) . . . (or enter directly from CAD system)	<b>36,227.2</b> (3.2.3)
3.2.4 Total number of days in the sample period, use (1.3.1) . . . .	<b>365.0</b> (3.2.4)
3.2.5 Average workload per day for other CFS (hours), divide: (3.2.3) ÷ (3.2.4) . . . . .	<b>99.25</b> (3.2.5)

---

**3.3 Total Number of Officers Required per Day for Reactive Time**

3.3.1 Total average workload per day within the <b>APA</b> (hours), add: (3.1.5) + (3.2.5) . . . . .	<b>108.49</b> (3.3.1)	
3.3.2 Shift length (hours), use (1.2.1) . . . . .	<b>8.0</b> (3.3.2)	
3.3.3 Average number of on-duty officers required per day within the <b>APA</b> to meet the avg. daily workload, divide: (3.3.1) ÷ (3.3.2) . . . . .	<b>13.56</b> (3.3.3)	(N <sub>r</sub> )

---

WORKSHEET **4**: Proactive Time - Self-initiated and Community-Oriented Policing

Objective: Determine the average number of minutes per hour per officer to be spent on self-initiated/COP activities within the **APA (m<sub>1</sub>)**.

Method: Based either on policy decision or historical experience within the **APA**.

OPTION: Complete Section **4.1** or Section **4.2** or Section **4.3**.

---

---

**4.1** Average Number of Minutes Per Hour Per Officer for **Self-Initiated Activities - Policy Decision (Direct)**

Select self-initiated performance  
objective for the **APA**,  
minutes per hour per officer . . . . . 

---
-----

  
(4.1)

Continue with Section **4.4**

---

OR







---

**4.2** Average Number of Minutes Per Hour Per Officer for **Self-Initiated Activities - Policy Decision (Indirect)**

**4.2.1** Total number of self-initiated  
contacts within the **APA**  
during the sample period . . . . . 

---
-----

  
(4.2.1)

- 4.2.2 Total time (hours) spent on self-initiated contacts within the **APA** by all officers on patrol during the sample period .   
(4.2.2)
- 4.2.3 Average time (hours) per self-initiated contact within the **APA** during the sample period, divide: (4.2.2) ÷ (4.2.1) . . . .   
(4.2.3)
- 4.2.4 Select number of self-initiated contacts per shift per officer performance objective . . . . .   
(4.2.4)
- 4.2.5 Shift length (hours), use (1.2.1) . . . . .   
(4.2.5)
- 4.2.6 Number of self-initiated contacts per hour per officer, divide: (4.2.4) ÷ (4.2.5) . . . .   
(4.2.6)
- 4.2.7 Self-initiated performance objective for the **APA** in minutes per hour per officer, multiply: 60 x (4.2.3) x (4.2.6) . . . . .   
(4.2.7)

Continue with Section 4.4

---

OR

---

---

**4.3** Average Number of Minutes Per Hour Per Officer for **Self-Initiated Activities - Historical Experience**

<b>4.3.1</b> Total time (hours) spent on self-initiated contacts within the <b>APA</b> by all officers on patrol during the sample period, (same as (4.2.2)) . . . . .	<div>16,394.0</div> (4.3.1)
<b>4.3.2</b> Total on-duty hours by officers on patrol within the <b>APA</b> during the sample period used for (4.3.1) . . . . .	<div>117,100.0</div> (4.3.2)
<b>4.3.3</b> Fraction of time spent on self-initiated activities within the <b>APA</b> during the sample period, divide: (4.3.1) ÷ (4.3.2) . . . . .	<div>0.14</div> (4.3.3)
<b>4.3.4</b> Average number of minutes per hour per officer to be spent on self-initiated activities within the <b>APA</b> , multiply: 60 x (4.3.3) . .	<div>8.40</div> (4.3.4)

---

**4.4** Proactive Time (Self-Initiated Activities)

Minutes per hour per officer, select either (4.1) or (4.2.7) or (4.3.4) . . .	<div>8.40</div> (4.4)
---	--------------------------

---

---

---

**4.5 Proactive Time (Community-Oriented Policing)**

Note: If the agency does not identify COP activities separately, enter zero (0) for Step 4.5.3 and continue with Step 4.6.

<b>4.5.1</b> Average percentage of time per officer to be spent on community-oriented policing . . .	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;"><b>5.0</b></div>
	(4.5.1)
<b>4.5.2</b> Fraction of time per hour per officer to be spent on community-oriented policing, divide: (4.5.1) ÷ 100 . . . . .	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;"><b>0.05</b></div>
	(4.5.2)
<b>4.5.3</b> Minutes per hour per officer to be spent on <b>community-</b> oriented policing, multiply: 60 x (4.5.2) . . . . .	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;"><b>3.0</b></div>
	(4.5.3)

---

---

**4.6 Proactive Time (Self-Initiated and Community-Oriented Policing)**

Minutes per hour per officer, add: (4.4) + (4.5.3) . . . . .	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;"><b>11.40</b></div>	(m)
	(4.6)	

---

---

WORKSHEET **5**: Proactive Time - Uncommitted Patrol

Objective: Determine the number of officers required within the **APA** to provide an adequate level of visibility and availability.

Method: Based on: **(1)** the patrol interval, and **(2)** the probability of immediate response to accidents and other **CFS** or the average travel time to accidents and other **CFS**.

---

---

**5.1** Uncommitted Patrol Visibility

<b>5.1.1</b> Shift length (hours), use <b>(1.2.1)</b> . . . . .	<div>8.0</div> <div>(5.1.1)</div>
<b>5.1.2</b> Number of officers needed per day for uncommitted patrol on category 1 roadways in the <b>APA</b>	
<b>5.1.2.1</b> Category 1 roadway type, use <b>(1.2.5.1)</b> . . .	<div>Arterial Streets</div> <div>(5.1.2.1)</div>
<b>5.1.2.2</b> Miles of roadway, use <b>(1.4.1)</b> . . . . .	<div>75.0</div> <div>(5.1.2.2)</div>
<b>5.1.2.3</b> Average patrol speed <b>(MPH)<sub>I</sub></b> use <b>(1.2.5.2)</b> . . .	<div>24.0</div> <div>(5.1.2.3)</div>

5.1.2.4 Performance objective  
patrol interval (hours),  
use (1.2.5.3) . . . . . 2.0  
(5.1.2.4)

5.1.2.5 Number of officers required  
per day to meet the patrol  
interval performance  
objective for category  
1 roadways in the **APA**,  
use the formula below . . . 4.69  
(5.1.2.5)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 \text{Number of Officers} & & \text{Roadway Miles} \\
 (5.1.2.5) & & (5.1.2.2) \\
 = & \frac{24 \times}{\text{Average Patrol Speed} \times \text{Shift Length} \times \text{Perf. Obj. Patrol Interval}} \\
 & \frac{24 \times}{(5.1.2.3) \times (5.1.1) \times (5.1.2.4)}
 \end{array}$$

5.1.3 Number of officers needed per day  
for uncommitted patrol on category  
2 roadways in the **APA**

5.1.3.1 Category 2  
roadway type,  
use (1.2.6.1) . . . Collector Streets  
(5.1.3.1)

5.1.3.2 Miles of roadway,  
use (1.4.2) . . . . . 100.0  
(5.1.3.2)

5.1.3.3 Average patrol speed  
(MPH), use (1.2.6.2) . . . 18.0  
(5.1.3.3)

5.1.3.4 Performance objective  
patrol interval (hours),  
use (1.2.6.3) . . . . . 8.0  
(5.1.3.4)

**5.1.3.5** Number of officers required per day to meet the patrol interval performance objective for category 2 roadways in the **APA**, use the formula below . . .

**2.08**

(5.1.3.5)

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \text{Number of Officers} & & & \\ \text{(5.1.3.5)} & = & \frac{24 \times \text{Roadway Miles (5.1.3.2)}}{\text{Average Patrol Speed (5.1.3.3)} \times \text{Shift Length (5.1.1)} \times \text{Perf. Obj. Patrol Interval (5.1.3.4)}} \end{array}$$

**5.1.4** Number of officers needed per day for uncommitted patrol on category 3 roadways in the **APA**

**5.1.4.1** Category 3 roadway type, use (1.2.7.1) . .

Residential **Streets**

(5.1.4.1)

**5.1.4.2** Miles of roadway, use (1.4.3) . . . . .

**267.0**

(5.1.4.2)

**5.1.4.3** Average patrol speed (MPH), use (1.2.7.2) . .

**15.0**

(5.1.4.3)

**5.1.4.4** Performance objective patrol interval (hours), use (1.2.7.3) . .

**48.0**

(5.1.4.4)



**5.1.3.5** Number of officers required per day to meet the patrol interval performance objective for category 2 roadways in the **APA**, use the formula below . . .

**2.08**

(5.1.3.5)

$$\begin{array}{rcll} \text{Number of Officers} & & & \\ \text{(5.1.3.5)} & = & \frac{24 \times \text{Roadway Miles (5.1.3.2)}}{\text{Average Patrol Speed (5.1.3.3)} \times \text{Shift Length (5.1.1)} \times \text{Perf. Obj. Patrol Interval (5.1.3.4)}} \end{array}$$

**5.1.4** Number of officers needed per day for uncommitted patrol on category 3 roadways in the **APA**

**5.1.4.1** Category 3 roadway type, use (1.2.7.1) . .

Residential **Streets**

(5.1.4.1)

**5.1.4.2** Miles of roadway, use (1.4.3) . . . . .

**267.0**

(5.1.4.2)

**5.1.4.3** Average patrol speed (MPH), use (1.2.7.2) . .

**15.0**

(5.1.4.3)

**5.1.4.4** Performance objective patrol interval (hours), use (1.2.7.3) .

**48.0**

(5.1.4.4)

---



---

## 5.2 Uncommitted Patrol Availability - Immediate Response

### 5.2.1 Number of effective shifts per day

5.2.1.1 Shift length (hours),  
use (1.2.1) . . . . . 

<b>8.0</b>
------------

  
(5.2.1.1)

5.2.1.2 Calculate the effective  
number of shifts per  
day based on the formula  
below . . . . . 

<b>3.0</b>
------------

  
(5.2.1.2)

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{Effective Number} & & 24 \\ \text{of Shifts Per Day} & = & \hline (5.2.1.2) & & \text{Shift Length (hours)} \\ & & (5.2.1.1) \end{array}$$

### 5.2.2 Adjusted average number of on-duty officers for reactive activities per shift per day

5.2.2.1 Average daily number  
of on-duty officers ( $N_r$ )  
for reactive activities,  
use (3.3.3) . . . . . 

<b>13.56</b>
--------------

 ( $N_r$ )  
(5.2.2.1)

5.2.2.2 Average daily number  
of on-duty officers ( $N_n$ )  
per shift for reactive  
activities, divide:  
(5.2.2.1)  $\div$  (5.2.1.2) . . . 

<b>4.52</b>
-------------

 ( $N_n$ )  
(5.2.2.2)

5.2.2.3 Fraction of non-preempt-  
able other CFS, divide:  
(1.3.6)  $\div$  100 . . . . . 

<b>0.20</b>
-------------

  
(5.2.2.3)

5.2.2.4 Number of non-preemptable  
other CFS, multiply:  
(5.2.2.3) x (1.3.4) . . . .

9,056.8
---------

(5.2.2.4)

5.2.2.5 Calculate the fraction  
of non-preemptable  
reactive activities ( $f_{npr}$ ),  
use the formula below . . . .

0.24
------

( $f_{npr}$ )  
(5.2.2.5)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Fraction of} \\ \text{Non-Preemptable} \\ \text{Reactive} \\ \text{Activities} \\ (f_{npr}) \text{ (5.2.2.5)} \end{array} = \frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{Number of} \\ \text{Accidents} \\ (1.3.2) \end{array} + \begin{array}{l} \text{Number of} \\ \text{Non-Preemptable} \\ \text{Other CFS} \\ (5.2.2.4) \end{array}}{\begin{array}{l} \text{Number of} \\ \text{Accidents} \\ (1.3.2) \end{array} + \begin{array}{l} \text{Number of} \\ \text{Other CFS} \\ (1.3.4) \end{array}}$$

5.2.2.6 Fraction of non-preemptable  
administrative act. ( $f_{npa}$ ),  
divide: (1.3.7) ÷ 100 . . . .

0.12
------

( $f_{npa}$ )  
(5.2.2.6)

5.2.2.7 Fraction of non-preemptable  
self-initiated/COP  
activities ( $f_{nps}$ ), divide:  
(1.3.8) ÷ 100 . . . . .

0.20
------

( $f_{nps}$ )  
(5.2.2.7)

5.2.2.8 Administrative time -  
minutes per hour per  
officer ( $m_a$ ), use (2.3) . .

12.0
------

( $m_a$ )  
(5.2.2.8)

5.2.2.9 Self-initiated/COP time -  
minutes per hour per  
officer ( $m_s$ ), use (4.6) . .

11.40
-------

( $m_s$ )  
(5.2.2.9)

5.2.2.10 Calculate  $K_a$ , use the formula below . . . . . **0.33** ( $K_a$ )  
(5.2.2.10)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 & \text{Administrative Activities,} & \\
 & \text{Minutes per Hour Per Officer} & \\
 & m_a \text{ (5.2.2.8)} & \\
 \hline
 K_a = & & \\
 \text{(5.2.2.10)} & & \\
 & 60 - \text{Admin. Minutes Per Hour Per Off., } m_a \text{ (5.2.2.8)} - \text{S.I./COP Minutes Per Hour Per Off., } m_s \text{ (5.2.2.9)} &
 \end{array}$$

5.2.2.11 Calculate  $K_s$ , use the formula below . . . . . **0.31** ( $K_s$ )  
(5.2.2.11)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 & \text{Self-Initiated Activities,} & \\
 & \text{Minutes per Hour Per Officer} & \\
 & m_s \text{ (5.2.2.9)} & \\
 \hline
 K_s = & & \\
 \text{(5.2.2.11)} & & \\
 & 60 - \text{Admin. Minutes Per Hour Per Off., } m_a \text{ (5.2.2.8)} - \text{S.I./COP Minutes Per Hour Per Off., } m_s \text{ (5.2.2.9)} &
 \end{array}$$

5.2.2.12 Calculate  $K_{sa}$ , add:  
(5.2.2.10) + (5.2.2.11) . **0.64** ( $K_{sa}$ )  
(5.2.2.12)

- 5.2.2.13 Calculate  $K_{na}$ , multiply:  
 $(5.2.2.6) \times (5.2.2.10) \dots$  **0.04**  $(K_{na})$   
(5.2.2.13)
- 5.2.2.14 Calculate  $K_{ns}$ , multiply:  
 $(5.2.2.7) \times (5.2.2.11) \dots$  **0.06**  $(K_{ns})$   
(5.2.2.14)
- 5.2.2.15 Calculate  $K_{nsa}$ , add:  
 $(5.2.2.13) + (5.2.2.14) \dots$  **0.10**  $(K_{nsa})$   
(5.2.2.15)
- 5.2.2.16 Calculate the adjusted average  
number of officers ( $N_{ars}$ )  
required for reactive  
activities per shift per  
**day**, use the formula  
below  $\dots \dots \dots$  **1.39**  $(N_{ars})$   
(5.2.2.16)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Adjusted Average} \\ \text{Number of Officers} \\ \text{for Reactive Act.} = \\ \text{Per Shift Per Day} \\ \text{( $N_{ars}$ ) (5.2.2.16)} \end{array} = \frac{\begin{array}{l} \text{No. of} \\ \text{Officers,} \\ \text{Reactive Act.} \\ \text{per Shift (5.2.2.2)} \end{array} (N_{rs}) \times \begin{array}{l} (f_{npr} + \\ (5.2.2.5) \end{array} + \begin{array}{l} K_{nsa} \\ (5.2.2.15) \end{array})}{1 + \begin{array}{l} K_{nsa} \\ (5.2.2.15) \end{array}}$$

- 5.2.3 Select table in Appendix A  
based on  $K_{nsa}$  (5.2.2.15) from  
from the chart below  $\dots \dots \dots$  **Table A-2**  
(5.2.3)

If $K_{ms}$ (5.2.2.15) is in the range		Use Table (5.2.3)
0.0	- .099	A-1
0.1	- .199	A-2
0.2	- .299	A-3
0.3	- .399	A-4
0.4	- .499	A-5
0.5	- .599	A-6
0.6	- .699	A-7
0.7	- .799	A-8
0.8	- .899	A-9
0.9	- .999	A-10
1.0	- 1.099	A-11
1.1	- 1.199	A-12
1.2	- 1.299	A-13

**5.2.4** Total number of additional officers required for patrol per shift to provide immediate response for the performance objective percentage of emergency accidents and other **CFS**

**5.2.4.1** Performance objective (**IR%**), percentage of emergency accidents and other **CFS** activities, immediate response (a number between 50 and 99) . . . . .

<b>80.0</b>	<b>(IR%)</b>
-------------	--------------

(5.2.4.1)

**5.2.4.2** Total number of on-duty officers ( $N_{irs}$ ) required per shift to meet the immediate response performance objective, use  $N_{ms}$  (5.2.2.16), the table selected for (5.2.3), and (**IR%**) (5.2.4.1) . . . . .

<b>1.90</b>	<b>(<math>N_{irs}</math>)</b>
-------------	-------------------------------

(5.2.4.2)

5.2.4.3 Calculate  $K_{dsa}$ , subtract:  
 (5.2.2.12) - (5.2.2.15) ... 0.54 ( $K_{dsa}$ )  
 (5.2.4.3)

5.2.4.4 Calculate the additional  
 number of officers per shift  
 needed per day to meet  
 the immediate response  
 performance objective ( $IR\%$ ),  
 use the formula below . . . -2.59  
 (5.2.4.4)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Additional No. Of} \\ \text{Officers Needed} \\ \text{Per Shift For} \\ \text{Immediate Resp.} \\ (5.2.4.4) \end{array} = \frac{\begin{array}{c} N_{irs} \\ (5.2.4.2) \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} N_{rs} \\ (5.2.2.2) \end{array} \times \begin{array}{c} (1 - f_{npr} + K_{dsa}) \\ (5.2.2.5) \end{array} \begin{array}{c} (5.2.4.3) \\ (5.2.4.3) \end{array}}{1 + \begin{array}{c} K_{dsa} \\ (5.2.4.3) \end{array}}$$

5.2.4.5 Determine the actual additional  
 number of officers per shift  
 ( $N_{airs}$ ) needed per day to  
 meet the immediate response  
 performance objective ( $IR\%$ ),  
 select the larger of zero  
 and (5.2.4.4) . . . . . 0.0 ( $N_{airs}$ )  
 (5.2.4.5)

5.2.4.6 Number of additional  
 on-duty officers ( $N_{air}$ )  
 required per day to meet  
 the immediate response  
 performance objective  
 ( $IR\%$ ), multiply:  
 (5.2.4.5) x (5.2.1.2);  
 or enter value from  
 Step (A.8) . . . . . 0.0 ( $N_{air}$ )  
 (5.2.4.6)

Continue with Section **5.5.**

---

OR

---

**5.3** Uncommitted Patrol Availability - Travel **Time**  
for Emergency **Activities**

**5.3.1** Average number of on-duty officers for  
reactive activities per shift per day

**5.3.1.1** Shift length (hours),  
use **(1.2.1)** . . . . . 

<b>8.0</b>
------------

  
(5.3.1.1)

**5.3.1.2** Calculate the effective  
number of shifts per  
day based on the formula  
below . . . . . 

<b>3.0</b>
------------

  
(5.3.1.2)

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{Effective Number} & & 24 \\ \text{of Shifts Per Day} & = & \hline \text{(5.3.1.2)} & & \text{Shift Length (hours)} \\ & & \text{(5.3.1.1)} \end{array}$$

**5.3.1.3** Average daily number  
of on-duty officers (**N<sub>r</sub>**)  
for reactive activities,  
use **(3.3.3)** . . . . . 

<b>13.56</b>
--------------

 (**N<sub>r</sub>**)  
(5.3.1.3)

**5.3.1.4** Average daily number  
of on-duty officers (**N<sub>rs</sub>**)



per shift for reactive  
activities, divide:  
(5.3.1.3) ÷ (5.3.1.2) . . . 4.52 ( $N_{rs}$ )  
(5.3.1.4)

**5.3.2** Maximum number of uncommitted patrol units  
for response to emergency activities

5.3.2.1 Area (square miles) of  
**APA** . . . , . . . . . 36.0  
(5.3.2.1)

5.3.2.2 Average response speed  
(**MPH**) for emergency  
activities . . . . . 38.0  
(5.3.2.2)

5.3.2.3 Avg. travel **time** (emergency  
activities) performance  
objective (minutes) . . . . 4.50  
(5.3.2.3)

5.3.2.4 Maximum number of additional officers  
required per shift within the **APA** to meet  
the average travel time performance  
objective for emergency activities

5.3.2.4.1 Calculate  $K_u$ , use  
the formula below . 0.234 ( $K_u$ )  
(5.3.2.4.1)

$$K_u = \frac{40}{\begin{array}{c} \text{Response} \\ \text{Speed (MPH)} \\ (5.3.2.2) \end{array} \times \begin{array}{c} \text{Travel} \\ \text{Time (min)} \\ (5.3.2.3) \end{array}}$$

per shift for reactive  
activities, divide:  
(5.3.1.3) ÷ (5.3.1.2) . . . 4.52 (N<sub>rs</sub>)  
(5.3.1.4)

**5.3.2** Maximum number of uncommitted patrol units  
for response to emergency activities

5.3.2.1 Area (square miles) of  
APA . . . , . . . . . 36.0  
(5.3.2.1)

5.3.2.2 Average response speed  
(MPH) for emergency  
activities . . . . . 38.0  
(5.3.2.2)

5.3.2.3 Avg. travel time (emergency  
activities) performance  
objective (minutes) . . . . 4.50  
(5.3.2.3)

5.3.2.4 Maximum number of additional officers  
required per shift within the APA to meet  
the average travel time performance  
objective for emergency activities

5.3.2.4.1 Calculate K<sub>u</sub>, use  
the formula below . 0.234 (K<sub>u</sub>)  
(5.3.2.4.1)

$$K_u = \frac{40}{\text{Response Speed (MPH)} \times \text{Travel Time (min)}}$$

(5.3.2.2)                      (5.3.2.3)

5.3.3.3 Calculate the fraction of non-preemptable reactive activities ( $f_{npr}$ ), use the formula below . . .

<b>0.24</b>	$(f_{npr})$
-------------	-------------

(5.3.3.3)

$$\begin{array}{lcl}
 \text{Fraction of} & & \text{Number of} \\
 \text{Non-Preemptable} & & \text{Accidents} \\
 \text{Reactive} & & \text{(1.3.2)} \\
 \text{Activities} & & + \\
 \text{(} f_{npr} \text{) (5.3.3.3)} & = & \frac{\text{Number of} \quad \text{Non-Preemptable} \\
 & & \text{Accidents} \quad \text{Other CFS} \\
 & & \text{(1.3.2)} \quad \text{(5.3.3.2)} \\
 & & + \\
 & & \text{Number of} \\
 & & \text{Other CFS} \\
 & & \text{(1.3.4)}
 \end{array}$$

5.3.3.4 Fraction of non-preemptable administrative activities divide: (1.3.7) ÷ 100 . . .

<b>0.12</b>	$(f_{npa})$
-------------	-------------

(5.3.3.4)

5.3.3.5 Fraction of non-preemptable self-initiated/COP act., divide: (1.3.8) ÷ 100 . . .

$(f_{npa})$ <b>0.20</b>
-------------------------

(5.3.3.5)

5.3.3.6 Administrative time - minutes per hour per officer ( $m_a$ ), use (2.3) . .

<b>12.00</b>	$(m_a)$
--------------	---------

(5.3.3.6)

5.3.3.7 Self-initiated/COP time - minutes per hour per officer ( $m_s$ ), use (4.6) . .

$(m_s)$ <b>11.40</b>
----------------------

(5.3.3.7)

5.3.3.8 Calculate  $K_a$ , use the formula below . . . . .

<b>0.33</b>	$(K_a)$
-------------	---------

(5.3.3.8)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 & \text{Administrative Activities,} & \\
 & \text{Minutes per Hour Per Officer} & \\
 & m_a \text{ (5.3.3.6)} & \\
 K_a = & \frac{60 - m_a \text{ (5.3.3.6)}}{S.I./COP} & \\
 (5.3.3.8) & \text{Minutes Per Hour Per Off.,} & \text{Minutes Per Hour Per Off.,} \\
 & m_a \text{ (5.3.3.6)} & m_s \text{ (5.3.3.7)}
 \end{array}$$

5.3.3.9 Calculate  $K_s$ , use the formula below . . . . . 0.31 ( $K_s$ )  
(5.3.3.9)

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 & \text{Self-Initiated Activities,} & \\
 & \text{Minutes per Hour Per Officer} & \\
 & m_s \text{ (5.3.3.7)} & \\
 K_s = & \frac{60 - m_s \text{ (5.3.3.7)}}{S.I./COP} & \\
 (5.3.3.9) & \text{Minutes Per Hour Per Off.,} & \text{Minutes Per Hour Per Off.,} \\
 & m_a \text{ (5.3.3.6)} & m_s \text{ (5.3.3.7)}
 \end{array}$$

5.3.3.10 Calculate  $K_{sa}$ , add:  
(5.3.3.8)  $\oplus$  (5.3.3.9) . . . 0.64 ( $K_{sa}$ )  
(5.3.3.10)

5.3.3.11 Calculate  $K_{na}$ , multiply:  
(5.3.3.4)  $\times$  (5.3.3.8) . . . 0.04 ( $K_{na}$ )  
(5.3.3.11)

5.3.3.12 Calculate  $K_{ns}$ , multiply:  
(5.3.3.5)  $\times$  (5.3.3.9) . . . 0.06 ( $K_{ns}$ )  
(5.3.3.12)

5.3.3.13 Calculate  $K_{nsa}$ , add: 0.10 ( $K_{nsa}$ )  
 $(5.3.3.11) + (5.3.3.12)$  .  
 (5.3.3.13)

5.3.3.14 Calculate  $K_{dsa}$ , subtract: 0.54 ( $K_{dsa}$ )  
 $(5.3.3.10) - (5.3.3.13)$  .  
 (5.3.3.14)

5.3.3.15 Calculate the adjusted  
 number of additional  
 officers required per shift  
 to meet the average travel  
 time performance  
 objective, use the  
 formula below . . . . . -2.55  
 (5.3.3.15)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Adjusted} \\ \text{Daily No. of} \\ \text{Additional} = \\ \text{Officers} \\ (5.3.3.15) \end{array} = \frac{\begin{array}{c} N_{maxtt} \\ (5.3.2.4.3) \end{array} - \begin{array}{c} N_{rs} \\ (5.3.1.4) \end{array} \times \begin{array}{c} (1 - \frac{f_{npr}}{(5.3.3.3)} + \frac{K_{dsa}}{(5.3.3.14)}) \\ 1 + \frac{K_{dsa}}{(5.3.3.14)} \end{array}}$$

5.3.4 Actual number of additional officers  
 required per shift to meet the  
 travel time performance objective for  
 emergency activities, select  
 the larger of zero (0) and  
 and (5.3.3.15) . . . . . 0.0  
 (5.3.4)

5.3.5 Actual number of additional officers  
(**N<sub>att</sub>**) required per day to meet the  
travel time performance objective  
for emergency activities, multiply:  
(5.3.1.2) x (5.3.4) . . . . .

<b>0.00</b>	<b>(N<sub>att</sub>)</b>
-------------	--------------------------

(5.3.5)

---

**5.4 Uncommitted Patrol Availability - Travel Time  
for Non-Emergency Activities**

5.4.1 Shift length (hours), use (1.2.1) . . . . .	<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>8.0</b></td> </tr> </table>	<b>8.0</b>	(5.4.1)
<b>8.0</b>			
5.4.2 Area (square miles) of the <b>APA</b> . . . . .	<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>36.0</b></td> </tr> </table>	<b>36.0</b>	(5.4.2)
<b>36.0</b>			
5.4.3 Average response speed (MPH) for non-emergency reactive activities . . . . .	<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>20.0</b></td> </tr> </table>	<b>20.0</b>	(5.4.3)
<b>20.0</b>			
5.4.4 Average travel time ( <b>non-</b> emergency activities) performance objective (minutes) . . . . .	<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>30.0</b></td> </tr> </table>	<b>30.0</b>	(5.4.4)
<b>30.0</b>			
5.4.5 Number of officers required within the <b>APA</b> to meet the average travel time performance objective for non-emergency activities			
5.4.5.1 Calculate <b>K<sub>u</sub></b> , use the formula below . . . . .	<table border="1" style="margin: auto;"> <tr> <td style="padding: 5px;"><b>0.067</b></td> </tr> </table>	<b>0.067</b>	( <b>K<sub>u</sub></b> )
<b>0.067</b>			

(5.4.5.1)

$$K_u = \frac{40}{\text{Response Speed (MPH)} \times \text{Travel Time (min)}}$$

(5.4.3)                      (5.4.4)

5.4.5.2 Calculate  $K_u^2$ , multiply:  
(5.4.5.1) x (5.4.5.1) . . .

0.004

( $K_u^2$ )

(5.4.5.2)

5.4.5.3 Number of officers  
( $N_{net}$ ) required per day  
for rapid response to  
non-emergency activities,  
use the formula below . . .

0.48

( $N_{net}$ )

(5.4.5.3)

Daily  
No. of On-Duty  
Officers ( $N_{att}$ )  
For Rapid Response  
(Non-Emerg. Act.)  
(5.4.5.3)

$$= \frac{24 \times K_u^2 \times \text{Area}}{\text{Shift Length (hours)}}$$

(5.4.5.2)                      (5.4.2)  
(5.4.1)

## 5.5 Uncommitted Patrol Availability - Travel Time

Number of officers ( $N_u$ ) required to  
meet the travel time performance  
objectives for both emergency and  
non-emergency activities, select  
the larger of (5.3.5) and (5.4.5.3) . . .

0.48

( $N_u$ )

(5.5)

---

---

**5.6** Uncommitted Patrol Availability

Total officers required within  
the **APA**, select either **(5.2.4.6)**  
or **(5.5)** . . . . . 

<b>0.48</b>
-------------

  
(5.6)

---

---

**5.7** Total Number of Officers Required for Uncommitted Patrol

Average number of officers ( **$N_p$** )  
required per day for uncommitted  
patrol within the **APA**, select the  
larger of **(5.1.5)** and **(5.6)** . . . . . 

<b>7.88</b>
-------------

 ( **$N_p$** )  
(5.7)

---

---



WORKSHEET 6: Average Daily Number of On-Duty Officers

**Objective:** Determine the average **total** number of officers required per day within the **APA**.

**Method:** Combine the total number of officers required for reactive ( $N_r$ ) and patrol activities ( $N_p$ ) with the **per** officer time requirements for self-initiated/COP ( $m_s$ ) and administrative ( $m_a$ ) activities. Adjust the required number of officers based on the percentage of two-officer patrols and, if applicable, minimum daily patrol staffing levels.

**6.1 Number of On-Duty Officers per Day - All One-Officer Patrols**

6.1.1 Administrative time - minutes per hour per officer, use (2.3) . . . . .	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">12.00</div>	( $m_a$ )
	(6.1.1)	
6.1.2 Average number of officers required per day to meet reactive time workload, use (3.3.3) . . . . .	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">13.56</div>	( $N_r$ )
	(6.1.2)	
6.1.3 Self-initiated/COP time - minutes per hour per officer, use (4.6) . . . . .	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">11.40</div>	( $m_s$ )
	(6.1.3)	
6.1.4 Average number of officers required per day to meet patrol time requirements, use (5.7) . . .	<div style="border: 1px solid black; padding: 2px; display: inline-block;">7.88</div>	( $N_p$ )
	(6.1.4)	

**6.1.5** Average total number of on-duty officers required per day for all patrol activities within the **APA**, one officer per unit, use the formula below . . . . . **35.16**  
(6.1.5)

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Average Total Number} \\ \text{of On-Duty Officers} \\ \text{Per Day (6.1.5)} \end{array} = \frac{N_r \text{ (6.1.2)} + N_p \text{ (6.1.4)}}{\bar{r} - \frac{m_a \text{ (6.1.1)}}{60} - \frac{m_s \text{ (6.1.3)}}{60}}$$

## **6.2** Adjustment for Two-Officer Patrols

Note: If two-officer patrols are not used, enter (6.1.5) in (6.2.4) and continue with Section 6.3.

**6.2.1** Percentage of time patrol units within the **APA** are staffed with two officers . . . . . **0.0**  
(6.2.1)

**6.2.2** Fraction of time patrol units within the **APA** are staffed with two officers, divide: (6.2.1) ÷ 100 . . . . . **0.0**  
(6.2.2)

**6.2.3** Adjustment factor: average number of officers per unit, add: 1 + (6.2.2) . . . . . **1.00**  
(6.2.3)

6.2.4 Average total number of on-duty  
officers required per day for all  
patrol activities, multiply:  
(6.1.5) x (6.2.3) . . . . .

35.16

(6.2.4)

---

6.3 Adjustment for Minimum Patrol Staffing Levels

Note : If minimum patrol staffing levels are not used, enter  
(6.2.4) into (6.3.2) and continue with Worksheet 7.

6.3.1 Average minimum number of on-duty  
officers required per day for all  
patrol activities, based on  
agency policy . . . . .

( $N_{min}$ ) 15.0

(6.3.1)

6.3.2 Average daily number of on-duty  
officers required for all patrol  
activities ( $N_0$ ), select the  
larger of (6.2.4) and (6.3.1) . .

( 35.16

(6.3.2)

---

J

6.2.4 Average total number of on-duty officers required per day for all patrol activities, multiply:  
 (6.1.5) x (6.2.3) . . . . . 35.16  
 (6.2.4)

---

### 6.3 Adjustment for Minimum Patrol Staffing Levels

Note: If minimum patrol staffing levels are not used, enter (6.2.4) into (6.3.2) and continue with Worksheet 7.

6.3.1 Average minimum number of on-duty officers required per day for all patrol activities, based on agency policy . . . . . ( $N_{min}$ ) 15.0  
 (6.3.1)

6.3.2 Average daily number of on-duty officers required for all patrol activities ( $N_0$ ), select the larger of (6.2.4) and (6.3.1) . . . ( 35.16 J  
 (6.3.2)

---

7.1.5 Adjustment factor ( $K_f$ ), 0.96 ( $K_f$ )  
 use formula below . . . . . (7.1.5)

$$\text{Adjustment Factor } (K_f) \text{ (7.1.5)} = \frac{\text{Officers Per Field Sup. (7.1.1)}}{\text{Officers Per Field Sup. (7.1.1)} + \text{Fraction of Field Sup. Time on Patrol (7.1.3)}}$$

7.1.6 Adjusted daily number of full-time, on-duty officers ( $N_{ao}$ ) required per day, use formula below . . . . . 33.75 ( $N_{ao}$ ) (7.1.6)

$$\text{Adjusted Number of Full-Time, On-Duty Officers Per Day } (N_{ao}) \text{ (7.1.6)} = K_f \times \text{No. of On-Duty Officers Per Day } (N_o) \text{ (7.1.4)}$$

---

---

NOTE : If no special assignment personnel are to be included, enter (7.1.6) into (7.2.4) and continue with Section 7.3. If special assignment personnel are to be included, continue with Section 7.2.

---

---

---



---

**7.2** Number of On-Duty Officers Required Per Day, Adjusted for  
**Special** Assignment Personnel

**7.2.1** Special Assignment 1

**7.2.1.1** Assign. 1 name .

Traffic **Enf.** - Radar

(7.2.1.1)

**7.2.1.2** Average number of  
on-duty officers per  
day on specialized  
assignment 1 . . . . .

**3.0**

(**N<sub>sl</sub>**)

(7.2.1.2)

**7.2.1.3** Percentage of on-duty  
time spent on patrol  
activities by officers  
assigned to special  
assignment 1 (a number  
between 0 and 100) . . . . .

**50.0**

(7.2.1.3)

**7.2.1.4** Percentage of on-duty  
time spent on non-patrol  
activities by officers  
assigned to special  
assignment 1, subtract:  
100 - (7.2.1.3) . . . . .

**50.0**

(7.2.1.4)

**7.2.1.5** Fraction of on-duty  
time spent on non-patrol  
activities by officers  
assigned to special  
assignment 1, divide:  
(7.2.1.4) ÷ 100 . . . . .

**0.50**

(**f<sub>sl</sub>**)

(7.2.1.5)

7.2.1.6 Adjusted daily number  
of on-duty officers  
assigned to special  
assignment 1, use  
formula below . . . . .

1.44

(N<sub>as1</sub>)

(7.2.1.6)

Adjusted Number On-Duty Officers, Special Assignment	=	Number On-Duty Officers	x	Fraction Time On Non-Patrol	x	Adjustment Factor (K <sub>f</sub> )
1 (N <sub>as1</sub> ) (7.2.1.6)		S.A. 1		Activities		(7.1.5)
		(N <sub>s1</sub> )		(f <sub>s1</sub> )		
		(7.2.1.2)		(7.2.1.5)		

NOTE: If personnel for a second special assignment are to be included, complete steps (7.2.2.1) through (7.2.2.6). If not, enter zeros for steps (7.2.2.6) and (7.2.3.6) and continue with Step 7.2.4.

## 7.2.2 Special Assignment 2

7.2.2.1 Assign. 2 name .

Crime Prevention

(7.2.1.1)

7.2.2.2 Average number of  
on-duty officers per  
day on specialized  
assignment 2 . . . . .

2.0

(N<sub>s2</sub>)

(7.2.2.2)

7.2.2.3 Percentage of on-duty  
time spent on patrol  
**activities** by officers  
assigned to special  
assignment 2 (a number  
between 0 and 100) . . . .

30.0

(7.2.2.3)

7.2.2.4	Percentage of on-duty time spent on non-patrol activities by officers assigned to special assignment 2, subtract: 100 - (7.2.2.3) . . . . .	<div>70.0</div>	(7.2.2.4)
7.2.2.5	Fraction of on-duty time spent on non-patrol activities by officers assigned to special assignment 2, divide: (7.2.2.4) ÷ 100 . . . . .	<div>0.70</div>	(f <sub>2</sub> ) (7.2.2.5)
7.2.2.6	Adjusted daily number of on-duty officers assigned to special assignment 2, use formula below . . . . .	<div>1.34</div>	(N <sub>as2</sub> ) (7.2.2.6)

Adjusted Number On-Duty Officers, Special Assignment 2 (N <sub>as2</sub> ) (7.2.2.6)	=	Number On-Duty Officers S.A. 2 (N <sub>2</sub> ) (7.2.2.2)	x	Fraction Time On Non-Patrol Activities (f <sub>2</sub> ) (7.2.2.5)	x	Adjustment Factor (K <sub>f</sub> ) (7.1.5)
---	---	---	---	---	---	---

---

NOTE: If personnel for a third special assignment are to be included, complete steps (7.2.3.1) through (7.2.3.6). If not, enter zero for step (7.2.3.6) and continue with Step 7.2.4.

---



## 7.2.3 Special Assignment 3

7.2.3.1 Assign. 3 name .

-----
-------

(7.2.3.1)

7.2.3.2 Average number of  
on-duty officers per  
 day on specialized  
 assignment 3 . . . . .

---
-----

 $(N_{s3})$ 

(7.2.3.2)

7.2.3.3 Percentage of on-duty  
 time spent on patrol  
 activities by officers  
 assigned to special  
 assignment 3 (a number  
 between 0 and 100) . . . . .

---
-----

(7.2.3.3)

7.2.3.4 Percentage of on-duty  
 time spent on non-patrol  
 activities by officers  
 assigned to special  
 assignment 3, subtract:  
 100 - (7.2.3.3) . . . . .

---
-----

(7.2.3.4)

7.2.3.5 Fraction of on-duty  
 time spent on non-patrol  
 activities by officers  
 assigned to special  
 assignment 3, divide:  
 (7.2.3.4)  $\div$  100 . . . . .

...
-----

 $(f_{s3})$ 

(7.2.3.5)

7.2.3.6 Adjusted daily number  
 of on-duty officers  
 assigned to special  
 assignment 3, use  
 formula below . . . . .

---
-----

 $(N_{as3})$ 

(7.2.3.6)

$$\begin{array}{lclclcl} \text{Adjusted Number} & & \text{Number} & & \text{Fraction} & & \\ \text{On-Duty Officers,} & & \text{On-Duty} & & \text{Time On} & & \\ \text{Special Assignment} & = & \text{Officers} & \times & \text{Non-Patrol} & \times & \text{Adjustment} \\ \text{3 (N}_{as3}\text{) (7.2.3.6)} & & \text{S.A. 3} & & \text{Activities} & & \text{Factor (K}_f\text{)} \\ & & \text{(N}_{as}\text{)} & & \text{(f}_{as}\text{)} & & \text{(7.1.5)} \\ & & \text{(7.2.3.2)} & & \text{(7.2.3.5)} & & \end{array}$$


---

**7.2.4** Adjusted total daily number of  
on-duty officers required per  
day, use formula below . . . . .

**36.53**

**(N<sub>ot</sub>)**  
**(7.2.4)**

$$\begin{array}{lcl} \text{Adjusted Total} & & \\ \text{Number of On-Duty} & & \\ \text{Officers Per} & = & \text{N}_{ao} + \text{N}_{as1} + \text{N}_{as2} + \text{N}_{as3} \\ \text{Day (N}_{ot}\text{)} & & \text{(7.1.6)} \quad \text{(7.2.1.6)} \quad \text{(7.2.2.6)} \quad \text{(7.2.3.6)} \\ \text{(7.2.4)} & & \end{array}$$


---

**7.3** Total Number of On-Duty Field Supervisors Required Per  
Day for the Adjusted Number of On-Duty Officers

**7.3.1** Total number of on-duty field  
supervisors (N<sub>os</sub>) required per day,  
day, divide: (7.2.4) ÷ (7.1.1) . .

**6.09**

**(N<sub>os</sub>)**  
**(7.3.1)**

---

WORKSHEET 8: Total Staff Patrol Requirements

Objective: Determine total patrol staff needed to support the required daily on-duty field personnel.

Method: Use the shift relief factor, daily on-duty patrol staffing requirements, and the number of command positions based on agency policy.

**8.1** On-Duty Officers and Field Supervisors Required per Day

8.1.1 Total number of on-duty officers per day within the <b>APA</b> , use (7.2.4) . . . . .	36.53	( $N_{ot}$ )
	(8.1.1)	
8.1.2 Total number of on-duty field supervisors per day within the <b>APA</b> , use (7.3.1) . . . . .	6.09	( $N_{os}$ )
	(8.1.2)	

**8.2** Shift Relief Factor

8.2.1 Shift length (hours), use (1.2.1) . . . . .	8.0	( $H_t$ )
	(8.2.1)	
8.2.2 Total hours on one shift during one year, multiply: 365 x (8.2.1) . . . . .	2,920.0	
	(8.2.2)	

WORKSHEET 8: Total Staff Patrol Requirements

Objective: Determine total patrol staff needed to support the required daily on-duty field personnel.

Method: Use the shift relief factor, daily on-duty patrol staffing requirements, and the number of command positions based on agency policy.

**8.1** On-Duty Officers and Field Supervisors Required per Day

8.1.1 Total number of on-duty officers per day within the <b>APA</b> , use (7.2.4) . . . . .	36.53	( $N_{ot}$ )
	(8.1.1)	
8.1.2 Total number of on-duty field supervisors per day within the <b>APA</b> , use (7.3.1) . . . . .	6.09	( $N_{os}$ )
	(8.1.2)	

**8.2** Shift Relief Factor

8.2.1 Shift length (hours), use (1.2.1) . . . . .	8.0	( $H_t$ )
	(8.2.1)	
8.2.2 Total hours on one shift during one year, multiply: 365 x (8.2.1) . . . . .	2,920.0	
	(8.2.2)	

---



---

### 8.3 Total Number of Required Officers and Field Supervisors Within **the APA**

8.3.1 Total number of patrol officers, multiply: (8.1.1) x (8.2.8) . . .	<div>63.81</div>	(N <sub>t</sub> )
	(8.3.1)	
8.3.2 Total number of field supervisors, multiply: (8.1.2) x (8.2.8) . . . . .	<div>10.63</div>	(N <sub>s</sub> )
	(8.3.2)	
8.3.3 Total number of officers and field supervisors, add: (8.3.1) + (8.3.2) . . . . .	<div>74.44</div>	
	(8.3.3)	

---



---

### 8.4 Number of Command Personnel - Agency Policy

Enter the number of command staff (N <sub>c</sub> ) required for the number of officers and field supervisors in (8.3.3) . . . .	<div>6.0</div>	(N <sub>c</sub> )
	(8.4)	

---



---

### 8.5 Total Patrol Staff Requirement for **the APA**

8.5.1 Number of officers within the <b>APA</b> , use (8.3.1) . . . . .	<div>63.81</div>	(N <sub>t</sub> )
	(8.5.1)	

<b>8.5.2</b> Number of field supervisors within the <b>APA</b> , use (8.3.2) . . .	<div>10.63</div> <div>(8.5.2)</div>	(NJ)
<b>8.5.3</b> Number of command personal within the <b>APA</b> , use (8.4) . . . . .	<div>6.0</div> <div>(8.5.3)</div>	( <b>N<sub>c</sub></b> )
<b>8.5.4</b> Total required patrol staff for the <b>APA</b> , add: (8.5.1) + (8.5.2) + (8.5.3) . . . .	<div>80.44</div> <div>(8.5.4)</div>	( <b>N<sub>tot</sub></b> )

---

WORKSHEET 9: Allocation of Patrol Personnel Among Several APAs

**Objective:** Determine the appropriate number of personnel to be assigned to each **APA** based on the estimated PAM staffing levels for each **APA**.

**Method:** Based on the number of personnel estimated for each **APA**, two reallocations of current and new personnel are determined. The unconstrained allocation redistributes all personnel, both current and new, among the **APAs** in the same proportion as the PAM estimates. The constrained allocation restricts the allocation to only new (or reduced) personnel insuring that no **APA** loses staffing when new personnel are added (or that no **APA** gains staffing when personnel reductions are applied).

---

See Chapter 4 in the Manual for the instructions for Worksheet 9.

Table 4 - 1

Worksheet for **the** Allocation of Patrol Personnel  
Among **Three** Shifts Based on PAM Staff Estimates

Total Number of Additional (or Reduced) Personnel  
for All Shifts (9.1.1) . . . . .

<b>6</b>
----------

**(TA)**

Shift	Current Staff (9.1.3) Col. 1	PAM Staff Est. (9.1.5) Col. 2	Unconst Reallo. (9.1.7) Col. 3	Diff. Col. 1 -Col. 3 (9.2.1) Col. 4	(9.2.3.1) or (9.2.4.1) Col. 5	To Be Added (9.2.3.3) or Reduced (9.2.4.3) Col. 6	Rounded (9.2.6) Col. 7	Constr. Reallo. Col. 1 +Col. 7 (9.2.8) Col. 8
1	24	23.80	23.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	0	24
2	24	29.30	28.4	-4.4	-4.4	3.8	4	28
3	24	27.44	26.6	-2.6	-2.6	2.2	2	26
4								
5								
6								
Col. Sum	72 (9.1.4) (TC)	80.54 (9.1.6) (TE)	78.0 (9.1.8)	-6.0 (9.2.2)	-7.0 (9.2.3.2) or (9.2.4.2) (TN)	6.0 (9.2.5)	6 (9.2.7)	78 (9.2.9)
Sum Check	72 (9.1.2) (TC)		78.0 (9.1.1) + (9.1.2) (TA+TC)	-6.0 -1 x (9.1.1) (-TA)		6.0 (9.1.1) (TA)	6 (9.1.1) (TA)	78 (9.1.1) + (9.1.2) (TA+TC)



APPENDIX D: Derivations of the Major Formulas Used in PAM

The following nine sections contain derivations of the major formulas used in the PAM model and is intended for those users who wish to examine more closely the relationships between the input data and the calculated results. For some derivations, familiarity with algebraic operations is needed to follow the discussion and considerable use is made of notational shortcuts to reduce the length of each section. Since many of the notations are not used elsewhere in the Manual, definitions are supplied in each section as new terminology and variables are introduced. All page, section, and step numbers identified in the derivations refer to locations in the Manual.

APPENDIX **D.1**: Average Number of On-Duty Officers (**N<sub>r</sub>**) Required Per Day Within the **APA** To Meet the Average **Daily** (Obligated Time) Workload (Worksheet 3)

The formula in Worksheet 3 that is used to determine the average number of on-duty officers required per day within the **APA** to meet the average daily obligated time workload is given by:

$$N_r \text{ (3.3.3)} = \frac{\text{Total Average Daily Obligated Time Workload (3.3.1)}}{\text{Shift Length (3.3.2)}} \quad (\text{D.1.1})$$

where obligated time refers to the time required by all patrol units to respond to and service all **CFS** and accidents in the **APA**. The formula for **N<sub>r</sub>** in Worksheet 3 is based on the assumption that all **patrol** units are one-officer units only. An adjustment for two-officer units is available in Worksheet 6.

The derivation of formula (**D.1.1**) is based on the recognition that, at a minimum, there must be sufficient reactive patrol resources to provide enough on-duty time (i.e., there must be enough patrol officers) to respond to and service all reactive (obligated time) workload; that is,

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Total} \\ \text{Reactive Patrol} \\ \text{Resources} \end{array} = \begin{array}{l} \text{Total} \\ \text{Reactive} \\ \text{Workload} \end{array} \quad (\text{D.1.2})$$

The total reactive workload for any period of time (days) can be measured by determining the total obligated time (**TOT**) required for all **CFS** and accidents during the period. The total reactive patrol resources for any period of time can be expressed in hours as:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Total} \\ \text{Reactive Patrol} \\ \text{Resources} \end{array} = N_r \times S_1 \times D \quad (\text{D.1.3})$$

where:

$N_r$  - the average number of on-duty officers per day,

$S_1$  - shift length in hours, and

D - total number of days in the time period used to measure the total obligated time workload.

Replacing the total reactive patrol resources in **(D.1.2)** with **(D.1.3)** and replacing the total reactive workload with total obligated time (TOT) yields:

$$N_r \times S_1 \times D = \text{TOT} \quad (\text{D.1.4})$$

Solving **(D.1.4)** for  $N_r$  gives:

$$N_r = \frac{\text{TOT}}{S_1 \times D} \quad (\text{D.1.5})$$

The expression  $\text{TOT}/D$  equals the average daily obligated time workload (**ADOT**); that is,

$$\text{ADOT} = \frac{\text{TOT}}{D} \quad (\text{D.1.6})$$

Replacing  $\text{TOT}/D$  with **ADOT** in **(D.1.5)** yields **(D.1.1)**; that is,

Version **M3.0** - October 1993

$$N_r \text{ (3.3.3)} = \frac{ADOT \text{ (3.3.1)}}{S_l \text{ (3.3.2)}} \quad (D.1.7)$$

APPENDIX D.2: Average Number of On-Duty Officers ( $N_{ppi}$ ) Required Per Day To Meet the Patrol Interval Performance Requirement Objective Within the APA (Section 5.1)

Derivation of the General Formula for Patrol Interval

The patrol interval is a measure of the intensity or level of patrol coverage of a given roadway segment. Patrol interval, measured in hours, can be defined in several ways. One definition is that the patrol interval represents the average time it will take a fixed number of patrol units to drive over every roadway mile in the jurisdiction. An alternative but equivalent definition is that the patrol interval indicates the average time a stranded motorist will have to wait for an officer, on uncommitted patrol, to drive by.

Important assumptions associated with the patrol interval are that:

- Uncommitted patrol activity occurs randomly over all roadways of the same type; that is, all roadways within each type are treated equally, and
- Uncommitted patrol activity only occurs when a patrol unit is not occupied with administrative, reactive, or self-initiated duties.

Both assumptions are important and limiting. The assumption that all roadways are patrolled equally is usually not the case because of the greater importance of some roadways than others due to traffic volume and accident experience. Basing the patrol interval on "**uncommitted**" or "**free**" patrol time ignores the fact that stranded motorists may be observed and reported by units while engaged in other activities.

Calculation of the patrol interval depends on the number of roadway miles, the number of patrol units, the average patrol speed, and the amount of time spent on uncommitted patrol. The formula for determining the patrol interval is given by:

$$PI = \frac{HM}{N \times PS \times f_u} \quad (D.2.1)$$

where:

- PI** - patrol interval (hours),
- HM** - total number of roadway miles,
- N** - total number of patrol units on duty,
- PS** - average patrol speed (MPH), and
- f<sub>u</sub>** - fraction of time spent on uncommitted time.

Formula **(D.2.1)** can be derived by using the definition that the patrol interval represents the average amount of time that it will take a fixed number of patrol units to cover the entire roadway system. This definition can also be stated in the form of a question: If it is known how many miles all units drive collectively in one hour while on uncommitted patrol, how many hours will it take to cover the entire roadway system? The question implies that the total roadway miles (**EM**) and the average miles driven per hour (**AHM**) by all units are known and are related to the patrol interval (**PI**) as:

$$\mathbf{HM} = \mathbf{AHM} \times \mathbf{PI} \quad (\mathbf{D.2.2})$$

Rearranging the terms in **(D.2.2)** yields:

$$\mathbf{PI} = \frac{\mathbf{HM}}{\mathbf{AHM}} \quad (\mathbf{D.2.3})$$

The average number of roadway miles driven per hour (**AHM**) by all units can be expressed as:

$$\mathbf{AHM} = \mathbf{AM} \times \mathbf{N} \quad (\mathbf{D.2.4})$$

where:

**N** - total number of units on duty, and

**AX** - average miles driven per hour per unit.

Replacing **AHM** in (D.2.3) with (D.2.4) yields:

$$PI = \frac{HM}{AM \times N} \quad (D.2.5)$$

The average miles driven per hour by each unit (AM) can be expressed as:

$$AM = PS \times f_u \quad (D.2.6)$$

where AM, **PS**, and **f<sub>u</sub>** are defined above. Replacing AM in (D.2.5) with (D.2.6) yields formula (D.2.1). For computational purposes, however, it is advantageous to express the fraction of time spent on uncommitted time as:

$$f_u = \frac{m_u}{60} \quad (D.2.7)$$

where **m<sub>u</sub>** represents the average number of minutes per hour spent on uncommitted time by each unit. Dividing **m<sub>u</sub>** by 60 yields the fraction of time spent on uncommitted time. Replacing **f<sub>u</sub>** in (D.2.6) with (D.2.7) yields:

$$AM = PS \times \frac{m_u}{60} \quad (D.2.8)$$

where:

**N** - total number of units on duty, and

**AX** - average miles driven per hour per unit.

Replacing **AHM** in (D.2.3) with (D.2.4) yields:

$$PI = \frac{HM}{AM \times N} \quad (D.2.5)$$

The average miles driven per hour by each unit (AM) can be expressed as:

$$AM = PS \times f_u \quad (D.2.6)$$

where AM, **PS**, and **f<sub>u</sub>** are defined above. Replacing AM in (D.2.5) with (D.2.6) yields formula (D.2.1). For computational purposes, however, it is advantageous to express the fraction of time spent on uncommitted time as:

$$f_u = \frac{m_u}{60} \quad (D.2.7)$$

where **m<sub>u</sub>** represents the average number of minutes per hour spent on uncommitted time by each unit. Dividing **m<sub>u</sub>** by 60 yields the fraction of time spent on uncommitted time. Replacing **f<sub>u</sub>** in (D.2.6) with (D.2.7) yields:

$$AM = PS \times \frac{m_u}{60} \quad (D.2.8)$$



$$N = \frac{HM}{PS \times PI} \times \frac{WC}{168} \quad (D.2.12)$$

where WC represents the total hours of coverage per week.

To determine the number of on-duty officers that will be required **per day** ( $N_{ppi}$ ), use the relationship:

$$N_{ppi} = N \times \frac{24}{S_1} \quad (D.2.13)$$

where:

N - number of patrol units given in (D.2.12), and

$S_1$  - shift length in hours.

Substituting (D.2.12) for N in (D.2.13) and simplifying yields:

$$N_{ppi} = \frac{HM \times WC}{7 \times PS \times S_1 \times PI} \quad (D.2.14)$$

which is the formula used in Section 5.1 to determine the number of officers required to meet patrol interval performance objectives for a particular roadway type.

**APPENDIX D.3:** Total Number of Officers ( $N_{pir}$ ) Required Per Day Within the **APA** To Provide Immediate Response To the Performance Objective Percentage of **CFS**, Accidents, Administrative, and Self-Initiated Activities (Section 5.2)

Introduction

In the PAM model, the appearance and servicing of **CFS**, accidents, administrative, and self-initiated activities are treated as a simple multiserver queuing process. These activities are assumed to occur randomly (i.e., as a Poisson process) and the service times required to complete these activities are assumed to be independent and exponentially distributed.

The term "exponentially-distributed service **times**" describes the particular mathematical distribution or formula that is used to describe the nature of the service times (e.g., what would the histogram of a sample of service times look like). A key property of exponentially-distributed service times is that the likelihood (or probability) of service time  $t_1$  will be less than the likelihood (or probability) of service time  $t_2$  if  $t_1 > t_2$ . This property implies that the individual bars in the histogram of sample service times will be smaller for longer service times. Field experience indicates that exponentially-distributed service times are appropriate for describing many operations; for example, the lengths of telephone calls, the times required to complete transactions with bank tellers, the times required to checkout at grocery stores, and the average service times associated with dispatched **CFS**.

In a standard, steady-state queuing formulation with these assumptions and a fixed number of servers (i.e., a fixed number of patrol officers), the average number of busy officers at any given time ( $N_b$ ) is given by:

$$N_b = CR \times ST \quad (D.3.1)$$

where CR represents the number of activities per hour and ST represents the average service time in hours.

The likelihood or probability that all of the officers will be busy ( $P_{sat}$ ) when the next activity occurs is called the "probability of saturation" and is given by **Erlang's** formula found in many introductory texts on queuing theory:

$$P_{sat} = \frac{N_b^{N_t}}{N_t! \times (1 - N_b/N_t)} \times P_0 \quad (D.3.2)$$

where  $N_t$  represents the total number of officers in the area and the term  $N_b^{N_t}$  represents  $N_b$  raised to the  $N_t$  th power, and

$$P_0 = \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{N_t-1} \frac{N_b^k}{k!} + \frac{N_b^{N_t}}{N_t! \times (1 - N_b/N_t)} \right]^{-1} \quad (D.3.3)$$

Note that unless  $N_t$  equals or exceeds  $N_b$ , there will not be enough officers to handle the workload and the system will be continuously "**saturated**;" that is, the queue (or stack) will grow larger and larger. Readers who wish to examine the derivation of these formulas are referred to any standard text on **queueing** theory or operations research such as Introduction to Operations Research by Hillier and Lieberman (Holden-Day, Inc., 1967).

The likelihood or probability that at least one officer will be available ( $P_{avail}$ ) when the next activity occurs is given by:

$$P_{avail} = 1 - P_{sat} \quad (D.3.4)$$

This derivation is presented in two parts. In the next section, the general methodology for determining how many officers are needed to meet the immediate response performance objective when no activities are preemptable is presented. The following section expands the methodology to include the use of both preemptable and non-preemptable activities.

No Preemptable Activities

The total number of officers ( $N_t$ ) available for reactive, administrative, and self-initiated activities consists of the total number of on-duty officers for reactive activities ( $N_r$ ), administrative activities ( $N_a$ ), self-initiated activities ( $N_s$ ), and uncommitted patrol ( $N_p$ ); i.e.,

$$N_t = N_r + N_a + N_s + N_p \quad (D.3.5)$$

The number of on-duty officers required for administrative and self-initiated activities per day can be expressed as a function of the number of on-duty officers required per day for reactive activities ( $N_r$ ), the number of on-duty officers required per day for uncommitted patrol activities ( $N_p$ ), the average number of minutes of administrative time per hour per officer ( $m_a$ ), and the average number of minutes per hour per officer spent on self-initiated activities ( $m_s$ ). In the PAM model, the number of officers assigned to each category: administrative, reactive, self-initiated, and uncommitted patrol is proportional to the time spend per hour on each activity; i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{N_a}{N_t} &= \frac{m_a}{60}, & \frac{N_r}{N_t} &= \frac{m_r}{60} \\ \frac{N_s}{N_t} &= \frac{m_s}{60}, & \frac{N_p}{N_t} &= \frac{m_p}{60} \end{aligned} \quad (D.3.6)$$

where:

$m_r$  - average number of minutes spend per hour per officer on reactive activities,

$m_p$  - average number of minutes spend per hour per officer on uncommitted patrol activities,

and

$$60 = m_r + m_p + m_a + m_s . \quad (\text{D.3.7})$$

Rearranging the terms in (D.3.6) yields:

$$\frac{N_a}{m_a} = \frac{N_s}{m_s} = \frac{N_r}{m_r} = \frac{N_p}{m_p} = \frac{N_t}{60} \quad (\text{D.3.8})$$

Noting that the following is true:

$$\frac{N_r + N_p}{m_r + m_p} = \frac{N_t}{60} \quad (\text{D.3.9})$$

and combining (D.3.8) and (D.3.9) yields:

$$\frac{N_a}{m_a} = \frac{N_a}{m_s} = \frac{N_r + N_p}{m_r + m_p} . \quad (\text{D.3.10})$$

Using (D.3.7) to replace  $m_r + m_p$  with  $60 - m_a - m_s$  in (D.3.10) yields:

$$\frac{N_a}{m_a} = \frac{N_a}{m_s} = \frac{N_r + N_p}{60 - m_a - m_s} \quad (\text{D.3.11})$$

Using (D.3.11) to solve for  $N_a$  and  $N_s$  yields:

$$N_a = K_a \times (N_r + N_p) \quad (\text{D.3.12})$$

and

$$N_a = K_a \times (N_r + N_p) \quad (D.3.13)$$

where  $K_a$  and  $K_s$  equal:

$$K_a = \frac{m_a}{60 - m_a - m_s} \quad (D.3.14)$$

and

$$K_s = \frac{m_s}{60 - m_a - m_s} \quad (D.3.15)$$

Replacing  $N_a$  and  $N_s$  in (D.3.5) with (D.3.12) and (D.3.13) and rearranging yields:

$$N_t = N_r + K_a \times (N_r + N_p) + K_s \times (N_r + N_p) + N_p \quad (D.3.16)$$

The right side of formula (D.3.16) consists of four terms. The first three terms:  $N_r$ ,  $K_a \times (N_r + N_p)$ , and  $K_s \times (N_r + N_p)$  represent the officers that are busy on reactive, administrative, and self-initiated activities; i.e.,

$$N_b = N_r + K_a \times (N_r + N_p) + K_s \times (N_r + N_p) \quad (D.3.17)$$

where  $N_r$  represents the officers on reactive activities,  $K_a \times (N_r + N_p)$  represents the officers on administrative activities, and  $K_s \times (N_r + N_p)$  represents the officers on self-initiated activities. The only officers represented in formula in (D.3.16) that are not busy are represented by  $N_p$ . If no on-duty officers are provided for uncommitted patrol activities (i.e., if  $N_p = 0$ ), then  $N_t = N_r + (K_a \times N_r) + (K_s \times N_r)$  and all officers in the field will be busy. This is the minimum number of officers required to handle these activities. Hence, by definition, if only  $N_r + (K_a \times N_r) + (K_s \times N_r)$

officers are available, every officer will be busy for 60 minutes an hour (i.e.,  $P_{sat} = 1$  and  $P_{avail} = 0$ ).

As officers are added for uncommitted patrol activities (i.e., as  $N_p$  becomes greater than zero),  $N_t$  increases and the hourly reactive, administrative, and self-initiated workload for each officer becomes less than 60 minutes per hour. As this happens,  $P_{sat}$  decreases and  $P_{avail}$  increases. As more officers are added,  $P_{avail}$  increases until it equals or exceeds the immediate response performance objective expressed as a probability ( $P_{obj}$ ); that is,  $N_p$  is increased until

$$P_{avail} \geq P_{obj} \quad (D.3.18)$$

where:

$$P_{obj} = \frac{PIR\%}{100}$$

and **PIR%** represents the immediate response performance objective expressed as percent entered by the user in Section 5.2.

### Preemptable Activities

The total number of officers ( $N_t$ ) available for reactive, administrative, and self-initiated activities consists of the total number of on-duty officers for reactive activities ( $N_r$ ), administrative activities ( $N_a$ ), self-initiated activities ( $N_s$ ), uncommitted patrol ( $N_p$ ); i.e.,

$$N_t = N_r + N_a + N_s + N_p \quad (D.3.19)$$

If reactive, administrative, and self-initiated activities are preemptable, (D.3.19) can be rewritten as:

$$N_t = f_{npr} \times N_r + (1-f_{,,}) \times N_r + f_{npr} \times N_a + (1-f_{,,}) \times N_a + f_{nps} \times N_s + (1-f_{nps}) \times N_s + N_p \quad (D.3.20)$$

where:

- $f_{npr}$  - fraction of reactive activities that are not preemptable,
- $f_{nps}$  - fraction of administrative activities that are not preemptable, and
- $f_{nps}$  - fraction of self-initiated activities that are not preemptable.

Substituting (D.3.12) and (D.3.13) for  $N_a$  and  $N_s$  in (D.3.20) and rearranging yields:

$$N_t = f_{npr} \times N_r + f_{npr} \times K_a \times (N_r + N_p) + f_{nps} \times K_s \times (N_r + N_p) + (1-f_{npr}) \times N_r + (1-f_{npr}) \times K_a \times (N_r + N_p) + (1-f_{nps}) \times K_s \times (N_r + N_p) + N_p \quad (D.3.21)$$

Expression (D.3.21) can be rewritten as:

$$N_t = f_{npr} \times N_r + K_{na} \times (N_r + N_p) + K_{ns} \times (N_r + N_p) + (1-f_{npr}) \times N_r + K_a \times (N_r + N_p) - K_{na} \times (N_r + N_p) + K_s \times (N_r + N_p) - K_{ns} \times (N_r + N_p) + N_p \quad (D.3.22)$$

where:

$$K_{na} = f_{npr} \times K_a \quad \text{and} \quad K_{ns} = f_{nps} \times K_s.$$

Expression (D.3.22) can be further simplified as:

$$N_t = f_{npr} \times N_r + K_{nsa} \times (N_r + N_p) + (1-f_{npr}) \times N_r + K_{sa} \times (N_r + N_p) - K_{nsa} \times (N_r + N_p) + N_p \quad (D.3.23)$$

where:

$$K_{sa} = K_a + K_s \quad \text{and} \quad K_{nsa} = K_{na} + K_{ns}.$$



The first two terms on the right side of the equal sign in (D.3.23) represent the officers that are busy ( $N_b$ ) on non-preemptable reactive, administrative, and self-initiated activities; i.e.,

$$N_b = f_{npr} \times N_r + K_{nsa} \times (N_r + N_p). \quad (D.3.24)$$

The last four terms on the right side of the equal sign are the officers that are available or free ( $N_f$ ) either because they are on uncommitted patrol or on a preemptable **activities**; i.e.

$$N_f = (1-f_{npr}) \times N_r + K_{sa} \times (N_r + N_p) - K_{nsa} \times (N_r + N_p) + N_p \quad (D.3.25)$$

### PAM Methodology

Because of the complexity of formulas (D.3.2) and (D.3.3), it is not possible to derive a closed expression for  $N_p$  based on  $N_r$ ,  $K_{sa}$ ,  $K_{nsa}$ , and  $P_{obj}$ . To overcome this difficulty, the PAM manual uses a table look-up procedure. Appendix A in the Manual contains a series of tables for determining the total number of officers ( $N_f$ ) that must be available to satisfy the immediate response performance objective. Each table is based on a specific  $K_{nsa}$  value. Within the table,  $N_{rs}$  (the average number of on-duty officers for reactive activities per shift) and **PIR%** values are used to find the appropriate  $N_f$  value. In Section 5.2, the procedure is based on two **simplifying** assumptions: (1) that staffing is uniform over all shifts, and (2) that the same immediate response performance objective is used for each shift. The steps in the procedure are outlined below.

- Step 1. Determine the average number of on-duty officers for reactive activities per shift ( $N_{rs}$ ) in steps (5.2.1.1) through (5.2.2.2).
- Step 2. Determine an adjusted number of on-duty officers ( $N_{ns}$ ) for reactive activities in steps (5.2.2.3) through (5.2.2.16). The adjusted number of officers is determined based with the formula:

$$N_{ns} = \frac{N_{rs} \times (f_{npr} + K_{nsa})}{1 + K_{nsa}} \quad (D.3.26)$$

Formula (D.3.26) is based on the observation that the number of busy officers given in (D.3.24) is a function of three variables:  $N_{rs}$ ,  $K_{nsa}$ , and  $f_{npr}$ . To use the table lookup procedure, however, only the number of officers ( $N_J$  and the  $K_{nsa}$  value are needed. To eliminate the  $f_{npr}$  variable, an adjusted number of officers is used. The formula for the adjusted number is obtained by finding the value of  $N_{ns}$  that satisfies the relationship:

$$N_{ns} + K_{nsa} \times (N_{ns} + N_p) = f_{npr} \times N_{rs} + K_{nsa} \times (N_{rs} + N_p) \quad (D.3.27)$$

- Step 3. Use  $K_{nsa}$  to select the appropriate table. (Step 5.2.3)
- Step 4. Select an immediate response performance objective percent ( $PIR\%$ ). (Step 5.2.4.1).
- Step 5. Use  $N_{ns}$  and  $PIR\%$  in the table selected to determine the total number of officers that are needed to meet the immediate response performance objective ( $N_f$ ).
- Step 6. The actual number of officers needed to meet the immediate response performance objective ( $N_{pir}$ ) will be less than  $N_f$  if some officers are available on preemptable activities. To determine  $N_{pir}$ , the total number of available officers given in (D.3.25) is set equal to  $N_f$ . The  $N_p$  term in the equation equals  $N_{pir}$  and is given by:

$$N_{pir} = \frac{N_f - N_r \times (1 - f_{npr} + K_{dsa})}{1 + K_{dsa}} \quad (D.3.28)$$

If the value for  $N_{pir}$  is less than zero, this indicates that there are enough officers available on preemptable activities to meet the immediate response performance objective.

- Step 7. The total number of on-duty officers for the immediate response requirement is obtained by multiplying the average number required per shift by the effective number of shifts per day,

If either of the two simplifying assumptions used in Section 5.2 are not valid, the user can use the supplemental worksheet in Appendix A in the Manual to estimate  $N_{pir}$ . The worksheet uses the procedure described above for each shift. The number of officers required is determined separately for each shift and the results are added together to obtain the total daily on-duty requirement

The values in tables A-1 through A-13 were determined using formulas (D.3.2), (D.3.3), (D.3.4), and (D.3.16) with the following logic:

- For a range of values (0.10 - 20.00) for the average number of on-duty officers for reactive, administrative, and self-initiated activities on each shift ( $N_{rs}$ ) and a range of values (0.05 - 1.25) for  $K_{nsa}$ , calculate  $P_{avail}$  values for integer values of  $N_t$  beginning with the smallest value of  $N_t$  such that  $N_t \geq N_{rs}$ .
- For each value of  $N_t$ , determine the number of officers available ( $N_f$ ) based on (D.3.25); i.e.,

$$N_f = \frac{N_t - (1 + K_{nsa}) \times N_r}{(1 + K_{nsa})} \quad (D.3.29)$$

Increase  $N_t$  until  $P_{avail} \geq .995$ .

- Estimate  $N_f$  for specific values of  $N_{rs}$ ,  $P_{avail}$ , and  $K_{nsa}$  by interpolating between the calculated values for  $N_f$  and  $P_{avail}$  for specific values for  $K_{nsa}$ . Each value of  $K_{nsa}$  represents a separate table in Appendix A. Within each table, each estimated value for  $N_f$  corresponds to specific values for  $N_{rs}$  and  $P_{avail}$ .

APPENDIX **D.4:** **Average Number of On-Duty Officers ( $N_{net}$ ) Required Within the APA To Meet the Average Travel Time Performance Objective for Emergency and Non-Emergency CFS (Sections 5.3 and 5.4)**

**Travel Times for Non-Emergency CFS**

In Section 5.4, the **average** number of on-duty officers **required per day** to meet the travel time performance objective for non-emergency activities is given by:

$$N_{net} = \left[ \frac{40}{PTT \times RS} \right]^2 \times \frac{A \times WC}{7 \times S_1} \quad (D.4.1)$$

where:

- $N_{net}$  - **average** number of officers required to meet the travel time performance objective for **non-emergency** activities,
- A - area (square miles) of the **APA**,
- WC - coverage (hours) per week,
- NS** - number of shifts per day,
- PTT** - **average** travel time (minutes) performance objective,
- RS** - average response speed (**MPH**), and
- $S_1$  - shift length (hours).

Formula (D.4.1) is based on the "**square root law**" which **can** be used to estimate the average travel distance (**D**) for a responding police unit in an area of size A with N available units. The generic formula is given by:

$$D = K \times \frac{\sqrt{A}}{\sqrt{N}} \quad (D.4.2)$$

where:

D - average distance (miles),

K - a constant based on the geography of the area,

A - the area of the region (square miles), and

N - the number of police units available.

Using the time (T), speed (S), and distance (D) relationship from basic physics; i.e.,

$$T = \frac{D}{S} \quad (D.4.3)$$

and replacing D in (D.4.3) with (D.4.2) yields:

$$T = \frac{K \times \sqrt{A}}{S \times \sqrt{N}} \quad (D.4.4)$$

Under certain conditions, Larson (Urban Police Patrol Analysis, MIT Press, 1972) found that a value of  $2/3$  for the constant in (D.4.2) gives good results. (Key conditions are relatively low workload levels, uniformity of workload over the area, and approximately equal travel times in all directions.) Putting  $2/3$  in for K in formula (D.4.4) and multiplying by 60 to change travel time to minutes yields:

$$T = \frac{60 \times 2 \times \sqrt{A}}{3 \times S \times \sqrt{N}} \quad (D.4.5)$$

Simplifying expression (D.4.5) yields:

$$T = \frac{40 \times \sqrt{A}}{S \times \sqrt{N}} \quad (D.4.6)$$

Solving formula (D.4.6) for N yields:

$$N = \left[ \frac{40}{T \times S} \right]^2 \times A \quad (D.4.7)$$

Replacing speed (**S**) with the average response speed (**RS**) and time (**T**) with the average travel time performance objective (**PTT**) yields:

$$N = \left[ \frac{40}{PTT \times RS} \right]^2 \times A \quad (D.4.8)$$

which indicates the number of patrol units required to meet the non-emergency travel time performance objective.

Formula (D.4.8) is based on the assumption that the area is **patrolled 24** hours a day, 7 days a week (i.e., **168** hours per week). To account for reduced coverage (i.e., less than **168** hours per week), (D.4.8) can be multiplied by **WC/168**; that is,

$$N = \left[ \frac{40}{PTT \times RS} \right]^2 \times A \times \frac{WC}{168} \quad (D.4.9)$$

where WC represents the total hours of coverage per week.

Since each patrol unit represents one officer, the total number of officers needed per day for area patrol is given by:

$$N_{\text{net}} = N \times \frac{24}{S_1} \quad (\text{D.4.10})$$

where  $N$  is the number of units calculated in (D.4.9) and  $S_1$  is the shift length in hours. Replacing  $N$  in (D.4.10) with (D.4.9) and simplifying yields:

$$N_{\text{net}} = \left[ \frac{40}{\text{PTT} \times \text{RS}} \right]^2 \times \frac{A \times \text{WC}}{7 \times S_1} \quad (\text{D.4.11})$$

which is the formula given in (D.4.1). In Section 5.3, coverage is assumed to be 168 hours per week (i.e.,  $\text{WC} = 168$ ). Using this value in (D.4.11) yields:

$$N_{\text{net}} = \left[ \frac{40}{\text{PTT} \times \text{RS}} \right]^2 \times \frac{24 \times A}{S_1} \quad (\text{D.4.12})$$

#### Travel Times for Emergency CFS

The discussion above for non-emergency **CFS** assumes that officers on reactive, administrative, and self-initiated activities cannot be reassigned until the activity is complete. For travel times to emergency activities, the PAM permits the user to indicate what fraction of reactive, administrative, and self-initiated activities can be preempted. Officers on preemptable activities become part of the pool of officers who are available for emergency **CFS**.

Section 5.3 is used to determine the number of additional officers on uncommitted patrol ( $N_{\text{net}}$ ) to satisfy the emergency activity travel time requirement.

Since each patrol unit represents one officer, the total number of officers needed per day for area patrol is given by:

$$N_{\text{net}} = N \times \frac{24}{S_1} \quad (\text{D.4.10})$$

where  $N$  is the number of units calculated in (D.4.9) and  $S_1$  is the shift length in hours. Replacing  $N$  in (D.4.10) with (D.4.9) and simplifying yields:

$$N_{\text{net}} = \left[ \frac{40}{\text{PTT} \times \text{RS}} \right]^2 \times \frac{A \times \text{WC}}{7 \times S_1} \quad (\text{D.4.11})$$

which is the formula given in (D.4.1). In Section 5.3, coverage is assumed to be 168 hours per week (i.e.,  $\text{WC} = 168$ ). Using this value in (D.4.11) yields:

$$N_{\text{net}} = \left[ \frac{40}{\text{PTT} \times \text{RS}} \right]^2 \times \frac{24 \times A}{S_1} \quad (\text{D.4.12})$$

#### Travel Times for Emergency CFS

The discussion above for non-emergency **CFS** assumes that officers on reactive, administrative, and self-initiated activities cannot be reassigned until the activity is complete. For travel times to emergency activities, the PAM permits the user to indicate what fraction of reactive, administrative, and self-initiated activities can be preempted. Officers on preemptable activities become part of the pool of officers who are available for emergency **CFS**.

Section 5.3 is used to determine the number of additional officers on uncommitted patrol ( $N_{\text{net}}$ ) to satisfy the emergency activity travel time requirement.



$$\begin{aligned}
N_t = & f_{npr} \times N_r + K_{na} \times (N_r + N_p) + K_{ns} \times (N_r + N_p) + \\
& (1-f_{,,}) \times N_r + K_a \times (N_r + N_p) - K_{na} \times (N_r + N_p) + \\
& K_s \times (N_r + N_p) - K_{ns} \times (N_r + N_p) + N_p
\end{aligned} \tag{D.4.16}$$

where:

$$K_{na} = f_{npr} \times K_a \quad \text{and} \quad K_{ns} = f_{nps} \times K_s.$$

Expression (D.4.16) can be further simplified as:

$$\begin{aligned}
N_t = & f_{npr} \times N_r + K_{nsa} \times (N_r + N_p) + (1-f_{,,}) \times N_r + \\
& K_{sa} \times (N_r + N_p) - K_{nsa} \times (N_r + N_p) + N_p
\end{aligned} \tag{D.4.17}$$

where:

$$K_{sa} = K_a + K_s \quad \text{and} \quad K_{nsa} = K_{na} + K_{ns}.$$

The first two terms on the right side of the equal sign in (D.4.17) represent the officers that are busy ( $N_b$ ) on non-preemptable **reactive**, administrative, and self-initiated activities; i.e.,

$$N_b = f_{npr} \times N_r + K_{nsa} \times (N_r + N_p). \tag{D.4.18}$$

The last four terms on the right side of the equal sign are the officers that are available or free ( $N_f$ ) either because they are on **uncommitted patrol** or on a preemptable **activity**; i.e.

$$\begin{aligned}
N_f = & (1-f_{,,}) \times N_r + K_{sa} \times (N_r + N_p) - K_{nsa} \times (N_r + N_p) + N_p
\end{aligned} \tag{D.4.19}$$

The PAM procedure for determining the number of additional officers on **uncommitted** time that are needed to meet the travel time **performance** objective for emergency activities is based on the following steps.

- Step 1. Using (D.4.9), determine the total number of officers required (**N**) to meet the travel time requirement.
- Step 2. Set **N** equal to the total number of available officers given by (D.4.19). Determine the additional number of officers (**N<sub>att</sub>**) needed by solving by **N<sub>p</sub>** and setting **N<sub>att</sub> = N<sub>p</sub>**; i.e.,

$$N_p = \frac{N - N_r \times (1 - f_{npr} + K_{dsa})}{1 + K_{dsa}} \quad (D.4.20)$$

- Step 3. Multiply **N<sub>att</sub>** by the number of effective shifts per day to obtain the total number of additional officers needed to meet the travel time requirement.

**APPENDIX D.5: Average Total Number of On-Duty Officers (N) Required Per Day for All Patrol Activities Within the APA, One Officer Per Unit (Section 6.1)**

introduction

The **basic** formula in the PAM model, used to derive the average **daily** number of on-duty officers, is given by:

$$N = \frac{N_r + N_p}{1 - \frac{m_a}{60} - \frac{m_s}{60}} \quad (D.5.1)$$

where:

- N - the average number of on-duty officers per day (i.e., per **24-hour** period),
- N<sub>r</sub> - the average number of on-duty officers required per day for reactive activities,
- N<sub>p</sub> - the average number of on-duty officers required per day for uncommitted patrol activities,
- m<sub>a</sub> - average number of minutes spend per hour per officer on administrative activities, **and**
- m<sub>s</sub> - average number of minutes spend per hour per officer on self-initiated activities.

Four Workload Components

Derivation of formula (D.5.1) is based on the assumption that the **average** total number of on-duty officers required per day (**N**) **consists** of four components; that is,

$$N = N_r + N_p + N_s + N_a \quad (D.5.2)$$

where  $N_t$ ,  $N_r$ , and  $N_p$  are defined above and

$N_s$  - the average number of on-duty officers required per day for self-initiated activities, and

$N_a$  - the average number of on-duty officers required per day for administrative activities.

Much of the PAM model is devoted to determining each of the four components identified above. The procedure for each component is presented below.

Reactive and uncommitted patrol activities. In the PAM model, the number of on-duty officers required per day for reactive activities ( $N_r$ ) depends on two variables: (1) the total obligated time per day and (2) the shift length of the agency. Neither measure is dependent upon the total number of officers ( $N$ ) that are present. The number of on-duty officers required per day for uncommitted patrol activities ( $N_p$ ) is based on the "availability" of officers for reactive, administrative, and self-initiated activities and officer "visibility" for deterrence. The PAM model offers several options for determining  $N_p$ . Regardless of which option is used, none are dependent of the total number of on-duty officers ( $N$ ). Hence, for both reactive and uncommitted patrol activities, all of the workload measures used to estimate  $N_r$  and  $N_p$  are independent of the total number of officers on patrol. As a result, values for  $N_r$  and  $N_p$  can be obtained using historical data regardless of the staffing levels of the agency in the past.

Self-initiated and administrative activities. In contrast to the procedures used to determine  $N_r$  and  $N_p$ , the workload measures used to determine  $N_s$  and  $N_a$  in the PAM model are not independent of the number of on-duty officers ( $N$ ) that are present. For most law enforcement agencies the amount of work that is recorded for administrative and self-initiated activities is, in fact, directly related to how many officers are on-duty. The validity of this assertion is based on the following observations:

- Every officer has activity time that does not fall into the reactive, self-initiated, or uncommitted patrol category. Collectively referred to as administration, the amount of time is usually independent of how many officers are on patrol. As a result, the

total administrative time for an agency is not fixed, but increases or decreases as the number of officers changes.

- The total time spent on self-initiated activities is usually highly correlated to the number of officers in the field; that is, as the number of officers increases, the amount of self-initiated work increases. This occurs because the fraction of self-initiated work that is actually performed with existing patrol resources usually represents only a small fraction of the total self-initiated work possible. As a result, regardless of how many additional officers are placed on patrol, there is always more self-initiated work to be done than can actually be accomplished.

#### Determination of $N_s$ and $N_a$

The dependency of  $N_s$  and  $N_a$  upon the average total number of on-duty officers per day ( $N$ ) can be expressed as:

$$N_s = f_s \times N \quad (\text{D.5.3})$$

and

$$N_a = f_a \times N \quad (\text{D.5.4})$$

where:

- $f_s$  - fraction of time spend on self-initiated activities, and
- $f_a$  - fraction of time spend on administrative activities.

Inserting expressions (D.5.3) and (D.5.4) into (D.5.2) above yields:

$$N = N_r + N_p + (f_s \times N) + (f_a \times N) \quad (\text{D.5.5})$$

Version **M3.0** - October 1993

Solving (D.5.5) for N yields:

$$N = \frac{N_r + N_p}{1 - f_s - f_a} \quad (\text{D.5.6})$$

The fractions  $f_s$  and  $f_a$  can be expressed as:

$$f_s = \frac{m_s}{60} \quad (\text{D.5.7})$$

and

$$f_a = \frac{m_a}{60} \quad (\text{D.5.8})$$

where  $m_s$  and  $m_a$  are defined above. Placing expressions (D.5.7) and (D.5.8) into formula (D.5.5) yields the basic PAM formula:

$$N = \frac{N_r + N_p}{1 - \frac{m_a}{60} - \frac{m_s}{60}} \quad (\text{D.5.9})$$

APPENDIX **D.6**: Average Total Number of On-Duty Officers (**N**) Required Per Day for All Patrol Activities Within the **APA**, Adjusted for One and Two Officer Units (Section **6.2**)

Determination of the total number of on-duty officers required per day (**N**) is based on:

- the average total number of patrol units needed per day (**N**), and
- the percent of patrol units that are staffed with two officers (**P2T%**).

The average total number of patrol units needed per day (**N**) is equal to the average total number of on-duty officers needed each day if every patrol unit is staffed with only one officer. The number of on-duty officers required per day is calculated in Section **6.1** of the PAM manual. (The derivation for the formula used in Section **6.1** is given in Section **D.5** above.)

The percent of patrol units that are staffed with two officers (**P2T%**) is specified by the PAM user. Based on **P2T%**, define

$$f = \frac{P2T\%}{100} \quad (D.6.1)$$

where  $f$  represents the fraction of units staffed with two officers. Using  $N$  and  $f$ , let

$$N_2 = f \times N \quad (D.6.2)$$

where  $N_2$  represents the number of patrol units per day that are staffed with two officers. If  $N_1$  represents the number of patrol units per day that are staffed with one officer, then

Version **M3.0** - October 1993

$$N = N_1 + N_2 \quad (\text{D.6.3})$$

Solving (D.6.3) for  $N_1$  and replacing  $N_2$  with (D.6.2) yields

$$N_1 = N - N_2$$

$$N_1 = N - (f \times N)$$

$$N_1 = (1 - f) \times N \quad (\text{D.6.4})$$

The total number of on-duty officers is given by

$$N_0 = 1 \times N_1 + 2 \times N_2 \quad (\text{D.6.5})$$

Replacing  $N_1$  with (D.6.4) and  $N_2$  with (D.6.2) in (D.6.5) and rearranging yields:

$$N_0 = 1 \times (1 - f) \times N + 2 \times (f \times N)$$

$$N_0 = (1 + f) \times N \quad (\text{D.6.6})$$

Formula (D.6.6) is used in Section 6.2.



Version **M3.0** - October 1993

$$N = N_1 + N_2 \quad (\text{D.6.3})$$

Solving (D.6.3) for  $N_1$  and replacing  $N_2$  with (D.6.2) yields

$$N_1 = N - N_2$$

$$N_1 = N - (f \times N)$$

$$N_1 = (1 - f) \times N \quad (\text{D.6.4})$$

The total number of on-duty officers is given by

$$N_0 = 1 \times N_1 + 2 \times N_2 \quad (\text{D.6.5})$$

Replacing  $N_1$  with (D.6.4) and  $N_2$  with (D.6.2) in (D.6.5) and rearranging yields:

$$N_0 = 1 \times (1 - f) \times N + 2 \times (f \times N)$$

$$N_0 = (1 + f) \times N \quad (\text{D.6.6})$$

Formula (D.6.6) is used in Section 6.2.

The number of on-duty **field supervisors required** ( $N_{os}$ ) is given by:

$$N_{os} = \frac{N_{ot}}{S} \quad (D.7.2)$$

Derivation of the Formula for the Adjusted Number of On-Duty Officers ( $N_{ot}$ )

If the percent of field supervisor on-duty time ( $F_{s\%}$ ) spent on patrol activities is known, the fraction ( $f$ ) can be determined as:

$$f = \frac{F_{s\%}}{100} \quad (D.7.3)$$

The percent  $F_{s\%}$  is specified by the PAM user in Worksheet 1.

If the percents of on-duty time ( $S_{\%1}$ ,  $S_{\%2}$ , and  $S_{\%3}$ ) that officers assigned to special assignments 1, 2, and 3 respectively spend on patrol activities are known, the fractions ( $f_{s1}$ ,  $f_{s2}$ , and  $f_{s3}$ ) can be determined as:

$$f_{s1} = \frac{100 - S_{\%1}}{100}, \quad (D.7.4)$$

$$f_{s2} = \frac{100 - S_{\%2}}{100}, \text{ and} \quad (D.7.5)$$

$$f_{s3} = \frac{100 - S_{\%3}}{100}. \quad (D.7.6)$$

The percents  $S_{\%1}$ ,  $S_{\%2}$ , and  $S_{\%3}$  are supplied by the PAM user in Worksheet 7.

The derivation of formula (D.7.1) depends on the observation that regardless of the values for  $s$ ,  $F_{\%}$ ,  $N_{s1}$ ,  $N_{s2}$ ,  $N_{s3}$ ,  $S_{\%1}$ ,  $S_{\%2}$ , and  $S_{\%3}$  provided by the user, the total number of "full-time equivalent" on-duty officers required per day after adjusting for the presence of field supervisors and officers assigned to special units must equal the number of unadjusted on-duty officers required per day (NJ determined in Worksheet 6; that is:

$$N_0 = N_{aft} + f \times N_{os} + g_{s1} \times N_{s1} + g_{s2} \times N_{s2} + g_{s3} \times N_{s3} \quad (D.7.7)$$

where:

- $N_{aft}$  - the adjusted number of on-duty officers not assigned to a special unit;
- $f \times N_{os}$  - the full-time equivalent number of officers for patrol that will be provided by the presence of the  $N_{os}$  field supervisors;
- $g_{s1}$ ,  $g_{s2}$ ,  $g_{s3}$  - the fraction of on-duty time officers assigned to special units 1, 2, and 3 respectively spend on patrol activities;
- $g_{s1} \times N_{s1}$  - the full-time equivalent number of officers for patrol that will be provided by the presence of the  $N_{s1}$  officers assigned to special assignment 1;
- $g_{s2} \times N_{s2}$  - the full-time equivalent number of officers for patrol that will be provided by the presence of the  $N_{s2}$  officers assigned to special assignment 2; and
- $g_{s3} \times N_{s3}$  - the full-time equivalent number of officers for patrol that will be provided by the presence of the  $N_{s3}$  officers assigned to special assignment 3.

Replacing  $N_{os}$  in (D.7.7) with formula (D.7.2) yields:

Version **M3.0** - October 1993

$$N_0 = N_{\text{aft}} + f \times \frac{N_{\alpha}}{S} + g_{s1} \times N_{s1} + g_{s2} \times N_{s2} + g_{s3} \times N_{s3} \quad (\text{D.7.8})$$

The term  $N_{\alpha}$  can be expressed as:

$$N_{\alpha} = N_{\text{aft}} + N_{s1} + N_{s2} + N_{s3} \quad (\text{D.7.9})$$

Solving (D.7.9) for  $N_{\text{aft}}$  yields:

$$N_{\text{aft}} = N_{\alpha} - N_{s1} - N_{s2} - N_{s3} . \quad (\text{D.7.10})$$

Replacing  $N_{\text{aft}}$  in (D.7.8) with (D.7.10) and solving for  $N_{\alpha}$  yields:

$$N_{\alpha} = K_f \times \left[ N_0 + N_{s1} \times (1-g_{s1}) + N_{s2} \times (1-g_{s2}) + N_{s3} \times (1-g_{s3}) \right] \quad (\text{D.7.11})$$

where:

$$K_f = \frac{S}{S + f}$$

Formula (D.7.11) can be further simplified by noting that

$$g_{s1} + f_{s1} = 1, \quad g_{s2} + f_{s2} = 1, \quad g_{s3} + f_{s3} = 1, \quad (\text{D.7.12})$$

which yields:

$$f_{s1} = g_{s1} - 1, \quad f_{s2} = g_{s2} - 1, \quad f_{s3} = g_{s3} - 1. \quad (\text{D.7.13})$$

Using the results of (D.7.13) in (D.7.11) yields formula (D.7.1):

$$N_{\alpha} = K_f \times \left[ N_0 + N_{s1} \times f_{s1} + N_{s2} \times f_{s2} + N_{s3} \times f_{s3} \right] \quad (\text{D.7.14})$$

Notice that if no patrol work is done **by** field supervisors (i.e., if  $f = 0$ ) and no special assignment personnel are used (i.e., if  $N_{s1} = N_{s2} = N_{s3} = 0$ ), then  $N_{\alpha} = N_0$ .

APPENDIX **D.8:** Determination of the Shift Relief Factor (**SRF**) for the Calculation of the Total Number of Officers (**N**) and Field Supervisors (**N**) Required Within the **APA** (Section **8.2**)

Introduction

The shift relief **factor** for a **law** enforcement agency is used to determine the total number of officers that must be available (i.e., both **on- and off-duty**) in order to support a specified average number of on-duty officers each day. The shift relief factor is defined as "**the** average number of persons required to cover one shift position every **day**."

For agencies with **8-hour** shifts, shift relief factors typically fall in the range of **1.60** to **1.90**. A shift relief factor of **1.70** for an agency using **8-hour** shifts would indicate that the total staff for regular duty should be approximately **1.7** times the average number of on-duty positions that the agency plans to use per day. As an example, in order to cover **10** positions a day, this agency would have to have a total of **10 x 1.7 = 17** officers available. (With **8-hour** shifts and one officer per unit, three positions are needed every day to support one **24-hour** coverage unit.)

Shift relief factors increase as the shift length increases. Agencies using lo-hour shift will **have** shift relief factors in the range: **2.00** to **2.40**. Relief **factors** for agencies with **12-hour** shifts **are** in the range: **2.40** to **2.90**.

Derivation of the Shift Relief Factor for Patrol **Duty**

The shift relief factor is determined by the shift length, the average work week, the average amount of benefit time off given to each officer, and the amount of time officers spend on temporary special assignments. Calculation of the relief factor for an agency is usually based on data collected for one year and used in the following formula:

$$\text{SRF} = \frac{365 \times S_1}{\text{Average Number of On-Duty Hours on Patrol Per Officer Per Year}} \quad (\text{D.8.1})$$

where:

**SRF** - shift relief factor (number of officers), and  
**S<sub>1</sub>** - shift length (hours).

The expression **365 x S<sub>1</sub>** in (D.8.1) indicates the number of hours required to cover one position every day for an entire year. The denominator in (D.8.1) is the average number of on-duty hours provided by each officer in one year.

Determination of the Average Number of On-Duty Hours on Patrol Per Year Per Officer

One difficulty with the use of formula (D.8.1) is that few agencies actually keep track of hours worked. Rather, most personnel time-recording systems are designed to keep track of the amount of time off for each officer (e.g., for vacation, holiday, personal leave, etc.). Recognizing that fact, it is often easier to determine the shift relief factor for an agency if formula (D.8.1) is rewritten as:

$$\text{SRF} = \frac{365 \times S_1}{(365 \times S_1) - \text{Average Number of Non-Patrol Hours Per Year Per Officer}} \quad (\text{D.8.2})$$

In this form, the average number of on-duty hours per year per officer is calculated as:

$$(365 \times S_1) - \text{Average Number of Non-Patrol Hours Per Year Per Officer.} \quad (\text{D.8.3})$$

The first term in (D.8.3) indicates the total number of hours that one officer would be on-duty if he/she worked every day of the year. The second term represents the average number of non-patrol hours per year per officer. Subtracting in (D.8.3) gives the average number of on-duty hours on patrol per year per officer. The usefulness of formula (D.8.2) is that it requires information (i.e., **average** non-patrol time per officer per year) that is maintained **by** most **agencies**.

The average number of non-patrol hours per year per officer can be grouped into three categories:

- Regularly-scheduled time off. Unpaid time off each officer receives based on the average work week used by the agency. As an example, a **40-hour** work week provide each officer with an average of two days off per week.
- Benefit time off. Paid time including vacation leave, holiday leave, personal time, and compensatory time. The different kinds of benefit time off given varies from agency to **agency** and from one part of the country to another.
- Temporary special assignments. On-duty time spent on non-patrol activities. For example, an officer sent to a one-week training course in another state is obviously not available for patrol duty, but is considered "**on duty**" while at the training. Whether non-patrol, on-duty time should be included in the determination of the relief factor is not rigidly defined. An analyst trying to justify as many officers as possible will likely not count non-patrol, on-duty time as on-duty patrol time in order to derive a higher relief factor. Another analyst, seeking to hold down staffing estimates, may decide to count all on-duty time whether spent on patrol or not to derive a lower value for the relief factor.

Formula (D.8.3) can be expressed as:

$$(365 \times S_1) - H_{rs} - H_b - H_u \quad (D.8.4)$$



where:

- $H_r$  - number of regularly-scheduled hours off per officer per year,
- $H_b$  - number of benefit hours off per officer per year, and
- $H_u$  - number of on-duty hours spent on temporary special assignments (non-patrol) per officer per year.

Note that the expression  $(365 \times S_1) - H_r$  equals the annual number of paid hours. The annual number of paid hours ( $H_y$ ) is also given by:

$$H_y = \frac{365 \times AWW}{7} \quad (D.8.5)$$

where **AWW** represents the average work week in hours. Note that if **AWW = 40**,  $H_y$  is **2,085.7** hours per year. (The commonly used figure of **2,080** paid hours per year is based on exactly **52** weeks. Fifty-two weeks, however, only has **364** days, one day less than the actual year.)

Replacing  $(365 \times S_1) - H_r$  in (D.8.4) with (D.8.5) yields:

$$\frac{365 \times AWW}{7} - H_b - H_u \quad (D.8.6)$$

Using (D.8.6) as the denominator in (D.8.1) yields:

$$SRF = \frac{365 \times S_1}{\frac{365 \times AWW}{7} - H_b - H_u} \quad (D.8.7)$$

APPENDIX **D.9**: Allocation of Patrol Personnel Among  
Several **APAs** (Worksheet 9)

Introduction

Worksheet 9 provides two methods for allocating a given number of staff among several **APAs**. Both methods rely on staffing estimates for each **APA** provided by the PAM model in worksheets 1 - 8. The first method, identified as "unconstrained allocation," indicates the ideal staffing if no limitations are imposed on how many officers can be added or subtracted from the current staffing level in any **APA** (i.e., if there are no limitations on transfers between **APAs**). The second method, identified as "**constrained** allocation," can be used to determine the staffing allocation when a fixed number of additional officers are to be added and no transfers of existing personnel are allowed. In this case, the no transfer constraint has the effect of insuring that no **APA** will lose staffing because of the allocation. The constrained **allocation** procedure can also be used to determine which **APAs** will lose personnel when staff reductions are required. In this case, the no transfer rule insures that no **APA** will gain personnel as a result of the overall staff reductions. All of the calculations for Worksheet 9 are summarized in Table 4-1.

The derivations below make use of the following notation:

- T - total number of staff in all of the **APAs** after the reallocation
- TA** - total number of new staff (or total staff to be deleted)
- TA<sub>i</sub>** - total number of staff added to **APA i** (or staff deleted from **APA i**)
- TC** - current total number of staff among all of the **APAs**
- TC<sub>i</sub>** - current number of staff in **APA i**
- TE** - total number of staff estimated by the PAM model for all of the **APAs**

**TE<sub>i</sub>** - total number of staff estimated by the PAM model for **APA i**

**T<sub>i</sub>** - total number of staff in **APA i** after the reallocation

The total staff to be allocated is given by:

$$T = TC + TA \quad (D.9.1)$$

#### Unconstrained Allocation

The rationale for the unconstrained allocation is that the total staff (**T**) should be distributed among the **APAs** in the same proportion as the PAM estimates; i.e.,

$$\frac{T_i}{T} = \frac{TE_i}{TE}, \quad \text{all APAs} \quad (D.9.2)$$

Solving for **T<sub>i</sub>** and replacing T with (D.9.1) yields the formula for the number of staff that should be assigned to each **APA**:

$$T_i = TE_i \times \frac{TA + TC}{TE} \quad (D.9.3)$$

#### Constrained Allocation

The total staff to be allocated is given by (D.9.1) above. The difference (**d<sub>i</sub>**) for each **APA** between the number of staff provided by the unconstrained allocation for the **APA** (i.e, **T<sub>i</sub>** given in (D.9.3)) and the number of staff estimated for the **APA** by the PAM model (**TE<sub>i</sub>**) is given by:

$$d_i = T_i - TE_i \quad (D.9.4)$$

Expression (D.9.4) indicates whether an **APA** is under or over-staffed; i.e.,

if  $d_i > 0$ , the **APA** is overstaffed,  
 if  $d_i < 0$ , the **APA** is understaffed.

The  $d_i$  values are used to identify which **APAs** should gain staff (if  $TA > 0$ ) and which **APAs** should lose staff (if  $TA < 0$ ).

Additional staff ( $TA > 0$ ). If staff is to be added to the **APAs**, the no transfer rule can be satisfied by distributing the new staff only among those **APAs** that are understaffed (i.e., **APAs** with  $d_i < 0$ ). Let **U** represent the collection of **APAs** that are understaffed and determine the total deficit staffing (TN) for these **APAs** by summing the  $d_i$ s in **U**; i.e.,

$$TN = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + \dots + d_n \quad (D.9.5)$$

-- only use **APAs** for which  $d_i < 0$  --

Allocation of the **TA** staff among the **APAs** in **U** is given by (D.9.6) below where  $TA_i$  represents the-number of staff added to **APA i**:

$$TA_i = d_i \times \frac{TA}{TN} \quad (D.9.6)$$

The final allocation among all of the **APAs** is given by:

$$T_i = \begin{cases} TC_i & \text{if } d_i \geq 0 \\ TC_i + TA_i & \text{if } d_i < 0 \end{cases} \quad (D.9.7)$$

Staff reduction (TA < 0). For staff reductions, the constrained allocation procedure uses the  $d_i$  values to identify those **APAs** that are overstaffed and, as a result, are eligible for staff reductions. Let  $O$  represent the collection of **APAs** that are overstaffed and determine the total surplus staffing (TN) for these **APAs** by summing the  $d_i$ s in  $O$ ; i.e.,

$$TN = d_1 + d_2 + d_3 + \dots + d_n \quad (D.9.8)$$

-- only use **APAs** for which  $d_i > 0$  --

Allocation of the **TA** staff among the **APAs** in  $O$  is given by (D.9.9) below where  $TA_i$  represents the number of staff to be taken from **APA**  $i$ :

$$TA_i = d_i \times \frac{TA}{TN} \quad (D.9.9)$$

Each  $TA_i$  value for staff reductions will be a negative number. The final allocation among all of the **APAs** is given by:

$$T_i = \begin{cases} TC_i & \text{if } d_i \leq 0 \\ TC_i + TA_i & \text{if } d_i > 0 \end{cases} \quad (D.9.10)$$





